

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES
September 19, 2005

Members of Community Development Committee present: Brett Baxter, Antoinette Newman, Preston Stearns.

Other members of Council present: Mel Clemens, Lane J. Beougher, Ron Stake, Council President William L. Hills.

Mr. Baxter: I'd like to call to order the Community Development Committee at 7:33. The first item is approval of minutes of September 6, 2005 Community Development Committee meeting. Are there any changes or updates to that? (No response). Hearing none, we'll accept them as published.

Approval of the agenda. Are there any changes to the agenda? (No response). Hearing none, we'll accept them as published.

The fourth item is Election of Chairman and appointment of Vice Chairman. I'll be looking for a motion. Councilman Newman.

Mrs. Newman: Yes, I'd like to nominate Mr. Baxter to be the Chairman.

Mr. Baxter: Thank you. Nancy, do I need a second on that?

Mrs. Frazier: Yes, sir.

Mr. Baxter: And can I get a second? Seconded by Councilman Stearns. All in favor? (All voted "Aye"). All opposed? (No response). Motion passes. And I would ask that Mrs. Newman be Vice Chair. If she would accept that?

Mrs. Newman: Yes.

Mr. Baxter: Well, thank you. So noted.

The first item on the agenda to discuss is the ORDINANCE MAKING SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION FOR REPLACEMENT OF TREES AT THE LIVINGSTON HOUSE PROPERTY. It had its first reading on 9-12-05. And Paul, I believe that one's yours.

Mr. Walsh: Yes, sir.

Mr. Baxter: Paul Walsh.

Mr. Walsh: Thank you, Mr. Baxter, members of Council. Last week, there was a question on the additional quote that we had received on the trees. I have attached that with a memo that you should have received in your packet with the quote from Midwest Landscape. And we are currently continuing to recommend Green King be the company to choose as they are a local

company. They do have the trees and they can accomplish the job. That's all I have.

Mr. Baxter: Paul, just a quick question if I might. On the one memo dated August 17, I show three American yellow wood, 20 gray dogwood, and 1 black walnut.

Mr. Walsh: Yes, sir.

Mr. Baxter: And on the February 14 quotation, I see a whole listing of different trees.

Mr. Walsh: Correct.

Mr. Baxter: And we are going to go with the listing of the August 17 listing?

Mr. Walsh: Correct.

Mr. Baxter: Okay. I just wanted to make sure I knew which one we were going with. And you're okay with those changes?

Mr. Walsh: Yes, sir.

Mr. Baxter: Very good. Alright, at this point, we need to move this on to, are there any discussions from the committee? (No response). I have a question here from Council President.

Mr. Hills: Paul, I thank you for enclosing the, when you say that you had another bid, I do thank you for enclosing that. It is always nice to see it on paper. But, is the date on that bid correct?

Mr. Walsh: Yes, sir. It is.

Mr. Hills: So one bid came in in February 14 of '05, and the other bid is two days before the piece of legislation was 8-15-05?

Mr. Walsh: That's right. That was back when we had the issue. And I did ask for Midwest Landscape to give us pricing on those trees. So that's where that came from.

Mr. Hills: And that was the single bid you asked for at that time?

Mr. Walsh: That's correct. And then we asked for a second one from Green King. More recently.

Mr. Hills: Okay. That's an explanation. Thank you.

Mr. Baxter: Councilman Stake.

Mr. Stake: Thank you, Chairman Baxter. Mr. Walsh, Midwest Landscape, can you tell me the reason why you asked for a bid from them?

Mr. Walsh: Midwest Landscape is the company, firm that did all the landscaping on Phase I on the Streetscape. And they did a very good job. They've been an excellent company and we've used them for some additional services. They've done an excellent job.

Mr. Stake: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Baxter: Any other questions from committee or Council? (No response). Just one last quick question here. It shows 8-15 on the date. And by the time this passes, are you going to have any issues with a 30 day quote offering? Or are they going to honor it up to?

Mr. Walsh: No. They'll honor it.

Mr. Baxter: Very good. Okay. With that said, I'm going to request that we send this back to Council for a second reading with a recommendation for approval. And I'd also ask that we send it on to the Finance Committee.

Mrs. Frazier: No recommendations.

Mr. Baxter: No recommendation at this point? Thanks, Nancy. Do I need a motion for that?

Mrs. Frazier: You need a second, please.

Mr. Baxter: Second, please. Mrs. Newman. All in favor? (All voted "Aye"). All opposed? (No response). Hearing none, it passes. On to I should say, Finance.

Okay. Moving on to item number 6, ORDINANCE MAKING SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR (1) REPLACEMENT OF ROOF ON THE LIVINGSTON HOUSE; AND ALSO FOR (2) PAINTING SUPPLIES. And Paul again, I notice you're still standing there because you know this one's yours as well.

Mr. Walsh: Yes, sir. This has been, become a more interesting project. As I've gotten a little deeper into this, had some good discussions with Mr. Beougher who is an architect and has provided some really good information and some other additional ideas that I don't have information for you tonight. We did put together some additional info based on last weeks discussions about shake shingles, versus asphalt shingles, versus dimensional shingles. So you've got some information there, and some of the pricing. However, I'm not ready to complete this one, but I will be by our next committee meeting. I'm planning on meeting with Mr. Beougher and Mr. Baxter to iron out some final details on issues and questions that they've had on these.

Mr. Baxter: Very good. And you're suggesting that we move this on for a second reading then? And bring it up again next committee?

Mr. Walsh: I would ask _____ do that and we'll have it finalized by the next committee meeting then.

Mr. Baxter: Okay. Very good.

Mrs. Newman: Mr. Baxter, I have a question.

Mr. Baxter: Mrs. Newman.

Mrs. Newman: What's shake shingle? Does that do anything to our fire insurance rates on that property? Or does it increase it? Or are these fire proof some how?

Mr. Walsh: I don't know what it would do with our insurance. And I don't know if they're fire proof. I do know that from the staff standpoint, we would not recommend them. And that's basically due to cost.

Mrs. Newman: Yeah. Well, that's the way I felt too.

Mr. Hills: Having lived in Little Turtle Phase I for five years, your rates go up.

Mr. Walsh: Okay.

