

SAFETY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

November 17, 2003

Members of Safety Committee present: Mel Clemens, Ron Stake, Lane Beougher, Jim Wade.
Other members of Council present: Sarah Cannella, William Hills, Eric Gilbert, Council President Bradley L. McCloud.

The meeting was called to order at 7:30 p.m.

Mr. Clemens: The first item on the agenda is the approval of the minutes of the November 3, 2003 Safety Committee meeting. Are there any adjustments to it? (No response). If not, they'll stand approved. The next item is the approval of the agenda. Is there anything to be added to the agenda by members of the committee? (No response). If not, it'll stand approved.

The next item, Discussion, Amend 505.03(a) to change reference of 505.01(k) to 505.01(I). This was just sent by the City Attorney. It's had its first reading and it just pertains to changing where the word is to be changed from 505.01(k) to 505.01(I). Does anybody have any comment from committee? (No response). If not, I'd like to have a motion to send this back to Council for the second reading.

Mrs. Frazier: Mr. Clemens, that's not had a first reading yet.

Mr. Clemens: Okay. We'll send it to Council for the first reading. Do I have a motion on that? Motion by Mr. Beougher. Second by Mr. Stake. This will go to City Council for the first reading.

The next item, Discussion, Solicitation by Charity Newsies. Chief Miller, did you want to speak on this or just want me to explain what it is? This has to do with the Charity Newsies.

Chief Miller: Oh, I apologize, Mr. Clemens. Yes. You have in front of you, a request for an ordinance that would allow the Charity Newsies to solicit on December 13, from 8 p.m. to 6 p.m. There are three locations. You received packets that should include confirmation that they are a 501(c)(3) charitable organization; their insurance certificate, a list of the Charity Newsies, the proceeds, a direction of where their proceeds are directed, and the locations. The three locations would be Main Street at Aida, Brice Road and Livingston, and State Route 256 and I-70. This is in accordance with 371.06(b)(2). We do have a representative from Charity Newsies if you have any questions.

Mr. Clemens: Okay. Nancy, what do we need on this? Just a motion for approval?

Mrs. Frazier: No. You need an ordinance on this, Mel.

Mr. Clemens: Okay. We could send it to Council for the first reading and pass it the second reading, or we could pass it as an emergency. However the committee feels. There's no reason

really to hold it up. So I'd like to make a motion we send it to Council for the first reading as an emergency. Do I have a second? Second by Mr. Beougher. All in favor say aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). This will go to Council for its first reading and be passed as an emergency.

Chief Miller: Thank you, Mr. Clemens.

Mr. Clemens: The next item is an Ordinance enacted by the City of Reynoldsburg, Franklin County, Ohio, hereinafter referred to as the Local Public Agency, in the matter of the stated described project. This is a study that they're going to do, the State of Ohio, on the corridor of I-70, and Hamilton Road, 310 and so forth, and they just want our permission in case there's anything to be done in Reynoldsburg so they can do a study on it. Do we have any questions on it? (No response). If not, I'd like to send this to Council for its second reading. Do I have a second on that? Second by Mr. Stake. All in favor, say aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). This will be sent to Council for its second reading.

The next item on the agenda is an Ordinance to authorize EMH&T to provide professional services related to bidding of the yet-to-be-completed work associated with Phases 4 and 5 of the Reynoldsburg sidewalk and driveway approach replacement project. It's had its first reading 11-10-03. Are there any questions on this? (No response). If not, I'd like to send it to Council for its second reading. Do I have a second? Second by Mr. Stake. All in favor, say aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). This will go to Council for its second reading.

I do have a speaker that would like to speak on the Streetscape. Joy K. Deacon. Would you come to the microphone please, and give your name and address?

Ms. Deacon: My name is Joy Deacon and I live on Retha Court over here on the other side of Waggoner. I am still concerned as many, many residents are about the Streetscape. And I think part of it is that we don't have all the information. We look at our own issues and one issue I have is the width of the sidewalks. Okay? Because walkers, bicycles have no place to go except the sidewalks. Okay? So you've got bicycles and passengers with lots of baggage passing. I see no increase in the width of the sidewalks. That's one issue. I also see other areas of our city that are taking back seat to the monies being spent on Streetscape. Okay? You're tearing up sidewalks and putting in same sidewalks and there are areas that don't have sidewalks, or they've got worse sidewalks. Okay, that's one issue on the Streetscape thing. Another issue is, I'm concerned, as I say, I stand by bus stops, by all of the emissions from the automobiles, and I see over on Main Street by Brice Road, you've taken out all the green. Okay? What kind of buffer do you have for the air control? The pollution of the air along the street sides. I see none. And perhaps this needs to be a study to see what we could do. Because people who have to walk there all the time are breathing a lot more of the emissions from the automobiles. What is being done about that? Has there been any thought? How are you going to resolve this? And then, one other issue is, I was in one of the Mayoral candidate meetings, and there was a mention of red poles sticking up. That's all I know about it. And I vision a city full of red poles. And I just wanted more information, and why aren't they more neutral color?

