COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

October 19, 2009

Members of Community Development Committee present: Leslie Kelly, Doug Joseph, Donna Shirey, Barth R. Cotner.

Other members of Council present: Ron Stake, Fred Deskins, Jr., Mel Clemens, Council President William L. Hills.

Mrs. Kelly: I'll call the Community Development Committee meeting to order at 7:30 p.m. Item No. 2 on the agenda is approval of agenda. Are there any questions, additions, deletions. Then the agenda stands approved.

Item No. 3 is approval of the minutes of the Community Development Committee meeting held October 5, 2009. Are there any additions, deletions? I move to approve the minutes. Do I have a second? I don't need a second. Okay, I'm approving them.

Okay, our fourth on the agenda is the ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE MULTI-USE TRAIL IN CIVIC PARK and APPROPRIATING FUNDS THEREFORE- - -first reading 10-12-09. Are there any questions. Then I'd like this to stand as it's second reading and return to committee...send it forward for its second reading. Thank you. Seconded by Mr. Joseph, and with that I will adjourn the Community Development Meeting at....all those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Okay, I will adjourn the Community Development Meeting at 7:31 p.m.

Community Development Committee

- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

October 19, 2009

(Transcribed/S. Cochran, Ass't. Clerk of Council)

SAFETY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

October 19, 2009

Members of Safety Committee present: Fred Deskins, Jr., Ron Stake, Doug Joseph, Barth R. Cotner.

Other members of Council present: Donna Shirey, Leslie Kelly, Mel Clemens, Council President William L. Hills.

Mr. Deskins: I'll open the Safety Committee at 7:32 p.m. First thing on the agenda is the approval of agenda. Hearing no changes it will stand as written.

Item No. 3 is approval of the minutes of the Safety Committee meeting held September 21, 2009. Are there any changes to the minutes? If not, they'll stand as written.

Item 4 - Discussion: Liquor Permit Request - Transfer - from Hook SuperX, LLC to Ohio CVS Stores, LLC, dba CVS Pharmacy 6953, 6659 E. Main St. & Mezzanine, Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068. Chief.

Chief Suciu: Yes, Chairman Deskins. We did an investigation into both of these liquor permit transfers and found no reason to appeal either transfer.

Mr. Deskins: Thank you very much. Are there any questions for the Chief? If not, I will send, Nancy, can I send them both to you at the same time or do I have to do them individually?

Mrs. Frazier: Individually, please.

Mr. Deskins: I'll send Item No. 4 back to the Clerk for her disposal without any action from my Committee and I'd like approval with a vote please. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response).

Item 5 - Discussion: Liquor Permit Request - Transfer - from Reynoldsburg CVS, Inc. to Ohio CVS Stores, LLC, dba CVS Pharmacy 1756, 8467 E. Main St., Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068 (Clerk's note: 46068 shown on Notice to Legislative Authority). The same thing applies to Item No. 5 as it did from the Chief on Item No. 4. I'll send, refer it back to the Clerk for her disposal without any action from the Committee. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Thank you very much and with that, I'll close the Safety Committee Meeting at 7:34 p.m.

Safety Committee

- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

October 19, 2009

(Transcribed/S. Cochran, Ass't. Clerk of Council)

SERVICE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES
October 19, 2009

Members of Service Committee present: Mel Clemens, Donna Shirey, Doug Joseph, Barth R. Cotner.

Other members of Council present: Ron Stake, Fred Deskins, Jr., Leslie Kelly, Council President William L. Hills.

Mr. Clemens: I'll call the Service Committee Meeting to order at 7:35 p.m.

The first item on the agenda is approval of agenda. Are there any additions or deletions to the agenda? I'd like to add as 3a. Other Legislation. I'd like to make a motion for approval of the agenda. Seconded by Mr. Joseph. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response).

Item 3 - Approval of minutes of the Service Committee Meeting held October 5, 2009. Do I have any additions or deletions to the minutes. If not they'll stand approved.