Mr. Baxter: Councilman Stearns.

Mr. Stearns: Yes thank you, Chairman Baxter. Mr. Walsh, are you changing the original request? Any at all?

Mr. Walsh: It's probably going to change. Yes.

Mr. Stearns: It's going to change from what, the prices and everything?

Mr. Walsh: Yes.

Mr. Stearns: Okay. So you know, _____ you're asking the questions to get the right quote and everything here before us, right?

Mr. Walsh: Yes.

Mr. Stearns: So we just wait until next time?

Mr. Walsh: Yes. And I did get additional quote information based on the conversations we had at the last committee meeting. And then I had additional conversation today with Mr. Beougher and with Mr. Baxter. And I don't feel comfortable with what we've presented. So I want to work this through one more time. I gave you as many options as we can get you.

Mr. Stearns: Okay. Well I'll just save my questions then for after you make your final presentation then.

Mr. Walsh: That's fine.

Mr. Baxter: Very good. Councilman Beougher.

Mr. Beougher: I would not recommend the wood shakes either. Both for the reasons of fire loss and also we have overhanging trees on the roof. And that would create issues with algae, and moss, and mold and all sorts of things. So it's probably not an appropriate solution despite the high cost. So I think we could find something in our affordability factor that we can use that will be a much better solution.

Mr. Baxter: Very good. I think it's fair to say that we don't want to lose focus on the scope of this project. And absolutely, the goal was to try to get a roof on top of the Livingston House. That's the number one goal. The second one is if we can keep it period specific, or aesthetically specific and those type of things without it becoming a major cost, that's a secondary goal in my mind. Would there anybody here argue with that?

Mrs. Newman: No. I agree.

Mr. Baxter: With that being the definition, I think that's where our conversations are going to head down here in the future. And I would love to present back to Council, a recommendation or option that meets those functions. So with that said, are there any other questions? (No response). Hearing none, I will ask that we move this on to Council for a second reading. I'll be looking for a second. Councilman Stake has the second. All in favor? Mr. Stearns, I'm sorry. I looked over there and said the wrong name. (All voted "Aye"). All opposed? (No response). Motion carries.

Moving on to the third piece of discussion point here is, ORDINANCE TO SUPPORT A COOPERATIVE DATE BY WHICH ALL CENTRAL OHIO MUNICIPALITIES WILL CELEBRATE HALLOWEEN TRICK-OR-TREATING. It's had its first reading. And again, Paul. I believe this is coming from your area again.

Mr. Walsh: Yes, sir. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. At the last committee meeting, there was a question I remember, concerning if there were other communities that were moving beggar's night to October 31. And I did provide you with a listing of the 42 communities that were listed. And 36 of them, including us, are having beggar's night on October 31. So I think we're pretty much right in line with everybody else in Central Ohio.

Mr. Baxter: Well, I have received a few calls with support of this as well. So, at least from what I've heard, I've heard the same thing. That they prefer it being on the same night. Any questions from the committee? (No response).

Mr. Stearns: But a question can arise though, if it's in the ordinance, that the Mayor can change it with the approval of Council. Is that a possibility?

Mr. Hills: The Mayor would be the one who would be the one making the request of Council to change it which would be a new piece of legislation.

Mr. Stearns: But you have to change the legislation to do so?

Mr. Hills: Right. If this ordinance passes as is, trick-or-treat in the City of Reynoldsburg will always be on October 31. Whether that's a Monday, Tuesday, Saturday, Sunday, whatever night it is.

Mr. Baxter: Follow up?

Mr. Stearns: He answered my question.

Mr. Baxter: Very good. Any other questions from Council? Councilman Beougher.

Mr. Beougher: Thank you, Mr. Baxter. Chairman Baxter. Congratulations. My understanding is that the administration has set the date for this year as October 31. And Paul is the person who has done that. But to set it in stone, he's asking us to pour some concrete I guess around that date.

Mr. Walsh: Yes.

Mr. Baxter: Well, thanks. I didn't know that information. And I think it's the right way to go. It gives the city the ability to know every year, when trick-or-treat's going to be. And I think it's a good idea personally. Any other questions? (No response). Hearing none, I will ask this return to Council for a second reading. Do I have a second? Councilman Stearns with the second. All in favor? (All voted "Aye"). All opposed? (No response). Motion carries.

And with that, I will adjourn the Community Development at 7:47.

Community Development Committee
- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council
September 19, 2005
(Transcribed - Susie Smith)

SAFETY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES September 19, 2005

Members of Safety Committee present: Lane J. Beougher, Antoinette Newman, Ron Stake.
Other members of Council present: Preston Stearns, Brett Baxter, Mel Clemens, Council President William L. Hills.

Mr. Beougher: I will call to order, the Safety Committee meeting at 7:47.

The second item on the agenda is the approval of the minutes of the July 18, 2005 Safety Committee meeting since the Safety Committee did not meet two weeks ago. Are there any changes to the minutes of July 18? (No response). Hearing and seeing none, they will stand as submitted.

Item number 3 is approval of the agenda. Are there any changes to the agenda tonight? (No response). Hearing and seeing none, it will stand as submitted.

Item number 4 is Election of Chairman and appointment of Vice Chairman. Is there a motion? Mr. Stake.

Mr. Stake: I make a motion that Councilman Beougher be Chairman.

Mr. Beougher: Thank you. Is there a second? Mrs. Newman with the second. All in favor, signify by saying aye. (All voted "Aye"). Motion carries. And Mr. Stake, would you serve as Vice Chairman?

Mr. Stake: I will. Thank you.

Mr. Beougher: Thank you. Item number 5 is Discussion of the Graham Road issues. And this was listed at the request of former Chairman Clemens. And I have four speaker slips and I think I'll start with those. I'm sorry. I have five speaker slips. And the first one I received was Karl Schweikert.

Mr. Schweikert: I'm Karl Schweikert. I live at 1744 Graham Road. And I called the office of Safety and Service Committee person, Sharon Reichard. And her secretary tells me that the road has got a good base for truck traffic which is not true. And that's according to Larry Ward in your Street Department. I can, I walked that street the last two weeks, every day. And you can see where the trucks are making indentations in the road. And that's going to cost the city a lot of money to replace it. And I don't understand why they changed that, or took the truck route signs down. And we need them put back up and we need another truck route. Brice Road would be sufficient. That's all I have.

