

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

October 4, 2010

Members of Community Development Committee present: Leslie Kelly, Barth R. Cotner, Chris Long, Nathan Burd.

Other members of Council present: Fred Deskins, Jr., Doug Joseph, Mel Clemens, Council President William L. Hills.

Mrs. Kelly: I'll call the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m. The first order of business is the approval of the agenda. Are there any changes? Hearing none, it will stand as written.

The next order of business is approval of the Community Service, I'm sorry, the Community Development Committee Meeting held July 19, 2010. Are there any changes or deletions? Hearing none, they will stand approved.

Item No. 4 - Discussion: Authorize contract with Hina Environmental Solutions, LLC (Clean Ohio Assistance Fund Implementation Former Big Bear Store #256 Property). Mr. Haire.

Development Director Haire: Thank you, Chairwoman Kelly, Council members. I come before you tonight requesting authorization for the Mayor to enter into contract with Hina Environmental Solutions, LLC, for the Clean Ohio Assistance Fund Demolition and Asbestos Abatement Project at the former Big Bear at 6300 East Livingston Avenue. The city's consultant, Civil Environmental Consultants, reviewed all the bids that were received. We received four bids on this project and they determined that Hina was the lowest and best bidder. So therefore, we're requesting a contract be authorized with them to complete this project. The time line for this, we are requesting an emergency, the time line for this, we'd like to have the project completed by the end of this year.

Mrs. Kelly: Okay, are there any questions for Mr. Haire? Okay, with no questions, I would like to move to send this forward to Council with a recommendation for adoption as an emergency. Seconded by Mr. Long. All in favor vote "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Okay and with that, I will bring the Community Development Committee to close at 7:31 p.m. I think I set a record.

Community Development Committee

-- Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

October 4, 2010

(Transcribed - S. Cochran, Ass't. Clk. of Council)

SAFETY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

October 4, 2010

Members of Safety Committee present: Mel Clemens, Chris Long, Nathan Burd, Fred Deskins, Jr.

Other members of Council present: Leslie Kelly, Doug Joseph, Barth R. Cotner, Council President William L. Hills.

Mr. Clemens: I'll call the Safety Committee Meeting to order at 7:32 p.m. First item on the agenda is the approval of the agenda. Do I have any additions or deletions to the agenda? If not, it'll stand approved.

Item No. 3 - Approval of the minutes of the Safety Committee meeting held September 20, 2010. Are there any corrections to the minutes? If not, they'll stand approved.

Item No. 4 - AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE REYNOLDSBURG CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF REYNOLDSBURG, OHIO: *Section 537.14 "Curfew" of Chapter 537 Offenses Against Persons* ~~AND~~ ESTABLISHING A DAYTIME CURFEW FOR MINORS BETWEEN AND INCLUDING THE AGES OF SIX AND SEVENTEEN WHILE UNDER SUSPENSION OR EXPULSION FROM SCHOOL- -second reading 9-27-2010. Are there any speakers from Committee at the present time? Members of Council? I do have speaker slips, and since this has been a fourteen week ordeal going on, please make new comments if you would please, and try to hold the speaking to three to four minutes. First speaker is Jor-El Godsey. Name and address please.

Mr. Godsey: My name is Jor-El Godsey, I'm at 8426 Priestley Drive and I will keep my comments brief. I greatly appreciate the deliberative process that this Council's undertaking. I recognize that there are concerns on either side. There has been discussion of the original, discussion of the new, and I'm, hopefully we're beyond all that. Whether it plays out in the newspapers or these Council Chambers, I'm appreciative of your efforts to move slowly on this matter. When we're talking about a broad brush with a wide class of citizens, namely the young amongst us, I'm grateful for the wisdom and your willingness to work through this, hear from all of us that are willing to speak and to take all these things under advisement. So I appreciate your effort to do this. I want to thank you for that and want to encourage each and every Council member to support the ordinances that have been worked on and have been refined and are coming before you now for a second reading, I believe tonight, so, or soon. Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: Thank you, Sir. Next speaker is Karen Godsey. Name and address please.