Item 3a - I would like to remove from Other Legislation, Item (1) and Item (2). Item (2) has been taken care of. I've discussed that with the Service Director and it's been corrected. So I'd like to remove from Other Legislation Item (1) and Item (2). Are there any questions from members of Council, members of Committee? If not, I'd like to make a motion to remove Items (1) and (2) from Other Legislation. Seconded by Mrs. Shirey. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Thank you.

Item 4 - Discussion: Correction of administrative error - to include Section 1119.08 in legislation that was just passed; and to make correction to Table 1155. This is just an administrative correction and what it does, in a note from the Clerk, Section 1119.08 was not included and it should be, and 1155 was passed and POD needs to be reflected in the ordinance, is that right Nancy?

Mrs. Frazier: That's correct.

Mr. Clemens: What I'm saying. I would like to, are there questions on this, members of Committee, members of Council? If not, I would like to send this to Council as an emergency to correct the error. Seconded by Mr. Joseph. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Thank you.

Item 5 - Discussion: Moratorium on multi-family structures- - -topic added to 9-8-09 agenda and discussed; item held two weeks. On 9-21-09 item held two weeks. *On 10-5-09 item held two weeks.* I met with our Development Director and our Zoning Administrator and they've worked on this, we discussed basically what we were looking for. Actually, it's for a moratorium on condos. It's not that, I don't think we need it on apartments either. We're not going to zone anything for apartments. We don't have the land and I don't think anybody right now would zone anything for apartments, period. What this does, we've had the problem of our condos being built, our new condos being built that we zoned for condos being built, and instead of being sold, they've been leased and been rented. We've had complaints from people that have bought into the condos, new condos with the thought that that's what they had. They didn't know they were going to be rentals, as far as leased out. I've had a lot of calls on this pertaining to this and I do feel sorry for the people that got involved in this, as far as, but there's really nothing that we can do about it as far as the renting and the leasing of them, but there is a way, there is different ways that we can control possibly in the future; and our Development Director has come up with these items that you received in the mail and that's by making more green area and cutting the number of apartments that can be built, I mean the number of condos that can be built on certain property. Also require the exteriors to be of different materials such as stone, brick, decorated wood and so forth that would make this, by doing this, by cutting these sections and making like 30% open space instead of 15% and cutting the square footage and the number of units per section, would increase the value and cost of the condos. Now, with increase in the cost, it would be our hope that in the future, if they were built, it would be at the expense that they would not be rented or leased. That's what you received from our Development Director and Luke, would you like to come up a minute and just go over this a second. But the object is to try, it's been my thought to try to control the condos from being rented and leased and I think this would do it. Go ahead Luke, what do you think about it.

Development Director Haire: Yeah, thanks Chairman Clemens. As Chairman Clemens mentioned, this is not a moratorium on multi-family development; that's not what this ordinance is intended to do and after speaking with Chairman Clemens about this, I don't know that's necessarily what he wanted to accomplish with this. These proposed code changes will slow the development of multi-family land in Reynoldsburg by requiring more open space. It will also require less units per building, more in line with what a condominium would be, rather than what apartment buildings would be, and it also will require quality and durable materials. Hopefully, that will get us away from some of the problems we've had recently with some of the materials that have not been up to par and not been maintained over the years. So that's the thought behind the materials, is that we get a quality material that will be durable and last over time. That's all I had to mention about it. If you have any other questions, I'd be happy to....

Mr. Clemens: And we would be requiring 75%.

Mr. Haire: Correct.

Mr. Clemens: Which is quite a bit of a change.

Mr. Haire: Yeah, we want to at least maintain some flexibility in there and you know, there's always new materials that come out. We don't want to prohibit people from using new materials so, but we want to allow some design flexibility, but still require that durable materials are used.

Mr. Clemens: But by changing and only allowing four units, that's a big change.

Mr. Haire: Yes.

Mr. Clemens: And the 30% area of green space, that's a big change, so that would, I believe that would help us, if we get in the situation where there's more condos to be built. Now this also would require in the ground that's under development, if the site plan hadn't been turned in, this would fall under this wouldn't it?

Mr. Haire: Correct.