Mr. Beougher: Thank you, Mr. Schweikert. The next one, in the order that I received them is Gary Knapp.

Mr. Knapp: Mr. Beougher, what I was going to ask as a point of order, wouldn't we be better off to, in my opinion, to hear from the Safety Director? And that way, I know there are some issues that she wants to bring up. And then we'd be able to respond to those to you guys versus us say what we, you know, and to get back up here and hash it all over again. I mean, I don't know how that goes. If that's alright. I don't know how your agenda works. But I'd rather hear from her than hear from me right now. Please. I'd give my three minutes to her.

Mr. Beougher: Okay. Does that sit well with the other members of the committee? Mrs. Reichard. Would you do the honors and fill us in? And I know that we have a memo here from you that addresses some of these issues.

Mrs. Reichard: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Beougher, members of the committee, members of Council. What you have before you is a memo from me to the Mayor wherein he had asked me earlier in this

week to provide him with all of the information as you see before you. One of the things, if I can just really kind of cut to the chase on this, is that we received a study, a safety study from ODOT. And in that study, they had advised us to close traffic, northbound traffic on 256 going to Main Street to a left turn. And because of all of the accidents that were going on there. So when we have, you know, we have to allow trucks to go somewhere. And as we were working out issues as it related to that, we removed the 'no truck' signs on Graham Road because they needed a place to go. And so it was split between there and Jackson Avenue and etc., etc. But we've been working, Mr. Baxter and I had the opportunity to talk with ODOT at a meeting on the far east freeway study, a public hearing meeting that was held here, and asked them if they would be willing to allow us and/or for them to, erect signs on I 70 both east and west which would direct truck traffic to the Brice Road exit. It is certainly our thought that the vast majority of the trucks that leave, or come to our community through 256, are going to Taylor Road. So we're hoping, you know, we're going to need to get together and really look at the truck route signage within the community. But that we would begin by getting, and I gave you, I printed each one of those out separately so everybody could see the pretty green color of these signs as they're going up. They are, the west bound is at mile marker 116 and the east bound is at the mile marker 109.2 which is essentially in front of J C Penney. And what it says is, Reynoldsburg truck route, use exit 110 and/or, that's west bound. And east bound is exit 110b. Those are the exits for Brice Road. If we can re-route the traffic that way, what we plan on doing as soon as these go up, and I believe these are going to go up yet this week?

Mr. Ward: Wednesday afternoon.

Mrs. Reichard: Wednesday afternoon. The no trucks on Graham Road signs will go back up on Wednesday. And then we will be asking our law enforcement officer's to take a special look at Graham Road as people, as we need to re-direct some of those trucks that may just happen not to see the signs. So that's, in a nut shell, that's what we plan.

Male: (Inaudible. Speaking off mike).

Mrs. Reichard: That's a re-designation of a route.

Male: (Inaudible. Speaking off mike).

Mr. Beougher: I'm not sure I understand the question.

Mrs. Reichard: I believe he's asking if we can re-direct 256 to Brice Road. If I understand what you're saying, make it a, designate Brice Road as 256.

Male: (Inaudible. Speaking off mike).

Mrs. Reichard: That's not anything I can answer. That's a question for the State Department of Transportation.

Mr. Beougher: So basically, Route 256 starts, well it goes down below 70 but, as it comes past 70

and goes up to 5 points, instead of going up to Main Street from there, turn left on Livingston, and Livingston Avenue would be part of 256 and then go up Brice Road.

Male: (Inaudible. Speaking off mike).

Mr. Beougher: So, Route 70 would be concurrent with Route 256.

Mr. Knapp: I think what he's trying to say is, if you take Route 40, okay, when you come down to Main Street and you get into Bexley, Route 40 runs up, I don't remember the name of the street that it runs up to Broad Street, and then it becomes Route 40. And I think what he's trying to say is, make Route 256 from 70, south of 70 up to 70, 70 to Brice Road and Brice Road to Main Street. (Inaudible. Speaking off mike).

Mr. Schweikert: Like you say, 40 cuts over. Also 16 goes, Broad Street goes into 40 on the west side. It's the same difference. (Inaudible. Speaking off mike).

Mr. Hills: We're not in the city jurisdiction in some of these areas. So it makes it difficult.

Mr. Schweikert: Well, on Brice Road you are. Especially the northbound lane.

Mrs. Reichard: But if we can get our trucks to come off at Brice Road for the downtown business district, it's a moot point. Brice Road becomes the truck route.

Mr. Schweikert: Right. That would be great.

Mrs. Reichard: That's what we're talking about.

Mr. Beougher: There's nothing saying that Route 256 is the truck route. Is there?

Mrs. Reichard: I think there is. But what I'm saying is, if we can redirect traffic to Brice Road, that's what we prefer to do.

Mr. Beougher: I'll recognize Mr. Baxter.

Mr. Baxter: Thank you, Councilman Beougher. We spoke about this with ODOT. And the two things they brought up to us was, the first one is, I asked that question, can we redirect 256 from where it's at at this point? We had two options at that point we spoke about. The one you just spoke about was redirecting it down 70 and having it come up Brice Road. The second one was having it continue where it is through the 5 points, go up Livingston and then turn on Brice Road. Both options I asked about and it takes ODOT, and ODOT is required to make those changes. It is a state route which means trucks pay taxes on a state route and therefore, you can't deny them access on a state route. So currently we can't change it. But we can recommend that ODOT makes a change. And that's very difficult. And from what they told me, it takes a lot of paper work and there's federal changes. The second thing they told me was, a change on a state route cannot increase the size of the state route in your change. So if you're changing one mile, you can only change it to another mile. So if it goes another mile and a half more, it won't let you do it.

Federal regulations prevent you from doing that. So it becomes very difficult to relocate a state route. And that's how they explained it to us. It's easier to do an advisory and ask that a signage be put up to say, please use this route. And that's what we spoke of with ODOT.

Mr. Hills: If we could, Mr. Beougher, if people are going to speak, they need to be at the mike.

Mr. Beougher. Yes.

Mr. Hills: Because all we get is answers to no questions unless it's picked up.