Mr. Clemens: Okay. Thank you. As far as answering some of these questions, if you could, if you could come in, or if you could discuss it with Mr. Brandt or Mrs. Reichard. If you could call them in the day time, I'm sure they could give you all the information as far as the width of the sidewalks. And John, is there going to be places for the bus stops enclosures like there were before, do you know? Do you happen to know?

Mr. Brandt: (Inaudible).

Mr. Clemens: We do have a landscape plan. There will be a lot of trees and buffers between the sidewalk and the brick walls that would be all plantings, and trees, and bushes, and such things as that. Dave, as far as the width of the sidewalks, are there any difference in what they were before? Could you please answer it?

Mr. Parkinson: I don't know the answer to that just off the top. I can certainly look it up and let you know.

Mr. Clemens: I'm sure they meet specifications.

Mr. Parkinson: Yes. They are totally specified in the drawings. I just don't know what they are.

Mr. Clemens: Okay. If you could, contact Mr. Brandt, our Development Director, or Mrs. Reichard, our Safety/Service Director, and I'm sure, she's sitting right there, I'm sure they could get these answers for you. Because they do have the plans and specifications and they could tell you more about it. I'm sure John will be checking, find out what the bus company's going to do. I mean as far as if they're going to have enclosures for the people. So, could you do that? Could you give them a call if you would? And they're both in the city building here and I'm sure they could answer some of your questions for you. Because I can't. I don't know really, I'm sorry, I don't know that much about it. Because we're not here every day, all day long. But I'm sure they can help you on it. So any questions you have, if you could give them a call. Thank you.

That ends the Safety Committee meeting at 7:43.

Safety Committee

- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

(Transcribed - Susie Smith)

November 17, 2003

SERVICE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

November 17, 2003

Members of Service Committee present: Eric Gilbert, William Hills, Ron Stake, Lane Beougher. Other members of Council present: Sarah Cannella, Jim Wade, Mel Clemens, Council President Bradley L. McCloud.

Mr. Gilbert: I'll call the Service Committee meeting to order at 7:43. The first item on the agenda is the approval of the minutes of the November 3, 2003 Service Committee meeting. Are there any additions or deletions to the minutes? (No response). None being heard, they will stand as read. Item number 3 is the approval of the agenda. Several changes to the agenda. Due to the budget hearings, we will place in Other Legislation until the budget is resolved, items 5* and item number 6*. Possibly bringing 6 out sooner after some discussion with the NPDES representative from EMH&T. And we will hold item 7* for two weeks, which I believe at that time we should have the legislation back from the Planning Commission after their discussions of this since we just concluded the Public Hearings this evening. And we will have all three items at that point. And I know, just to remind the members, we had received a draft piece of legislation from EMH&T in regards to the erosion and sedimentation control in conjunction with Phase 2 Storm Water. That item was an item that was recommended to us from the Residential Zoning Ad Hoc Committee. Do I have a second on that motion? Mr. Stake with the second. All those in favor, please signify by saying aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Motion passes.

Item number 4 is a Discussion, Gas Aggregation Contract Amendment. Mr. Brandt, you're back sooner than what I expected on this.

Mr. Brandt: Thank you Mr. Gilbert, members of Council. I asked Mrs. Frazier to provide you with some information we received relative to this language change or correction. The provider, after they did some more research as detailed on the six items that are provided, found that due to the nature of the contract and our inability to flat out change it without going back through the process, this part of it became necessary. It was their feeling that the best way to proceed, and as we've stated early on, that this is kind of a learning process for all of us, but in their effort to assure that the residents received, or the subscribers of the project received the best savings, they asked us to include in the change in the text, or the language in exhibit "B" to allow for the EGC, which is the "Estimated Gas Cost" as opposed to the GCR which is the "Gas Cost Recovery Rate". There are some numbers attached that were provided to us as well. Unfortunately the highlighting didn't show up. But that's essentially what this is about.