Ms. Godsey: My name is Karen Godsey and I live at 8426 Priestley Drive also. When I read the paper this week for the September 30th edition of *This Week Reynoldsburg*, I was very agitated and felt that I should come and just say a few more points. A section was discussion on the original ordinance, which basically summarizes truancy from the original with the exception of suspended and

expelled. The article references police officers stopping kids they deem suspicious as they have been doing, which the police already have the authority to do this, and then I was, President Bill Hills, you come in on the support given when the original ordinance came in that everybody supported it and I think that was before some other research was done? That's my take on it and some of the discussion and I think that the Safety Committee members have listened and they've done a lot of research and I think they should be given some credit, a lot of credit for not just jumping, but my final point on the particular article is to correct a mis-statement in the article. President Hills, you're quoted as saying 'and then we had the home-school parents come in and say nobody's going to stop my kid' and I don't believe that I heard those words in the chamber. It's not my preference to teach my kids that they're guilty until proven innocent and that was the crux of the discussion that we had raised. So the bottom line for me is, whether I'm a home educator or I'm back in corporate world, for me, I'm against any and all daytime curfews based on being a citizen who supports responsible and constitutional laws. So, thank you.

Mr. Clemens: Thank you. Next speaker, Jason Fuller. Name and address, please.

Mr. Fuller: Hello, Jason Fuller, Waring Way, Columbus, Ohio. I think I've given to each member of Council a proposed amendment and proposed amendment really could affect, I drafted it as a proposed amendment to the recent, the ordinance that's being considered currently that was proposed by Councilmen Long and Burd, but it could apply to either, and I would like to apply to either as the case warrants, that is, whenever any of them are considered.

To start, I want to admit that I made two typo's because this was not, I didn't have all the time in the world to draft this. You see Ohio Revised Code 2151.231. The "3" was added. That's an addition that I'm proposing to make and then you have A6 crossed out ~~(a)~~(d). The "(d)" is an addition as well. I'm proposing to add the "3" and the "(d)" if that makes any sense. If not, I'll address that with questions. The reason for those changes are that for those proposed changes are that 2151.21 doesn't exist. It was repealed about eight years ago. I think what was intended was .31. I suggested changing "(a)" to "(d)" as well, because I think it more accurately reflects what we have going in here. Subsection "(a)" says that "there are reasonable grounds to believe that the conduct, conditions or surroundings of the child are endangering the health, welfare or safety of the child". Subsection "(d)" reads, "there are reasonable grounds to believe that the child committed a delinquent act and that taking the child into custody is necessary to protect the public interest and safety". Subsection "(d)" is more consistent with the language of the ordinance. I'm not sure we should be saying that the health, welfare and safety of the child, which rings of abuse, neglect or dependency, is relevant when we have children, when we have children who are just out and about during school hours. So Subsection "(d)" not only more closely tracks the language of the proposed ordinance, but it also is more fair to the child and what's going on.

The most important part, and this is where I'll end, is my suggestion to delete the reference to 2151.312 which authorizes police to take children into foster homes, Children's Services Agencies, Child Welfare Agencies, things like that. Ohio, for the past several years, has been, has had a lot of emphasis on the Alternative Response Project on recognizing that interventions in the home by

Children's Services Agencies and Child Welfare Agencies causes pretty substantial, on occasion at least, emotional and psychological distressed children and the families. I don't think that anyone in Reynoldsburg probably even knows what 2151.312 is. It hasn't really been discussed at any of the Council meetings that I've been aware of, but 2151.312 authorizes the police to take the children who are detained under this ordinance into custody of Children's Services or a foster home. I don't think anyone really wants that. One, I don't think it's really helpful for any of the children. Two and three, I think 2151.311, which authorizes the police to take children into custody just as they would a normal juvenile delinquent is sufficient and then I added "brought (the language in between the plus signs there)..brought to the child's assigned school or place of education for in-school detention or". That's a suggestion, just as an alternative to the point, .312 language. So what could happen under this amendment is that a child could be processed just like a normal juvenile delinquent would, taken to a Court, taken to a Police Station, parents called, things like that, or could be taken to the school for in-school detention, for the remainder of the school day, and then would carry on as usual with excepting of course, there would be, you know, misdemeanor consequences, but perhaps for the parent, but I think we should seriously consider removing any language that would authorize the police to take the children into foster homes or Children's Services Agencies or things like that where because of the Alternative Response Project and the research behind that, we documented pretty well in this state that interventions in the home when unnecessary can be very harmful to the child and parent and the family. If there are any questions, I'll take those now.