Mr. Beougher: Right. Mrs. Reichard, would you like to address Mr. Baxter's comments? And then we'll move on to the other speakers.

Mrs. Reichard: Yes. We were very fortunate in that the route that we took if you will, is the Brice Road, rerouting the trucks to Brice Road. We did get permission as you know, to go ahead with that. Because it's permissive. The reason for me that I didn't want to reroute the trucks down Livingston Avenue unless we absolutely had to was because there's a school there. Granted, it's a high school, but if anybody's been through there in the morning, like when trucks are coming through and any other place, it would be an absolute nightmare. One of the ideas about taking off another, one of the issues about taking it off of Graham Road, you have a school there too that egresses onto Graham Road. Now albeit, these are youngsters. These are small children. Those small children, while there is someone out there to get them safely across the road, you don't have a lot of cars involved. If you reroute truck traffic down past the high school, you have a lot of traffic involved there. So we just, you know, this is the avenue that we chose and we asked ODOT about. They have approved. They've done it fairly quickly and we feel very grateful that they've done that. The caveat is, we have to erect the signs and we have to take care of them. But at least it's something that's going to happen fairly quickly.

Mr. Beougher: Now let me make sure I understand this. Because 256 is a state route. We have to continue to let them go to Main Street on the old route. But we can make them turn right only?

Mrs. Reichard: Yes. I mean, we can't, I would like to get them all off of there because the turning radius there is absolutely terrible.

Mr. Beougher: It's bad.

Mrs. Reichard: It's very, very bad. So the more you know, trucks don't want to, I mean, they want a smooth path too. They don't take, they don't come down to Main Street just to be ornery. They get down there by happenstance. And if we can reroute them out on 70 because that's where they're coming from, is from 70. If we can reroute them out there to go to Brice Road, and then you bring them over to Main Street, you have the turning radius and everything else that you need to do that with.

Mr. Beougher: Do you have a sense that most of the trucks are coming from the east or from the west? I mean related to Taylor Road for instance. Are they, is the contractor located west or are

they coming from Columbus?

Mrs. Reichard: Boy, I'm sorry. I can't answer that. But I do know that there's a lot of trucks that exit at 256. But the vast majority, I mean if you just sit there and watch, the vast majority are going back to Taylor Road. Or back to the Taylor Square Shopping Center. What we're finding a lot of, if you'll look at your reports that I gave you, one of the things that Larry did was, or the Street Department did, was an axle report on this. And for the same, for the traffic studies that were performed June 7 through the 14, there was a total volume of 69,487 vehicles in a 7 day period. That's an average of 9,986 per day with an average speed of 31 miles per hour on a 25 miles per hour street. The axle report from the same period indicated that there were 192 big trucks, which are more than 1 ton. And then 7 semi-tractor trailer trucks that went through there. The big trucks, a lot of those are going back into construction areas. There's construction as you know going on on Palmer Road. I dare say in the very near future, or at some point in the near future, we're going to have some construction at the 5 way. And we have those big dump trucks etc., going down through those, going down through there. When we put up the no truck signs, then they're going to have to find another way rather than Graham Road to get to where they need to be for that construction traffic. Now with what's going on on Taylor Road, now Taylor Road is open again. They have moved the sewer line work off the road, they would have to use Taylor and go down to Palmer and then in. And none of those roads are really conducive for the trucks either. So I think it's, as long as we have a lot of building going on, we're going to have problems. But we can certainly get the semi's and the gasoline trucks, etc., etc., off of Graham Road as we put the signs back up on Wednesday.

Mr. Beougher: Thank you. Are there questions from other members of the committee for Mrs. Reichard? (No response). Other members of Council? Mr. Baxter.

Mr. Baxter: Thank you, Chairman Beougher. The question I would have Mrs. Reichard is, the truck signs we are putting up, are those just on Main? Or are we also talking about there by the junior high? Because we also know that around 256, it breaks off into, is it Jackson? Is that the street there?

Mrs. Reichard: Oh, you mean the middle school?

Mr. Beougher: You mean the middle school.

Mr. Baxter: The middle school. Thank you.

Mrs. Reichard: The middle school. That's one of the things that I want to meet internally on and really take a look at. Where we're going to put those no truck signs. Because you have to allow the trucks a way to get to where they need to be. So what I need to do now is, sit down with our Street Department staff and perhaps even in some areas, our engineers, and take a look at where we can put up those no truck signs.

Mr. Baxter: Okay. Thank you.

Mrs. Reichard: I mean, I have no illusion that we're going to have instant success getting all those trucks off on Brice Road. It's going to take some law enforcement to help people understand that they can't bring their trucks into certain areas any more. But we need a plan together. I know that this was put together. The Mayor wanted to be here tonight to present this. I think you all know he's got the flue. So he asked me if I would just bring this and give it to you and then you have the opportunity to take a look at it and maybe we can discuss it either next week or in two weeks some more. But in the meantime, we should be able to put together where we're going to put up those no truck signs and bring that back to you.

Mr. Beougher: Thank you, Mrs. Reichard. Mr. Stearns.

Mr. Stearns: Thank you, Chairman Beougher. I have a question. Brice Road I've been told, there's been some truck traffic going up there. Is there a sign there that says no trucks?

Mrs. Reichard: Not to my knowledge. No.

Mr. Stearns: Okay. Then we probably need to look at getting some _____ that due to the fact that the construction is going on on Main Street, some of the trucks are turning and going north of Main Street on Brice Road. So we need to look at that. I did have some complaints on that. Mrs. Reichard: Oh, north of Main Street. I'm sorry. On Brice Road.

Mr. Stearns: Yes.

Mr. Ward: A large part of that is the City of Columbus.

Chief Miller: I apologize Mr. Hills. Chief Miller speaking. Mrs. Reichard, part of that might be that they think that they can get through to Broad Street. And I know that, didn't we post that you can't? That it's not, Brice Road north of Main is not through to Broad Street. And I thought we posted that.

Mrs. Reichard: Yes, we did.

Mr. Stearns: It is there. Yes.

Mrs. Reichard: We did.

Chief Miller: Okay.

Mrs. Reichard: We did. Yeah.

Mr. Stearns: But the trucks are still going by.