[*5 Discussion, Refuse Collection Refund

*6 Discussion, Development and Purchase of Software for the NPDES Phase II requirements

*7 Discussion, Draft Report from Ad Hoc Residential Zoning Review Committee]

To always assure that customers will be billed at a rate 5% less than Columbia's GCR inasmuch as there's a transition rider the first year on any of these provider programs, their analysis revealed that perhaps it would be better to use the EGC versus the GCR. But that will conclude.

Mr. Gilbert: So it just guarantees the 5%?

Mr. Brandt: Correct.

Mr. Gilbert: Okay. Any questions from members of Service? (No response). Other members

of Council? Mr. Beougher.

Mr. Beougher: I see we're being asked to pass this PDQ, as if an emergency?

Mr. Brandt: It's merely because of the notification requirement under the PUCO requirements of 30 day notice, the mailings, etc., etc.

Mr. Gilbert: And if we move this forward tonight as an emergency, we'll be in compliance with the PUCO.

Mr. Brandt: Well, not the PUCO but the PUCO's mailing requirements. We have to have our agreement passed to enable the supplier to do their mailing.

Mr. Gilbert: Okay. Any other questions from members of Service? (No response). Other members of Council? (No response). None being heard, I'll make a recommendation that we forward this to Council with a recommendation for adoption as an emergency. Do I have a second? Mr. Hills with the second. All those in favor, please signify by saying aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Motion passes. Thank you, Mr. Brandt.

Mr. Brandt: Thank you.

Mr. Gilbert: My apologies to the members, I meant to also, in talking with the Water/Waste Water Superintendent, it was determined that item number 8 (Water Line Project, Broad Street) should be held from consideration until after the first of the year. So item number 8, and Mrs. Frazier correct me if this is procedurally wrong, but I'd like to make a motion to move this into Other Legislation.

Mrs. Frazier: You can do that.

Mr. Gilbert: Good. Do I have a second on that? Mr. Beougher with the second. All those in favor, please signify by saying aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Motion passes.

Item number 9, Ordinance accepting recorded deeds of easement for Phase I of the Reynoldsburg Major Commercial Corridors Streetscape Project. This item did have its second reading on November 10. Are there any questions or concerns on this at this time? None being heard, I'll make a motion that we forward this to Council for its third reading with a recommendation for adoption. Do I have a second? Second by Mr. Hills. All those in favor, please signify by saying aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Motion passes.

Mr. Hills: Excuse me, Mr. Gilbert. I just noticed our City Attorney.

Mr. Underwood: We had a request to hold that in committee a few weeks ago when we started through this until we get the last, the very last easement from Walgreen's. It still hasn't come through yet.

Mr. Gilbert: Okay.

Mr. Underwood: Then we can put that information on and finish it off.

Mr. Gilbert: That's right. Do you want us to hold it for two weeks or do you expect the info before Friday?

Mr. Underwood: I was hoping we would have it a month ago.

Mr. Gilbert: We could move it forward and if we need to pull it off the regular agenda next week, we could do that if you're comfortable with that, Mr. Underwood.

Mr. Underwood: That's fine.

Mr. Gilbert: Any objections from the members?

Mr. Hills: So if the information from Walgreen's is not here by next week, we'll just refer it back to committee.

Mr. Gilbert: Correct. I'll refer it back to committee. Thank you, Mr. Underwood.

Item number 10 is the Ordinance to amend the Code of Ordinances of the City of Reynoldsburg, Amending Section 732.01, Rates for Residential Collection and Disposal. We had received this request from the Administration, and the item has had its second reading as of November 10, '03. Are there any questions or comments from members of Service? (No response). Other members of Council? (No response). None being heard, I make a motion that we forward this to Council with a recommendation for adoption. Do I have a second? Mr. Stake with the second. All those in favor, please signify by saying aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Motion passes.

I'll adjourn Service at 7:49....

Mr. Gladstone: (Inaudible). I was told to come back in two weeks to speak on this item.

Mr. Gilbert: Correct. We moved that to Other Legislation until the budget hearings are done.

Mr. Gladstone: (Inaudible).

Mr. Gilbert: Item number 3, Approval of the agenda, I made a motion to move that item to Other Legislation, which means it is out of consideration until we re-enter it to the actual agenda. It was determined on my part that it was best to discuss this once we've set the city budget for next year, and not until that time, which normally takes place at the, we complete that by the end of December, so we'll be revisiting this in January.