Mr. Clemens: Thank you, Jason.

Mr. Fuller: You're welcome. Thank you for your time.

Mr. Clemens: Are there any questions from Committee, members of Council? If not, do I have a motion to send this to....oh, I'm sorry Norm. Norm Brusk, I'm sorry. I don't know how I could have overlooked you.

Mr. Brusk: Ah, it's easy. I just kind of.....

Mr. Clemens: I mean, I tried, you know.

Mr. Brusk: ...I just kind of blend into the background. Norm Brusk, 1861 Crosswick Court. I hate to be on the other side of these people that have spoken, but I have a problem. I'm confused as hell as to what this current ordinance is in regard to, in comparison to the original. Why is the current ordinance better than the original? I can't figure out what it does. What does it do that the original doesn't, or what doesn't it do that the original does that you guys don't want it to do? What is the actual goal of the ordinance? What are we trying to protect? What are we trying to have this ordinance do? And the big question is, don't the kids who skip school also cause problems by hanging out and going in groups and being where they shouldn't be without authorization, or is it only the suspended or expelled students that are a problem? I just don't understand it. I've been in the

field of industrial hygiene, which is the health part of health and safety, and problem-solving is one of the things that I had to do and the process is one that I don't know if you guys went through or not. I know that you have said that you've gone through it and it's all open and everybody can see it, but there's a lot of things that we out here don't get to see. We don't get the emails that went between you, we don't get to know what people, person on person talked to, we don't know who other people are and I understand that. I'm not complaining about that process. I'm just saying we don't get that information so we don't know how some of this is done, but I have always learned that the first thing you do is define the problem, then you limit the scope of the problem, so that you're working on just that. Then you develop a cure, a correction, something to get it out of there. You then review that to determine, are there unintended problems that might come up with this? Then to insure that it doesn't, make modifications. You've sort of done that, but I don't know. Then implementation and of course, followup after that. The problem is that I have yet to get an answer to those questions that I've asked you. I have asked over and over, what is it that makes the difference and I can't find that answer. I hope you guys have it that you can answer that question instead of being mad that somebody's asking you that question and calling that person a jerk for asking that question. If I'm a jerk, I'm guilty of that. Doggone it, I don't think that was a fair way to handle it. I am disappointed in the way things have gone and I'm disappointed in the answers that you have given. If it's a question of children's rights, I thought that was resolved that that was a red herring and is not the issue and it never was the issue although it was thought to be the issue at the beginning. Other than that, I'm finished. Although, I will probably speak before your final vote with the hopes that it might cause somebody to think a little bit harder about maybe we ought to do this a little differently. Thank you for your time.

Mr. Clemens: Thank you, Mr. Brusk. Are there any questions or comments for Mr. Brusk? Members of Committee, members of Council? If not, I'd like to have a motion to send this to Council.

Mr. Long: So moved.

Mr. Clemens: Are you sending it to Council for approval?

Mr. Long: For it's recommendation for approval for its third and final reading.

Mr. Clemens: There's been a motion by Councilman Long to send this to City Council for approval. Seconded by Mr. Burd. (All voted "Aye" except Mr. Clemens, who voted "Nay".) Motion passes.

Item No. 5 - **AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE REYNOLDSBURG CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF REYNOLDSBURG, OHIO: Section 537.15 "Wrongful Influence of Minor" of Chapter 537 Offenses Against Persons AND ESTABLISHING PARENTAL OR GUARDIAN RESPONSIBILITY FOR A CHILD WHO IS CURRENTLY UNDER DAYTIME CURFEW WHILE UNDER SUSPENSION OR EXPULSION FROM SCHOOL-** -second reading 9-27-2010. Is there anybody on the Committee that would like to

speak on this subject? Members of Council? I don't have any speaker slips, but there's always anybody that wishes to speak on this, now's the time to speak on it. If not, I'd like to have a motion to send....

Mrs. Kelly: I have a question, Mel.

Mr. Clemens: I'm sorry.