Mrs. Reichard: Well, as she said, the vast majority of that is Columbus. Sorry.

Mr. Beougher: Alright. Thank you, Mr. Stearns. Any other members of Council have questions

for Mrs. Reichard? Mr. Hills.

Mr. Hills: I guess, and just to try to put this in perspective, the truck traffic had been diverted to Graham Road due to construction going on in other parts of the city that prevented the truck traffic. Now that construction is finished and you're going to restrict it to Graham Road again? Is that what your goal is here? They'll be no truck traffic on Graham Road.

Mrs. Reichard: The signs will go back up. Yeah. We're hoping to keep it off. Yes. We're going to monitor it to keep the trucks off of Graham Road.

Mr. Hills: Previously, the signs were up was the only thing that helped keep truck traffic.

Mrs. Reichard: Correct.

Mr. Hills: So in essence, I guess what you're saying is, the trucks on Graham Road that have been there for a while were there because in your mind and the administration's mind, the need to put the trucks there because they couldn't get through in other parts of the city. Taylor Road and...

Mrs. Reichard: We gave relief to truck traffic because we put up the no left turn barriers on Main Street off of 256.

Mr. Hills: And then the Taylor Road kept them from coming that way.

Mrs. Reichard: That is correct.

Mr. Hills: So therefore, rightly or wrongly, that decision allowed truck traffic to go to Graham Road.

Mrs. Reichard: Yeah.

Mr. Hills: And now that the construction is over, you're going to try to alleviate truck traffic on Graham Road as much as possible.

Mrs. Reichard: That is correct. To thru trucks. Now if a truck is going in there for a delivery, for construction purposes, etc., etc., they're going to be allowed obviously. But no thru trucks.

Mr. Hills: Thru truck traffic. Okay.

Mrs. Reichard: Yes.

Mr. Beougher: Thank you. Any other questions? Mr. Baxter.

Mr. Baxter: And in fear of beating the dead horse here, I'll just drive this a little bit further. The construction traffic we are talking about, is that dealing with the Streetscape construction? Or is it construction throughout the entire city from buildings?

Mrs. Reichard: Right now it's dealing with the construction there on Palmer Road of those condominiums.

Mr. Baxter: Very good. Also, I would recommend that, since we do have some control in the Streetscape, that we can have talks with our contractors and try to make sure we also have issues with construction traffic from Streetscape going through there. We have some opportunity there. We should take advantage of that.

Mrs. Reichard: We can bring that up at the progress meeting on Wednesday.

Mr. Baxter: That would be my recommendation. Thank you, Chairman Beougher.

Mr. Beougher: Thank you, Mr. Baxter. Thank you, Mrs. Reichard. And stand by. We probably will have some questions from the citizens here in a moment. Gary, would you like to make your statement or ask your questions?

Mr. Knapp: My name's Gary Knapp. I live at 1741 Graham Road. I guess my first statement would be to Mr. Hills. This problem started when we blocked off Main Street. And I seen it coming. And I called Larry because I seen the signs up when they, early in May when they put the signs up and said that they were going to start eliminating turns on 256. Of course, I called Larry, and poor Larry, he's taking the brunt of it and he's been very professional and understanding in listening to me. Calling him about every day. But I seen it coming. And then all of a sudden I seen them taking down the truck route signs. You know, and I had written a letter prior to that. But you know, that's all water under the bridge. I guess the issue was the turns onto Main Street. And I kind of wonder why we couldn't have done a study and seen you know, prior to removal of the signs. But the, my part of this presentation tonight, I've got two things. The hazardous materials route. We're talking about truck routes. Okay? And that's fine. But I think the other thing that is slipping by us here is, hazardous material routes through Reynoldsburg. And maybe that's something that the Safety Committee can look at. Because after this truck traffic started down Graham Road, it's amazing the number of hazardous materials haulers that go up and down Graham Road. Independent of just the truck traffic. My other point I wanted to make was that today, I was out cleaning windows and just watched. In one hour today, there were 5 semi's, 16 dump trucks, and several amount of other trucks that went you know, down Graham Road in one hour. So you can do the math. Does drop off after 6, 7:00 at night. But you know, the other thing you know, when you let these people go, now to retrain them, that's going to be a big project for Chief Miller. And I hope she can accomplish that goal. Because there is a heck of a lot of trucks coming up and down that road. My closing point was, is going to be this, I asked the Mayor a year ago about re-paving that street and he gave me his word that that would be on the street re-paving program this year. And I think you know, I talked to Larry about that and I got Larry's assurance that that was what it was going to be. And there's several areas, both sides of my house, that have the road's deteriorated even more so now with the truck traffic. I'd like to know what the city's position is. And it's a safety issue because the road's deteriorated. It needs re-paved. What are we going to do about the re-paving program that we're not having this year and re-paving that street? I mean, I don't know if anybody can

comment on that or not. But that was my part of the presentation. Thank you.

Mr. Beougher: Thank you, Mr. Knapp. Do you want to move through all the speakers and then respond? Or how would you like to do this, Mrs. Reichard?

Mrs. Reichard: (Inaudible).

Mr. Beougher: Okay. Let's go through all the speakers. Shirley Vingle is our next speaker.

Mrs. Vingle: I'm Shirley Vingle. I live at 1735 Graham Road. I don't know the procedure. Do you want me to tell you exactly what I did last Monday night? Or just answer any questions that you might have on it? I do have some comments about Graham Road besides the sidewalks.

Mr. Beougher: Well I think we all remember your comments about the sidewalks. Especially on Livingston House property. And you asked for a pedestrian bridge across the creek.

Mrs. Vingle: Yes. And the bridge. And the foot bridge. Right. Right.

Mr. Beougher: And I know Mrs. Reichard didn't address the sidewalk issue. And maybe what I'll do is tell you that I had a conversation with Dr. Ross today. And you were indicating that there were some school children coming from the apartments. Now is that the condominiums across Slate Ridge?

Mrs. Vingle: I don't know where they're coming from. They walk by my house. I live, where I live is about a half a block from Palmer.

Mr. Beougher: Okay.

Mrs. Vingle: Toward Main Street. And so I don't know where they're coming from. They may be coming from Slate Ridge. I really don't know. I just know that there's quite a few that walk there enough that the pictures that I showed you, shows the path that they have trod.