Mr. Clemens: So the ground can be zoned right now, but if the site plans haven't been approved and this ordinance would be adopted, it would require even that property to fall under this restriction. This is brought up for discussions for everybody in the committee to think about. I would like everybody to think, look at, think it over in the next two weeks, because I would like to get some legislation moving forward at the next committee meeting and you know, if there's any changes or any additions to this, you can make them next committee meeting. I think, like I said, I think what my purpose is, and I want everybody to understand, I don't want any more apartments, let's put it that way, period. And I think we're in a situation where we won't have anymore, but we have zoned for condos and you know, I don't want to put a complete stop on condos, I think that people have a right to buy them, but I think if we put it, if we do it in a way that they have to be more expensive, we can help not only the people that buy them as condos, but we can keep them possibly from being leased out and not being sold. Now, you can't stop somebody that owns one privately if they want to rent it out or lease it out, that would fall under the Homeowners Association, but that's not really what we're looking at. We're looking at the developers doing it themselves, not selling them, but leasing them and renting; and so if there's anything else anybody else would like to comment on or think about possibly bring it up at the next committee meeting so we can get something moving, and I'd appreciate it. Are there any questions from anybody this evening for Luke or myself from the Committee? All right, thank you Luke and we'll put this on in ordinance form for our next committee meeting and we'll go from there.

Mr. Haire: Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: I do appreciate it. Thank you.

Item 6 - Discussion: Code Compliance- - -on 7-6-09 item held; on 7-20-09 item discussed and held; on 9-8-09 item discussed and held - - - 9-21-09 - *To be kept on agenda so committee can follow up and see results.* I don't believe there's anything to be brought up on that this evening. There's some things pending and I think when the subjects that are pending are handled, then I think this can be removed. So, we'll leave it alone for the present time. Are there any questions? If not, I'll leave this stand and be on our next committee meeting. And with that, I'd like to call the committee over at 7:45 p.m.

FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES
October 19, 2009

Members of Finance Committee present: Ron Stake, Mel Clemens, Fred Deskins, Jr., Leslie Kelly.

Other members of Council present: Doug Joseph, Barth R. Cotner, Donna Shirey, Council President William L. Hills.

Mr. Stake: I'll call to order the Finance Committee Meeting at 7:46 p.m. Item 2 is approval of the agenda. Are there any changes to the agenda by members of the Finance Committee? Then the agenda will stand as written.

Item 3a - Approval of minutes of the special Finance Committee meeting held September 28, 2009. Are there any corrections to those minutes?

Mayor McCloud: Mr. Stake.

Mr. Stake: Mayor, you had something?

Mayor McCloud: In regard to the meeting minutes, if I may, I did not speak during that meeting and the phrase on page 17 attributed to me, I did not say. It's next to the last line, page 17.

Mr. Stake: Okay, and that would be "Fat chance"?

Mayor McCloud: Yes.

Mr. Stake: Okay and I think at that time we were talking about submitting a budget for 2010.

Mayor McCloud: That is correct.

Mr. Stake: And you're saying that you did not say that.

Mayor McCloud: I did not say that.

Mr. Stake: Okay, we'll note here that, the Clerk would please note that the Mayor states that he did not say that. Other than that, the minutes will stand as submitted.

Item 3b - Approval of minutes of Finance Committee meeting held October 5, 2009. Are there any corrections to those minutes. Hearing none, those minutes will stand as submitted.

Item 4 - Discussion: Appropriation of Special Funds (per State Auditor) and this comes from our City Auditor, Mr. Harris.

Mr. Harris: Thank you Chairman Stake, members of Council. During our Audit that happened in the Spring, when we met afterwards, one of the suggestions that the State Auditor had was we change our Appropriation Ordinance which in the past has always allowed the Auditor to take any money that comes in to the Fiduciary Funds and the Special Revenue Funds for the Police Department, there's about six of them, any money they receive, to automatically appropriate it. They felt it didn't violate the actual law, certainly the spirit of the law that Council's the only one who can appropriate money, so in order to change this, we have had to, we are asking that the monies on the attached sheets be appropriated to those accounts to make up for the monies that have been received this year; and then I've had discussions with the Safety/Service Director and the Chief concerning the funds as we go forward, that we will only do, the beginning balances will be put in the ordinance and they'll be appropriated. That way, if there's any additional funds come in and the Chief needs to spend them, he will be coming to Council asking for additional appropriation. So with that in mind I would ask that Council, we have numbers on here, that the monies that need to be appropriated in the Special Funds, and I would ask that Council put those in as a supplemental appropriation.