Mrs. Kelly: I have a question. Mr. Hood, somebody might have said this before and I don't remember. What specifically can happen to a parent if their child is caught violating the curfew under this ordinance?

City Attorney Hood: The same thing as it exists right now. It's a misdemeanor in the 4th degree.

Mrs. Kelly: So basically, this ordinance already exists?

Mr. Hood: Yes, it's codified in the Reynoldsburg City Codified Ordinances. If you look at the curfew as it exists right now, I think you'll find it.

Mrs. Kelly: So this already exists for our night time curfew?

Mr. Hood: Yes Ma'am.

Mrs. Kelly: Okay, and what happens for the misdemeanor for the 4th degree? What are some of the things that can happen?

Mr. Hood: The maximum possible punishment is \$250 fine and 30 days in jail. I'm not saying that would happen, but that's the maximum.

Mrs. Kelly: Right. What do you typically see happen?

Mr. Hood: I've never seen this cited in the City of Reynoldsburg.

Mrs. Kelly: Okay, thank you.

Mr. Hood: Yes Ma'am.

Mr. Clemens: Thank you, Councilwoman Kelly. President of Council Hills.

Mr. Hills: If I could, follow up a little bit on what Councilperson Kelly's saying. If we looked at that ordinance, what we're seeing here under 537.15 "Wrongful Influence.." is paragraph (4) is "Be

truant from home when such child is suspended or expelled from school". We've added that sentence. That's being added as new language to what is currently codified, which is what Attorney Jed Hood was just referring to. Paragraph "(a)" and I think maybe this will, Leslie, will help clarify, paragraph "(a)" under 537.15 says "No person shall aid, abet, or influence or encourage any child under the age of eighteen years and no parent or guardian of any child under the age of eighteen years shall permit any such child to:...", and there's three things and the first being "Violate Section 537.14". So, in essence, what this new Number 4 is doing is just repeating and specifying what is already in the Code. Am I reading that incorrectly, Mr. Hood?

Mr. Hood: No, Sir.

Mr. Hills: And I'm not sure where everyone wants to go on that, but it's just adding another subsection to a code which, in essence, you've already said if you aid or abet, you're in violation of the point 14. So is it necessary? I think there's a group that thinks it may be, but I guess from a legal standpoint, one may question why, and maybe from an educator's standpoint, I don't know.

Mr. Clemens: Are there any other comments? My only comment is adding it seems like a waste of time. I mean, that's the only reason I can't support it. I mean, it's there, if we're going to support the ordinance then I believe we should support the ordinance with punishment, but it's already there so it's a waste of time doing this one. That's the way I feel about this. Are there any other comments or questions pertaining to this? If not, I'd like to have a motion to send this to Council.

Mr. Long: Thank you, Chairman Clemens. I'll introduce a motion to send this back to Council for its third and final reading with recommendation for adoption.

Mr. Clemens: Thank you, Councilman Long. Do I have a second? Seconded by Mr. Burd. (All voted "Aye" except Mr. Clemens, who voted "Nay".) Motion passes. Thank you, and that ends the Safety Committee Meeting at 7:51 p.m.

Safety Committee

-- -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

October 4, 2010

(Transcribed - S. Cochran, Ass't. Clk. of Council)

FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

October 4, 2010

Members of Finance Committee present: Fred Deskins, Jr., Doug Joseph, Leslie Kelly, Mel Clemens.

Other members of Council present: Barth R. Cotner, Chris Long, Nathan Burd, Council President William L. Hills.

Mr. Deskins: I will open the Finance Committee at 7:52 p.m. First thing on the agenda this evening is the agenda and I have a change. I would like to hold Item No. 7 (Discussion: Fiber internet installation) for a couple weeks at the request of the Mayor's Office. I need a second please. Seconded by Mrs. Kelly. All in favor vote "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion approved, thank you.

Next is Item No. 3, approval of the minutes of the Finance Committee meeting held September 20, 2010. Is there any changes to the minutes? Hearing none, they'll stand as written. Item No. 4 - Discussion: Authorize contracts (16) - Professional Services Providers - 2011 thru 2012. Mayor Brad McCloud.