Mr. Beougher: Right. Do you have a sense of whether they're middle school children or elementary school age?

Mrs. Vingle: I really don't know.

Mr. Beougher: Okay. One of the things that he told me today was that everyone coming from Slate Ridge would be going to Slate Ridge elementary at that grade level. And that if they were coming from there and walking to Hannah Ashton, it is quite a hike. And they do provide transportation down there for them.

Mrs. Vingle: But the apartments across from Slate Ridge, do they provide transportation for them?

Mr. Beougher: Oh, I see. Down at Stoney Ridge? By the car wash.

Mrs. Vingle: Before the car wash. Yes. Right there behind....

Mr. Beougher: Before the car wash. I would assume so.

Mrs. Vingle: It's right behind. It's not, it's a mile from my house to the new Wesley Ridge. So it would not be a mile for them to walk. So I don't know how the bussing goes. I think they have to live farther than that before they're bussed. Isn't that right? I really don't know. But those apartments are right behind the Calendar Dry Cleaning. That's where the apartments are.

Mr. Beougher: Okay. I see.

Mrs. Vingle: And I really don't know if that's where they're coming from. I just know, and I don't know that it makes a difference. If there's kids walking, they need a sidewalk.

Mr. Beougher: Right. I understand. Okay. And you took the pictures and gave us the pictures.

Mrs. Vingle: Yes. I took the pictures.

Mr. Beougher: And we have those.

Mrs. Vingle: And also the traffic. And also the traffic that's trying to get out of Palmer Road. And also, I'd like to comment on you know, common sense would tell you that with all the construction that's going on on Palmer Road, a lot of these trucks are going there. But the cement trucks and the big hauling trucks have wheels that when they're full, the wheels are down. And when they're empty, the wheels are up. When they go by my house, the wheels are down. Now if they were going to that construction area, the wheels would be up. Because they would have already dumped their load. Most of where, the trucks that are coming to that construction, they're coming from the freeway and they're making that turn. Because there's many times they can't make the turn and they have to back up, and back up, and pull up, and get around there. So those trucks are not really the ones that are going up and down Graham Road any farther than Palmer Road and Livingston. The one's that are making the route from Livingston to Main Street are your gasoline trucks. I think it's Earhart, and they fly down through there. And the big construction trucks that are hauling. And when they come back by there, the same trucks, they're empty. So I don't know where they're going. But they're not going to the construction that's there on Graham Road. Or off of Graham Road.

Mr. Beougher: Alright. Thank you, Mrs. Vingle. Next speaker is Joan Morris.

Ms. Morris: My name is Joan Morris and I live at 1545 Graham Road. This is essentially what I said last week but I feel very strongly about it. I won't read the whole thing but I do have some additions and subtractions there. I hope that you all received and read the petition that was presented last week. There's many, many people on Graham Road, and Marabar, and Jackson that are very concerned about all this, the traffic on Graham Road. I think it was a very big mistake of the City of Reynoldsburg to block off 256 there to no left turn traffic. I still believe, and I know it can be accomplished, but a light should be put up there. A timed light so they can

make the flow of traffic through that area. She mentioned, Mrs. Reichard mentioned that there were so many accidents. The report that I got, a copy of it, 52 accidents in 3 years time. They were rear enders and whatever. And they were not right there at 256. They were also at Water Street down there. So that's not really a great deal of accidents. I think if you compare that to maybe an accident on Graham Road where a child, a school child is hurt or injured, would be much worse than a rear end on a car. They're not only tearing up Graham Road, but they are lowering our property values with that. And I know she says, Mrs. Reichard now says that the signs are going to go back up. But I'll believe that when I see it. And one other point I would like to make to Chief Miller, is the fact that those trucks go up and down there. They are not obeying the speed limit and it's also not being enforced. They go up and down there and I have yet to see one of the truckers stopped for speeding. I see cars stopped by speeding. She also, Mrs. Reichard also says that there's not much car traffic going into Graham Road School. Well I think she better come and park there some day when it's really bad. Because she will see there is traffic going that you can't even get out of your drive some days with the traffic that goes back and forth to Graham Road. I still would urge that the no thru truck signs be up. That's my main point in talking and I don't think they should have ever taken them down. And I would like to see them put back up and all the trucks taken off of Graham Road. Thank you.

Mr. Beougher: Thank you, Mrs. Morris.

Mr. Beougher: Final speaker slip is from David Merringer.

Mr. Merringer: My name's Dave Merringer. I'm at 1565 Graham Road. First of all, I'd like to thank the committee for addressing the situation on Graham Road and from what it appears, it looks like they will have it resolved. I know that you stated Wednesday are the planned date for the signs to be put back up. I'm hoping that's going to be a firm date. One of the questions asked, where are the trucks coming from? The majority of the trucks are actually coming from the freeway back over to Main Street. Depending on the time of day. It seems like earlier in the morning hours, they come off of Main Street and head over to the freeway. I guess it would be southbound. But with the signs going back up, that shouldn't be an issue any longer. One thing that wasn't addressed that I'd like to bring up is with the school being there. I'd highly recommend, it seems that no one has a grasp on the law that you're supposed to stop or yield for pedestrians. I would say that it would probably be a good idea to put some type of signage up stating yield to pedestrians. School children, when there's not a crossing guard there, and after hours, try to cross that road and even any pedestrian. They almost get run down. I live right in front of a cross walk and I see it daily. But you know, it's a different issue but it's something you might want to think about. And then the final thing is, you'd talked about the truck routes. Realistically, 256 should remain the truck route to Jackson. And there should be some type of consideration for hazardous trucks as far as a specific hazardous route. 256 should be considered a standard truck route and there should be signage there (Tape Change). Hazardous material should take Livingston up to Brice Road. So if they don't see the sign on the freeway, at least when they get to that point, they do know to take the hazardous materials up Livingston to Brice Road as well. But really, that's my main points. Along with one other question would be, what are the commitments going to be to actually enforce this with the police department. I know with my complaints of the speeding on the road, I haven't seen really, any efforts on that part. I just

want to, I hope we do have a strong effort to actually patrol the truck routes and actually stop them when they do go down to it. Other than that, like I said, I'd like to thank the committee for at least addressing it and trying to resolve the issue.