Mr. Stake: Okay, are there any questions from members of Finance Committee for the Auditor, members of Council, and I see you have emergency marked on this. How soon does this need to be.....

Mr. Harris: You can have it, as long as it's in by the end of the year, is fine. So, if you want to read it three times and then pass it as an emergency, so I can have it for the end of the year.

Mr. Stake: Okay, any concerns by any Council members on the emergency? Then I'll make a motion that we send this item on to Council for it's first reading. Seconded by Mr. Deskins. Any further discussion? All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes. Thank you.

Mr. Harris: I'm sticking around, because I'm going to be here for a while.

Mr. Stake: Item 5 - Discussion: Limit Blanket Purchase Order amounts (per State Auditor).

Mr. Harris: Thank you Chairman Stake. Also in the Audit that was completed, they suggested that we have limits, that are passed by Council. Now I have put limits over the years on what I will sign in the way of Purchase Orders and that's fine, but they said that there should be something codified that says 'this is a law and you can't go over this' and I'll go over some of these and some of the reasons for doing it. We put a couple of different things on here. Number one, Miscellaneous Supplies and Services listed, limited to $25,000. Most of the supplies and services will be for far less than that, but we do have a couple, one of which is in the Parks and Rec Department where they do a Blanket Purchase Order to pay sports officials and because things like baseball and soccer run over, the $25,000 may, will be needed, because if you went to $10,000, they would need several Blanket Purchase Orders which they can't do at one time in order to accomplish that. So we would like to make that a $25,000.

Utilities, Health Insurance, Water/Wastewater, Solid Waste up to the amount appropriated. We have things, contracts with Columbus, and with Rumpke, and other providers for utilities, and also in the Utilities would be things like they file one large Blanket Purchase Order for utilities for the city which would include, telephone, cell phones, gas, electric and those together can come up to a fairly large amount. There isn't one specific account that that goes to so I would like to be able to take those up to that amount in that particular line item that they couldn't go over that.

Capital Improvement contingencies to the amount approved by Council. We'll have a contract to a company to perform street paving or whatever, but there's always a contingency for that which can run, in the case of Rosehill Road, several thousand. I wouldn't want people to have to, you know, have $25,000 contingencies and then every two weeks have to keep doing the $25,000 contingencies. It would be a waste of manpower to do that.

Also I'd like to put in there, no Blanket PO can be used to pay any contract. I would like to see any contracts, instead of being paid on a Blanket PO, being paid to the person involved. In the case of the utilities, it would be the City of Columbus, Rumpke, anybody who's paying anything in the way of a contract, there should be a Purchase Order to whoever the contract is to and whoever you have the agreement with. So that was our suggestions. We'll certainly not hold anybody to this, but we would like to see something put in codification that would limit that and even if that's done, I can continue to limit above and beyond that what I'm willing to sign, but at least we would have something codified that you couldn't go over, and I'll certainly answer any questions anybody has about it.

Mr. Stake: Okay, any questions for Mr. Harris from members of the Finance Committee, members of Council? Three readings also on this?

Mr. Harris: Yeah, just as long as it's by the end of the year.

Mr. Stake: All right, then I'll make a motion that we send this item on to Council for it's first reading. Seconded by Mr. Clemens. Any further discussion? All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes. Thank you.

Item 6 - Discussion: Supplemental appropriation - Taylor Square TIF (Fairfield County) payment to schools. Mr. Harris.