Mayor McCloud: Thank you, Chairman Deskins, members of Council. This obviously is before you for a first reading. It will, upon passage, authorize me to enter into contract with the following design individuals. There are a few more than last year, and that was at the recommendation of the City Engineer, to provide some specific, additional services.

Mr. Deskins: Is there any questions for the Mayor from Committee, Council? Okay, I'd like to make a motion that sixteen separate pieces of legislation authorizing the Mayor to enter into contract with the professional services providers for 2011, 2012 be sent to Council for first readings. Seconded by Mrs. Kelly. Is there any questions from the Committee, any questions from Council? All in favor vote "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion approved, thank you.

Item No. 5 - Discussion: Authorize lease agreement with Xerox for copier. Mr. ah.....

Mayor McCloud: This quite simply would allow us to auth....to enter into an agreement to lease a Xerox copier over that specific period of time notated in the Legislative Request. This particular copier would also allow us to do some things in-house that we're currently paying an outside vendor to perform. So, if you have technical questions, Kris can answer those.

Mr. Deskins: Brad, is this the same? Are we paying about the same for this one as in.....

Mayor McCloud: Yeah, it's a.....

Kris Haley: The base cost of the actual copier itself is the same one that we currently have, but it's going to, we've, with the same cost, we've also added some features to allow us to do things, such as the Parks Department, to be able to do things in-house. We won't have to send them outside to get printed, so it's going to save the city money by being able to do things ourselves instead of sending it out to be done.

Mr. Deskins: I guess the next question is, we talked a little bit about it this evening. What's it cost per copy, and do we pay extra for all the copies we run?

Mr. Haley: It is approximately \$.06 per black and white copy and just a little bit under \$.10 per copy for color. That's one of the things we're adding is the color capability in order to be able to print and copy in color for special jobs.

Mr. Deskins: Is there any questions from the Committee? Leslie.

Mrs. Kelly: You said the base cost is the same?

Mr. Haley: Uh-huh.

Mrs. Kelly: Are the per-copy costs the same?

Mr. Haley: I believe they're a little bit lower than the current one for the black and white. We haven't had color up to this point, so that's a new addition, but the cost for base device versus base device is approximately the same, I think it may have went up like \$10 or something like that.

Mrs. Kelly: Are there additional costs that we can incur? Because usually base price means this is the minimum, but that there's.....

Mr. Haley: The base price is the machine, with all of the additions that we have specified. The only other cost is the per click charge for each copy that we make. All of the consumables as far as toner, transfer rollers, everything else that could be used in the machine is included in that per click charge. The only thing we have to buy is the paper.

Mrs. Kelly: And is per click charge the \$.6?

Mr. Haley: Yes.

Mrs. Kelly: Or \$.06, okay. Great, thank you.

Mr. Deskins: Kris, is there a, who is responsible for letting people use this? Are there codes that different people.....

Mr. Haley: Each person will have a code that enables them to basically charge the copies per department. One of the additions actually added to the copier was to be able for the employees to use their badges instead of codes, so when they walk up, they can swipe their badge and it automatically accumulates those copies to their department.

Mr. Deskins: What department is that copier charged to?

Mr. Haley: What's that, I'm sorry.

Mr. Deskins: I know it's charged to all, is there one department's responsible for.....

Mr. Haley: The way it's currently done is the copier and the black and white charges as far as the copier that's upstairs right now is paid for out of General Funds, is that correct? Our thought was to leave that the same way where the black and white copies would be picked up by that fund, but the color would be charged back to the individual departments since it's their jobs that they're running for the special circumstances, so they can budget those costs.

Mr. Deskins: Are there any other questions from the Committee? Councilman Clemens.

Mr. Clemens: Yes, and I believe the Mayor did discuss this; this takes care of all maintenance free....

Mr. Haley: Yes.

Mr. Clemens:they handle all maintenance?

Mr. Haley: Yes.

Mr. Clemens: I've only got one thing to say and you know, and I don't handle this very much, I don't even do a computer, but I do know that color can be wasted and I think that, that's something that, you know, I know this because I used to do it on the wife's, and once we went on a trip and I did all the golf courses in color, stacks of them; I know that cost a lot of money and I didn't get to do it any more.