Mr. Gladstone: (Inaudible). Could I ask a question on this?

Mr. Gilbert: Certainly. Go ahead.

Mr. Gladstone: My name is John Gladstone and I live at 9161 Rustin Lane. I've been a resident for three years. I really, I don't understand why we have to wait until January to discuss this. Like I say, I may be a little confused. You ask me to pay my water bill on such a date. Correct? If it's not paid by the 21st I get a 10% penalty. Correct? That's correct. I mean, it's on the bill here. I paid \$33.93. I can't understand why we have to wait so long for you members to decide why we just can't have our refund and three free months of trash pickup. Three free months with no trash payments since you've held this money for how long? We don't know. I mean, it said in the paper three weeks ago that this money's been sitting there for a while. And the ideas were, you know, well we'd like to give it back. Why can't I penalize you guys 10% for not giving me my \$33.93 back? If I'm late one day, which I was one time, I paid a \$5 late fee for one day because I messed up, didn't look at the thing, I thought it was the 21st. I actually paid it on the 22nd. I got whacked for \$5. You told me to come back in two weeks, I'm here for two weeks. Now we move it to January. I'm just a little confused why we can't all get together and say, "Hey, I'm going to make a decision". Some of the taxpayers want their money back, or we want answers. I mean, I'm not trying to be difficult here. I think it's a legitimate question. You charged me \$5 for being late one day. This is the second week I've come back. I have no answers.

Mr. Gilbert: In regards to the late fee?

Mr. Gladstone: No. What I'm saying is you told me to come back in two weeks to discuss this. Now you're telling me to come back in January. It's going to be another month. Am I going to get 10% each day until I come back in January, extra money back?

Mr. Gilbert: No.

Mr. Gladstone: No. But you're going to charge me 10% if I'm late one day.

Mr. Gilbert: Mr. Gladstone, the balance in this account was determined or I guess, discovered by Mr. Clemens and myself. And we made a determination late this summer that there was an opportunity there because of the restrictions on the use of the money to refund or give back some of this to the public.

Mr. Gladstone: Yes. I understand that.

Mr. Gilbert: The Mayor at the time also suggested, maybe there, within the context of what we can utilize the money for, that maybe there might be some recycling equipment. There has been a, I guess a difficulty in determining as to whether or not this would be refunded in the means of a check, or if it would be marked or voided on one of the quarterly bills.

Mr. Gladstone: Okay. I understand it.

Mr. Gilbert: Now, with the state of the, this is I guess budget time, and it's probably wiser for Council to look at this at the first of the year once we've resolved the budget issues and see where each of the balances of the accounts are.

Mr. Gladstone: I understand that.

Mr. Gilbert: We'll look at it officially and effectively and...

Mr. Gladstone: Understandable.

Mr. Gilbert: I'm the Chairman here. Don't interrupt me Mr. Gladstone.

Mr. Gladstone: Understandable.

Mr. Gilbert: I'll have you back in January. You can raise your questions at the time. That's when we'll be discussing this.

I'll adjourn Service at 7:54.

Service Committee
- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council
(Transcribed - Susie Smith)
November 17, 2003

FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES November 17, 2003

Members of Finance Committee present: William Hills, Mel Clemens, Eric Gilbert, Sarah Cannella.

Other members of Council present: Jim Wade, Lane Beougher, Ron Stake, Council President Bradley L. McCloud.

Mr. Hills: I'll call Finance to order at approximately 7:54 and ask for approval of the Finance Committee meeting from November 3, 2003. Do we have any additions or deletions to those minutes? (No response). Seeing and hearing none, they will stand as submitted. Approval of tonight's agenda. After discussion today with Mr. Whitney, our Auditor, we've agreed item number 7 - Discussion, Change in City of Reynoldsburg Investment Policy (Treasury Investment Board), will be moved to Other Legislation until after the first of the year and we'll deal with that issue then. So I would move to amend the agenda to move that to Other Legislation. Is there a second? Second by Mr. Clemens. All in favor, state aye. (All voted "Aye"). So the agenda is

amended.

Moving to item number 4, Discussion, Transfer of funds to pay for chiller. Mrs. Reichard, did you want to address this?

Mrs. Reichard: Sure. When we had our original appropriation for all of the problems that we had after the storm, we inquired, my secretary inquired as to which fund this should be put into from the insurance proceeds etc. Apparently, there's been some change in where that should be. It was questioned, so we just sent it through to change from one account to the other in order to pay for the, I'm sorry, this didn't have to do with the storm, this had to do with the chiller when we were, I beg your pardon, when we were replacing it.