Mr. Harris: Thank you Chairman Stake. With the reassessment of property in Fairfield County a couple of years ago and the current economic condition with real estate, we have a difficult time trying to figure out how much money will come into that fund. It was short last year and I assumed that was because of some ongoing negotiations over commercial property in that area. This year it came in considerably more than that and at this point, I need to write the check for close to $30,000 to the schools to be compliant with the TIF Agreement that we have with the schools. The money is certainly in the account, the unappropriated Taylor Square TIF account, but I do need an appropriation in order to have the authority to write the schools a check for

$29,000 and change, that we will be doing. So, I would ask for an appropriation, this one I would like an emergency on and a little bit quicker so I can get it paid in a timely manner.

Mr. Stake: Okay, any questions or comments from members of Finance Committee for the Auditor, members of Council? Then I'll make a motion that we appropriate $30,000 in the unappropriated Taylor Square TIF Fund 970, be appropriated into account no. 970.002.5527. I'll send this on to Council with recommendation for adoption as an emergency. Seconded by Mrs. Kelly. Any further discussion. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes.

Item 7 - Discussion: Supplemental appropriation - Water Fund - December Debt Payment on bond issued to paint Broad Street Water Tower. Mr. Harris.

Mr. Harris: Thank you Chairman Stake. Back in the Spring, Council authorized me to go out and to issue bonds in order to raise funds in order to pay for the painting of the Broad Street Water Tower. The first bond payment is due in December and since the bank would kind of like to have their money, I will need an appropriation authorizing me to pay both bond principal and bond interest in accordance with what we signed with the company, to get the money to Heartland Bank. So I'm asking for this appropriation. I have to make this payment by December 1 so I will need it to be effective prior to December 1, 2009.

Mr. Stake: Okay, any questions or comments from members of Finance Committee, members of Council? Money's there; this is something we need to do.

Mr. Harris: Absolutely.

Mr. Stake: So if there's no objection, I think I'll make a motion we send this on to be passed as an emergency next Council meeting. So $141,327.08 in the unappropriated Water Fund be appropriated to the account no. 710.991.5414 - $130,900 bond principal, and in account no. 710.991.5424 - $10,427.08 bond interest, be sent on to Council with recommendation for adoption as an emergency. Seconded by Mr. Clemens. Any further discussion? All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes.

Mr. Harris: Thank you.

Mr. Stake: Item 8 - Discussion: 2010 Appropriation - 0and the Mayor did send something down here on the 15th. Mayor, you have any....

Mayor McCloud: Thank you Chairman Stake. Just briefly, this is obviously the first step and as soon as I have more information, this will be updated to City Council with progress as it develops. So this is all that I have at this point.

Mr. Stake: Okay, yeah, I think, we probably want to get into this a little bit more in-depth, get a little more detail on what we have here. Are there any questions from members of Finance Committee or comments, members of Council. I know that there are no revenue projections as of yet. I think the Auditor's working on that and he should have something for us here shortly so if there are no questions or comments, I'll make a motion that we hold this item for two weeks.

Seconded by Mrs. Kelly. Any further discussion? All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes.

Item 9 - Discussion: Capital Improvements Budget. I don't think we've got anything yet on this from the Mayor. We have, are we going to have anything any time soon or....

Mayor McCloud: Yes Chairman you will. It's my intent to have it before, by the next committee meeting.

Mr. Stake: Okay, any questions, comments from members of Finance Committee, members of Council? If there are none, then I'll make a motion that we hold this item for two weeks. Seconded by Mr. Deskins. Any further discussion? All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes.

Item 10 - Discussion: Change of Income Tax Formula (at request of Chairman Stake)- - -on 7-6-09 item held for two weeks; on 7-20-09 item held until after August recess; on 9-8-09 item held; on 9-21-09 item held at time of approval of agenda. *On 10-5-09 item held for two weeks.* In the meantime, we did get some information from the Auditor in regards to this. Members of Council have had an opportunity to review this information. Are there any questions or comments in regards to this? Councilman Clemens.

Mr. Clemens: Yeah, I think since I was the one that brought it up, most of it, after reading what we received from the Auditor, I think it'd be wise to hold off on this a little bit until we get a little more information as far as the Income Tax is going to be. We're low right now and, so I think it'd be wise to maybe hold this item for maybe a month until we get into the Budget plus find out what money we will have come in from the Income Tax Fund which I hope is better than what it looks.