Mr. Beougher: Thank you, Mr. Merringer. Mrs. Reichard, would you like to respond? Or Mr. Schweikert, would you like to make a follow up statement?

Mr. Schweikert: I'm just wondering, the turn lane is probably the biggest thing that Mrs. Reichard's got about 256. There's only a short section of Main Street that allows parking. Every one of those businesses have parking in the rear. And I don't understand why they have parking on the street. And that should be looked at too. There's not a business on Main Street there that doesn't have parking in the rear. And that would be enough room for a turn lane to 256.

Mr. Beougher: Thank you, Mr. Schweikert. Mrs. Reichard.

Mrs. Reichard: Okay. The hazardous material trucks throughout Reynoldsburg, we need to take a look at that. And hazardous routes. And we will do that as part of some of the things we're going to look at. I also have, we will be talking about the truck traffic issue at our progress meeting on Wednesday with the Revitalization Project on Main Street. And as I told you before, we're going to have an internal meeting to determine where the truck signs go. And we will be working on that in the next week. And then finally, the request for a sign for yield to pedestrians near the school on Graham Road. Now those are the issues that I have marked down other than what I've stated in the document.

Mr. Beougher: The one about the sidewalks and the pedestrian bridge. I don't know whether Mr. Walsh needs to get involved in that one or...

Mrs. Reichard: Yes.

Mr. Beougher: And I looked at it this weekend and there is a sidewalk that goes down Slate Ridge Boulevard, basically crosses at the corner that the city owns, and continues on down Livingston Avenue. And there's no sidewalk on the office condo's coming up Graham, and nothing other than the road way at the bridge. And then there's nothing on Livingston House property up to the other side of Palmer. And that's where we have no sidewalks. And so I understand that, I also looked at some of the site conditions at the Livingston House. There's a very steep embankment where if we tried to put a sidewalk far enough away from the road, that it was safe for a pedestrian to walk, we'd have to deal with some retaining walls. And I'm not saying that's not impossible or not doable but, there are some issues that we might want to have the City Engineer look at and maybe discussions between you, and Mr. Walsh, and the City Engineer might be a good way to start.

Mrs. Reichard: Yeah. That was the other part of the Graham Road issue that the Mayor had asked me to speak with Paul about. And I know Paul has some information on it. I think it's still a work in progress that he will be ready to share in the next week or so.

Mr. Beougher: Okay. I also, before the meeting, asked Mr. Parkinson if we could conduct a pedestrian study and find out where the kids are coming from. So, I will follow up with Dr. Ross and see if there are children that are required to walk from the apartments on the west side of 256 between the shopping center and Wesley Ridge. And see if we can do something there through the school district, at least temporarily. I know my house is within a mile of the school and my son has bus transportation because he'd have to walk on Waggoner Road. So there are some exceptions to that rule.

Mrs. Reichard: Okay. These other issues, we will get back to you. If you have any additional questions that you think of between now and next Monday night or in the next two weeks, please just give me a call.

Mr. Beougher: I'm not sure who had their hand up first. Mr. Clemens, I'll defer to you as the former chairman.

Mr. Clemens: First I want to thank the people for their statements and so forth. But I think we ought to thank Sharon too for the effort she's put into it. And I think we ought to see if the effort works. And I think that's what it's all about. We're talking about what happened before. I'm not interested. That's over with. What's going to happen now is what I'm interested in. And the signs, put the signs up, see how they operate. As far as moving traffic through Reynoldsburg and hazardous material, let's don't all be talking about going down Livingston Avenue and to Brice. Now you're getting into other neighborhoods too. All neighborhoods are equal on this. So let's just be a little careful when we start directing everything in one direction. Another thing is, and I think it was a good improvement and I will speak on that. The barrier at 256 and Main is a good issue. That's always been a terrible turning situation as far as left hand turns. We've had nothing but problems for the last 10 years. If you drive on Main Street and you try to get out and make a left hand turn, all the signs we've had, no left hand turns, nobody paid any attention to them any way. It just blocked traffic and dangerous. So I have no problem with that either. And I think that's something we should keep. I don't think we should change that at all. It's been a problem. Continual problem. I know I had that. I know the Chief has it. We're out here to improve everything and you can't change everything for one situation. Let's keep track of what we're speaking of and let's get the trucks off of Graham Road. That's the important thing. And I think that your putting the signs back up, we'll see how that works. And our police protection, put a little more effort as far as traffic is concerned on Graham Road and during the school time. And let's give us an opportunity to work these things out. We can't say that they're defeated before they even start. So I appreciate the effort you put into it. And I hope and pray that it works out good. As far as the sidewalks, I agree there should be sidewalks. And there possibly should be sidewalks on both sides of the street. I think one side of Graham Road, if I'm not mistaken, doesn't have sidewalks. And if you're talking about walking, pedestrians walking up and down the street or cutting through from other sections of town, maybe it's time we continue the sidewalks on both sides of Graham Road if we're getting that much traffic. I never thought we were getting that much traffic. But if we are, that's something to consider. That we put sidewalks on both sides and maybe that would be some protection for the kids.

Mrs. Reichard: Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: But those are things to think about. And I do want to thank you for your effort.

Mrs. Reichard: Thank you, Mr. Clemens.

Mr. Beougher: Thank you, Mr. Clemens. Mr. Baxter, did Mr. Clemens do your thunder?

Mr. Baxter: No, he didn't. That's alright though. Thank you, Chairman Beougher. To start off with, I just want to remind everybody here that yes, Graham Road has some needs with the sidewalks along the side for the school. But we also have Waggoner Road. We have Taylor Road. And we also have the middle school going down 256. Those are all areas. And just if we fix Waggoner as well as Graham, you'd still have issues going down 256 trying to get to the middle school. And we can try to sidewalk everything, but I don't think that's the answer either. So I would request if you go to the school board Chairman Beougher, that you also address those issues from a more holistic standpoint rather than pinpoint a single problem. Because I think we do have issues in other places. Not just in the one. I don't know if they presented themselves. These are new schools. I think we need to keep a close eye on it and let's make sure that our kids are being safe in all of our schools where we're held accountable from at least a sidewalk perspective. Thank you.