Mr. Hills: I think your ordinance had said it was in, the money was in the account but apparently if I understand it, it's in an account that it can't be directly paid from or something. Is that why we needed a transfer?

Mrs. Reichard: That's correct. It was questioned when the bill came through. It was questioned as to which account it needed to be paid out of. This is a matter of moving the funds.

Mr. Hills: So here, we're just taking money that we already had reserved to pay for the chiller and move it to the appropriate account so we could issue the voucher from that account.

Mrs. Reichard: Right. The money had already been appropriated...that's correct...it just needs to be moved from one account to another.

Mr. Hills: Okay. Does that raise a question? Mr. Beougher.

Mr. Beougher: Yeah. I think it's Capital Equipment and needs to be shown as a depreciating asset and that's the reason for paying for it out of that fund. Correct, Howard?

Mr. Whitney: That is correct.

Mrs. Reichard: Right. Thank you.

Mr. Hills: Any questions from anyone on Finance? (No response). If not, I would recommend we send an ordinance forward with recommendation for adoption as an emergency, because I do suspect they want paid, don't they? Unappropriating from the one account and then re-appropriating to the appropriate account for payment. Is there a second? Second by Mr. Gilbert. All in favor, state aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Hearing none, it will go forward for one reading as an emergency.

Moving to item number 5, Discussion of Transfer of funds for purpose of commencing labor contract negotiations. Mr. Underwood.

Mr. Underwood: The Mayor had requested that if I had extra money in Special Counsel, it be

transferred over to his Special Counsel for the labor negotiations that need to be commenced this year. And I agreed to do that.

Mr. Hills: Okay. Does that raise a question from anyone on Finance? (No response). Anyone on Council? (No response). I expect Special Counsel does want to be paid, don't they?

Mayor McPherson: We are going to start our first set of negotiations to begin on November 20.

Mr. Hills: November 20?

Mayor McPherson: Yes. That's our first meeting scheduled.

Mr. Hills: Okay. Then I'd recommend that we send an ordinance forward with recommendation for adoption as an emergency making the transfer of \$10,000 from the City Attorney's Special Counsel account to the Mayor's Special Counsel account for the purpose of commencing labor negotiations. Is there a second? Second by Mr. Clemens. All in favor, state aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Hearing and seeing none, it will move forward with recommendation for adoption as an emergency.

Moving on to item number 6, Discussion, 2004 Appropriation. It was held on 11-3-03. I don't know what specific questions - Mayor, do you have something you want to pass out?

Mayor McPherson: Yeah.

Mr. Hills: Okay. Good. This is version two.

Mayor McPherson: What I have just passed out is the changes that were indicated in our workshop over the weekend. If you'll recall, Mark indicated that he had some changes because of the Columbus contract. Larry said he had some changes because of the NPDES. So we have changed pages 17, 20, 20a, 21, 21a, and 24. Page 17 I believe it is, was changed because there was an error. When I sent the worksheet down, the figure for the budget request on workers compensation looked like a 2 and it was a 7. So that was changed to a 7. Clerical error, but significant amount of money. And then on pages 20 and 20a, those are the pages that Mark indicated. 21 and 21a are also Mark's department which he always splits that. Page 24 was the Storm Water Utility. And if you'll recall, Larry indicated that it was going to run about \$35,000 more for the engineering for the NPDES study. And that's the change that would be in your 5331. It went from 10 to 45. I'll answer any questions you might have, but that's...

Mr. Hills: If it helps, these were all lightly shaded. The changes you had.

Mayor McPherson: Yes. They're lightly shaded.

Mr. Hills: So they do somewhat show up. And this is the new cover sheet and those substitute pages. And these should be substituted from the set we have.

Mayor McPherson: Those should be substituted. So just replace the old pages with these new

pages. And then the cover sheet of course has been updated. The very first one that you got has been updated to compensate for those changes. Thank you.

Mr. Hills: Thank you. And I would, any questions on the budget from anybody specifically tonight? I was going to make a comment or two. There was a meeting Saturday and it was an informative meeting. It raised questions and discussions of which I understand we are still working on some questions that are getting to you, and I know you're still working on some things that you want to talk to us about. So I think what we're saying is, the budget right now I guess, would be said that what we've just received is somewhat written in pencil. Because it's probably going to, there's going to be some changes that need to be considered.