Mr. Haley: Uh-huh.

Mr. Clemens: And I think if we're going to do our color, I think we ought to be careful on how it's done and

Mr. Haley: The default setup will be for black and white. The user's going to specifically choose to print or copy in color and when they do, it's going to be charged back to their department. So each department's going to have to oversee how much color they're using and for what purpose. That's why we're charging it back to the departments instead of handling out of that General Fund.

Mr. Clemens:because we've survived on black and white for a long time.

Mr. Haley: Uh-huh.

Mr. Deskins: That's a good question Mel. Is there any other questions from the Committee?

Mr. Hills: Councilman Deskins, and this is just one and this is just to clarify from what I'm hearing, \$535 a month is our lease payment for the use of the machine and all the equipment except paper and staples and then there's a copy charge. Our current lease machines, have there been minimums? I know if you own the machine, it will have a minimum on there of 20,000 or 30,000.....

Mr. Haley: I do not know about the current machine, whether there was a minimum number of copies

Mr. Hills:before you were charged for a copy that....

Mr. Haley:on the machine. This one, we actually did ask Xerox if it was the best to add clicks, as they say, into the contract, so we would, say that we have 10,000 clicks before we got charged extra. Basically, because of the State Contract Xerox has with the State, that click charge would not vary whether we paid per individual, or if we had a minimum. So what ends up happening if we paid.....

Mr. Hills: I think that's.....

Mr. Haley:for 10,000 clicks and we didn't use them, we end up paying for ones that we weren't going to use.

Mr. Hills: \$535 a month, we're not paying for any prepaid clicks.

Mr. Haley: Correct.

Mr. Hills: This simply the

Mr. Haley: Just the base cost of the machine.....

Mr. Hills:just sit the machine in there. They're going to provide all the services, all the parts, all the toner. We pay for paper and staples and they do everything else.

Mr. Haley: That is correct.

Mr. Hills: Quicker than they have been lately on maintenance?

Mr. Haley: Um.....

Mr. Hills: It's a new machine. They should, I guess.

Mr. Haley: Well, the machine upstairs is not through Xerox, so.

Mr. Hills: But that's why Xerox likes it so well, is you're taking out another machine. They like that. That's bonus points to them.

Mr. Haley: The um, the Police Department, from what I've, from the individuals I've talked to at the Police Department, who have similar machines from Xerox currently, Xerox has been very responsive in getting here and getting the machines fixed and the.....

Mr. Hills: I think we've had some problems on the one downstairs outside the Council office. Now this will replace the _____ BizHub, Xerox....

Mr. Haley: Uh-huh.

Mr. Hills:and it's not a bad route to go. I'll be honest with you. I've, we've dealt with Xerox for a long time and they reduced their price of the machine about 40%_____about four years ago.

Mr. Haley: Just for everybody's knowledge, we did actually go through and price the machine with three different vendors. Xerox came out to be the best value and we felt it was also nice that we can start getting all of our machines under one vendor so that we have one phone number to call instead of three or four vendors.

Mr. Hills: I think that's a good decision.

Mr. Deskins: Mel, did you have another question?

Mr. Clemens: That answered it.

Mr. Deskins: Is there any other questions from Council, anyone? Thank you, Kris. I would like to make a motion that legislation be sent to the Special Council Meeting following this Committee Meeting this evening authorizing the Mayor to enter into a lease agreement for Xerox copier, running for sixty months at \$535.70 a month with recommendation for adoption as an emergency. Seconded by Mr. Joseph. All those....oh, wait a minute. Any questions from the Committee, Council? All those in favor vote "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion approved. Thank you, Kris.

Item No. 6 - Discussion: Authorize purchase of two servers and associated software, and appropriation of funds. Same two again.

Mayor McCloud: Thank you, Chairman Deskins. One of the things we did immediately after we moved to out-source the IT function was to do a system analysis and put together a plan and

some system deficiencies were identified that gave Kris concern, particularly as they potentially impacted our Police Department. So the legislation you have before you will allow us to address those deficiencies ideally before we encounter a major problem.

Mr. Deskins: Kris, would you like to go into your concerns on some of the servers you're presently using and talk_____

Mr. Haley: I'll go into some of those concerns. I think there are some of them that wouldn't be appropriate to discuss.