Mr. Stake: Yes I agree with you Councilman Clemens. I think we need to get more into what our revenue projections are going to be. Are there any other comments or questions from members of the Finance Committee on this item, members of Council? Councilman Kelly.

Mrs. Kelly: I concur completely with what you both have said looking at what our end balances are, projecting all the way out to 2014. Wow, I hope our revenue projections project a little bit more positive ones once we get in there. That's kind of scary.

Mr. Stake: Yes and of course, we allocate now 2% to the Capital Improvement Fund. We were looking to have a little bit more than that going in on a consistent basis. Last couple years, we've transferred the funds from our General Fund into this Fund, but there's no regular allocation other than the 2% which doesn't amount to a whole lot right now. If there are no other questions or comments from members of Council, then I'm going to make a motion that we put this item in Other Legislation. Seconded by Mrs. Kelly. Any discussion? All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes.

Item 11 - ORDINANCE SETTING THE RATES FOR REFUSE SERVICES FOR RESIDENTS FOR THE YEARS 2010 THROUGH 2014; CONTRACT WITH RUMPKE OF OHIO, INC.- - -first reading 10-12-09. Is there anything else to add on this? Any questions or comments from members of Finance Committee, members of Council? Then I'll make a motion that we send this item on for its second reading. Seconded by Mr. Deskins. Any further discussion? All those in favor say “Aye”. (All voted “Aye”) Opposed. (No response). Motion carries.

Item 12 - ORDINANCE TRANSFERRING FUNDS FROM CONTRACT WITH CT CONSULTANTS (Ordinance No. 6-09 passed February 9, 2009) TO CONTRACT WITH THE MANNIK AND SMITH GROUP, INC. (Ordinance No. 7-09 passed February 9, 2009) AND AUTHORIZING MAYOR TO SIGN AMENDMENTS TO THE CONTRACTS (Rosehill Road Reconstruction Project (Phases I and II))- - -first reading 10-12-09. Are there any questions or comments in regards to this item from members of Finance Committee, members of Council? Hearing none, then I’ll make a motion that we send this on to Council for its second reading. Seconded by Mr. Clemens. Any further discussion? All those in favor say “Aye”. (All voted “Aye”) Opposed. (No response). Motion carries.

Item 13 - ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF REYNOLDSBURG, OHIO: Subsection (a) of Section 192.02 “Imposition of Tax” of Chapter 192 Hotel Tax and adding a new Subsection (d)- - -first reading 10-12-09. Are there any questions or comments in regards to this item from members of Finance Committee, members of Council? Then I’ll make a motion that we send this on to Council for its second reading. Seconded by Mrs. Kelly. Any further discussion? President Hills.

President Hills: I’d just like to note, I know there’s been some discussion and mixed feelings about an increase on this, and I think we ought to seriously look at before we send it forward for the third reading; we’re fine to move it forward, but until we’ve had that discussion to decide -are we or are we not- because this is a perfect vehicle to do that and it should be discussed and fully debated. So, the only thing I would encourage is, don’t, until we’ve had that discussion. There are some issues between, there’s a difference with our REDI and CICs. I know the City Attorney’s looking at some of that now. We’re trying to get those things cleaned up. As of today, I’m not sure that they are, so the only thing I say is, again, is this a tax increase? Yes it is. Is it a tax increase against the citizens of Reynoldsburg? No it’s not. We have a vehicle here that would serve the purpose of bringing in additional dollars and in essence bringing in more dollars into REDI too. So, I just think that we ought to think about between now and two weeks from now and try and decide and have some discussions with Luke or whomever as to where we need to be.

Mr. Stake: Okay, all right. Any other questions or comments? Then I’ll make a motion that we send this on, I think I did make a motion. We have a second. All those in favor say “Aye”. (All voted “Aye”) Opposed. (No response). Motion passes. I will adjourn the Finance Committee at 8:05 p.m.

Finance Committee

- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

October 19, 2009

(Transcribed/S. Cochran, Ass’t. Clerk of Council)