Mayor McPherson: There's always changes. We hope that, we try to get them as accurate as possible. Obviously, the further along in the year we get, the more numbers we have come in that are much more in concrete. But the one thing I would like to do, at the work session this weekend, I had indicated we had sent the information and invited the two Councilmen elect. We had a communication failure in my office. I apologize to those gentlemen. They did not get the information about the meeting. I have met and talked with Mr. Baxter, indicated to him, and will do the same with Mr. Stearns, that we will meet with them individually, go over everything we went over with Council, and try to bring them up to speed on what we went over with Council. So, public apology to those two gentlemen. We had a communication glitch.

Mr. Hills: Questions from anyone on Finance or anyone on Council? (No response). I will note that there certainly is ongoing discussions with some additions that we think will be additional expenditures. There also are some ongoing discussions where there may be some bettering of the revenue projections or sides I guess would be the best way to put it. And with that, what I would like to do is set a special Finance Committee meeting for 6:45 next week. I understand there is an organizational meeting or something at 6:30. Mr. Brandt, you don't anticipate that going long do you?

Mr. Brandt: (Inaudible).

Mr. Hills: Okay. I think if yours is just organizational, 6:45 I think, 45 minutes is plenty of time I believe, at which I'm sure that there'll be more of exchange of communication within the week, and anyone that has some questions, we'll plan on discussing it. There will be a special Finance Committee meeting, but I also always encourage all Council members, since we are dealing with the budget, and all members of Administration that have a desire, to certainly be present. Again, any additional questions you may have, if we can filter them through the Clerk, it certainly works best. However, I'm sure Administration is not going to refuse any question coming from anywhere.

Mayor McPherson: Anything we get, we'll send back.

Mr. Hills: Okay. Any other questions on this? (No response). We'll hold it until next Monday at 6:45.

Item number 7 has been moved as earlier discussed, to Other Legislation.

Moving on to item number 8, Ordinance authorizing Mayor to apply for an Ohio Department of Transportation State infrastructure bank loan in the amount of \$250,000 to be used for traffic signal improvements at U.S. Route 40 and Taylor Road, in conjunction with the Kroger project. It did have a first reading on 11-10-03, and this I believe had to come from Mr. Brandt. And are there any questions from anyone on Finance regarding this? (No response). Seeing no questions, Mr. Brandt, is there any reason to move this along quickly, or can we let it run for its readings? You won't be applying immediately, will you?

Mr. Brandt: (Inaudible).

Mr. Hills: Okay. Seeing the nodding and the negative from his head, I will send this forward, recommend that we send this forward for second reading. Is there a second? Second by Mr. Gilbert. All in favor, state aye. (All voted "Aye"). Mr. Brandt, yes sir.

Mr. Brandt: Yes, sir. If I could, I'd like to call them tomorrow and ask them if they have any end of the year cutoff, time wise or anything like that so we don't get caught in that _____ or something like that.

Mr. Hills: But either way, we still would be able to then pass it as an emergency the following, we've got plenty of time between now and year end. Okay. Certainly, Mr. Brandt. Thank you very much. So there was a motion and a second. All in favor, say aye. (All voted "Aye"). So it will be forwarded for second reading.

Items 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14 are extensions of our contracts we have with our professional service providers for one more year. They've been in a two year contract and this extends it for a one more year period. We've had two full readings on this and it's been in every committee meeting. And rather than read to you, since you have it in front of you, rather than read to you all 6 of these, I'd like to do it just in a group. If there's any questions, we'll deal with any of the 6 that anyone would have, or any of the public would have a question. But is there any comment from anyone on Finance regarding any of the 6 extensions of professional service contracts? Seeing and hearing none, are there any questions from anybody on Council? (No response). If not, I'd like to recommend that we send items 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14 forward with recommendation for adoption in their third reading. Is there a second? Second by Mr. Clemens. All in favor, state aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Seeing none, they all will go forward for their third reading.

Item number 15, Ordinance making supplemental appropriations for Tax Administrator's Department. Again, this had second reading on 11-10. Any additional comments or questions regarding any of this from Finance? (No response). Anyone on Council? (No response). If not, I'd recommend that we send this forward with recommendation for adoption in the third reading. Is there a second? Second by Mr. Gilbert. All in favor, state aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Hearing none, it will go forward with recommendation for adoption.

We will adjourn Finance at 8:08.

Finance Committee

- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

(Transcribed - Susie Smith)

November 17, 2003