Mr. Deskins: Yep.

Mr. Haley: Basically, the equipment that is currently in place for both the city and the Police Department, other than the New World System that was purchased earlier this year, the rest of the servers are anywhere from the year 2000, 2001 to the year 2007 when they were purchased. So currently, we have hardware that is long past its life span and all of which are out of warranty. So if we have a problem with one of the pieces of hardware, we are unable to get help from the vendor, it's not under warranty, we have to pay for individual parts to try and repair it ourselves.

At this time, we've actually had in the last sixty days, we had a server at the Police Department that has failed. We did an emergency virtualization, which basically took the server that was failing and moved it into the hardware that we currently have for the New World System. Now in order to do that, we actually shut down part of the New World System that is not being used yet, but come January, we're going to need to turn that back on. So we have to be able to have time to be able to put this in place before they need that part of the system when they go live. So the ordinance that you have in front of you, the request that we put in was for the additional hardware to add to the existing New World System in what's called a virtualization technology that allows us to use shared hardware for all of the systems. If we did not do that, if we did a typical approach that would have been used 5 or 6 years ago, it would have been probably three times the expense that it is now. So we're going to use that virtualization technology to be able to move forward.

The piece of this in addition to the hardware, was the software that I'll just touch on real quick while I'm here. There is currently in the city, we have people using different versions of software and some software is even unlicensed. So we need to get everybody at least licensed and preferably on the same platform, because we're starting to have requests from users that they cannot open documents being sent from other government agencies, because they don't have the newest software to be able to open it. We're trying to deal with those as best we can, but this will allow us to bring everybody up to the latest version of Microsoft Office to be able to communicate with everyone else and license those users that are not licensed currently. We actually, the reason for the agreement that is actually listed here, was when we went out to buy the licensing, we actually found out it was more economical to go into the Enterprise Agreement licensing with the State to be able to utilize their pricing, which for all the projects we wanted to do over the next two years, by entering into this licensing scheme, we're actually able to save \$30,000 on licensing. I'd be happy to answer any other questions you have about the project.

Mr. Deskins: Kris, in your discussing about _____, I noticed in the back couple pages of that contract, it's got 100 best _____required. Can you go into the numbers we have and what that means and you explain.....

Mr. Haley: You're referring to the 250 minimum?

Mr. Deskins: Yes, yes.

Mr. Haley: The Enterprise Agreement is actually held by the State. We are piggybacking off the State contract so the State has actually already met the 250 desktop limit. So as we add our requirements on to the State contract we are not held to that 250 desktop minimum.

Mr. Deskins: Thank you very much. Is there any questions from the Committee for Kris? Any questions from Council? Then I'd like to make a motion that we send to the Special Council Meeting following Committee Meeting this evening an ordinance authorizing appropriations of \$32,617.19 for two servers and components with recommendation for adoption as an emergency. Seconded by Mr. Clemens. Are there any questions from the Committee, any questions from Council? All those in favor vote "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response).

Motion passes, thank you.

I will make another motion that we send to the Special Council Meeting following Committee Meetings this evening, an ordinance authorizing the Mayor to enter into a contract with CDW-G Microsoft Enterprise 6.6 Agreement and appropriations of \$116,343.96 with recommendation for adoption as an emergency. Seconded by Mrs. Kelly. Are there any questions from the Committee, any questions from Council? All those in favor vote "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes. Thank you, Kris.

Next is Item No. 7. We've going to hold that for a couple weeks until more information is gathered.

Item No. 8 - A RESOLUTION ACCEPTING, APPROVING AND RATIFYING THE SUBMITTED RECOMMENDATIONS OF SEVERAL CITY OF REYNOLDSBURG TAX INCENTIVE REVIEW COUNCILS- -first reading 9-27-2010. I'd like to make a motion that this legislation be sent back to Council for its second reading. Seconded by Mr. Clemens. Any questions from Committee, questions from Council? All those in favor vote "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes, thank you. I'd like to close the Finance Committee Meeting at 8:07 p.m.

Finance Committee

-- Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

October 4, 2010

(Transcribed - S. Cochran, Ass't. Clk. of Council)