Mr. Beougher: Thank you, Mr. Baxter. Any other questions or comments from members of Council? Mr. Stake.

Mr. Stake: Thank you, Chairman Beougher. I too am happy to see Sharon that you've changed your mind....

Ms. Morris: I have one more point I'd like to make if possible before you go on to something different.

Mr. Beougher: Thank you, Mrs. Morris. We'll get to you in a moment.

Ms. Morris: Fine.

Mr. Stake: Mrs. Reichard, I also am glad to see you've changed your mind about the truck traffic on Taylor Road and the effort that you've made to put up some signage and direct the trucks on a different route. Also, I appreciate the people from Graham Road bringing this to our attention and the forefront. And that's all I have to say, Chairman Beougher.

Mr. Beougher: Thank you, Mr. Stake. Mrs. Morris, would you like to...

Ms. Morris: Well, it's a point that we've made before. And it's just kind of re-hashing. In the ODOT letter, they also recommended a study for a traffic light there at 256 and Main Street. I wonder what you all have against having a light there. A timed light would work. But everybody keeps saying, no it won't. Well I know it will. I've been in that business for a long time and I know it can be done. It's just that it costs a little bit more for the study for the traffic

light than it did to put up the barrier. And I still think that that would be the solution for Graham Road and all the other truck routes in Reynoldsburg.

Mr. Beougher: Thank you, Ms. Morris. Mrs. Newman.

Mrs. Newman: Mrs. Morris, I strongly agree with you about the traffic light. That's all I have to say.

Mr. Beougher: Any other comments? (No response). Alright. We will, I guess we're looking forward to discussing this again. I guess I'd like to hold this for two weeks and if information's available, we'll discuss it again at that time. Thank you, Mrs. Reichard.

Item number 6, Street Department request for additional funds. Larry, have you been on a spending binge? No. I've read your report and it sounds like there's a lot of unforeseen circumstances, including the price of gasoline which we're all feeling these days. And some projects that are necessary to improve the city that were not anticipated. And I fully understand that. So I'll let you present your case.

Mr. Ward: Well, to make matters a little bit worse, late Friday afternoon, we got our new salt bid information for next year. Our bid price went up \$9.74 a ton. And we were only expecting maybe a couple of dollars a ton. So that was a 27, almost 27 ½% increase.

Mr. Beougher: How long does our current contract run?

Mr. Ward: It ends September 30. We called American Rock Salt today. If we can get an order in before the 30th, it would be at this year's price.

Mr. Beougher: Okay. And you do have emergency language attached?

Mr. Ward: Yes, I do.

Mr. Beougher: So it's possible that we could get that order placed if we pass this as an emergency at next Council meeting?

Mr. Ward: Yes. One thing that I would like to add is, I had in the request, enough money between the State Highway Fund and the City Fund to purchase 700 tons of salt. But if we were given the money to, right now we have about 700 tons of salt on hand. We could, we have enough that we could buy 1500 tons to fill up both buildings.

Mr. Beougher: Okay. And that would be an additional appropriation of how much, Larry?

Mr. Ward: The total cost would be \$53,205. That would save us \$14,610 over the new price.

Mr. Beougher: And that's the total? Or that's the additional amount?

Mr. Ward: That would be the total amount. I was asking 24,829 and we would have to add

another 28,376.

Mr. Beougher: 28,376. That's the number I was looking for. And that would come from the State Highway Fund?

Mr. Ward: We could split it up between the State Highway Fund and the Street Fund.

Mr. Beougher: Okay. Mr. Stake, do you have your calculator tonight?

Mr. Stake: No. I don't.

Mr. Beougher: Alright. We'll work that out.

Mr. Hills: Mr. Beougher.

Mr. Beougher: Yes, Mr. Hills.

Mr. Hills: If I could ask, when you say it comes from those funds, it's going to come from the General Fund to be put in those funds. To be expended. Is that what you're saying?

Mr. Beougher: No.

Mr. Hills: But we're just talking about transferring the funds?

Mr. Ward: No. It would be transferred from the State Highway Fund to the State Highway Account. The salt account. And then from the Street Fund to the other salt account.

Mr. Hills: So you're using the available dollars that you have in another account to buy salt?

Mr. Ward: Not in other accounts. In the funds.

Mr. Hills: In the funds. Okay.

Mr. Ward: Yes. It would take an additional appropriation.

Mr. Beougher: Yeah. We're pulling monies from the Enterprise Funds.

Mr. Ward: Yes. Yes, sir.

Mr. Hills: Which could be used for this purpose. Now, I guess I would ask and, because Mr. Ward, you and I talked about this Friday, and I think for those people that are relatively new to Council and those others who have been here for a while, I think you remember the salt barn situation. I think we've passed it three times on Council for a salt building, or extra storage to be constructed. I think on the third time passed, it finally was constructed. And the goal of the construction of the storage is never to go in to the new contract period in October with empty bins.

That's why we wanted 2,000 tons of storage. And I assume you haven't put any salt down since March or April.

Mr. Ward: No, Mr. Hills. But we started January 1 with 600 tons on hand this year.

Mr. Hills: And I know we had salt problems, and shortages, and everything else because of the winter. But the concern, at least what I thought as Council when we did this, we would be buying salt in the non-salt using season so we walked into the winter seasons with an absolutely full storage of salt. That's always been the goal. We don't. We don't have it. And I'm not saying that's your fault but, I guess shame on us that we didn't ask every month, are the bins full? Because now we've made the problems worse in that we have to get it in a hurry. And it's not that we shouldn't have it, I certainly feel we ought to be funding it. We've got to fund it. That was the purpose. Was have the storage sheds full. But it should have been purchased in April, May, June, July, August, and not late in the season.

Mr. Ward: If I had the money we could have done it. But the building was just built a year ago, Mr. Hills.

Mr. Hills: Mr. Ward, and I guess what I'm asking you, and I'm just making the point that these Council people need to understand. If it wasn't filled in the summer, in the spring and the summer, and you're looking and saying, I can't buy it, that's the time it should have come to Council. Not in late September. We should have known back in May and June. You weren't filling your bins because you didn't have the money to do it. Then we've got an issue to address. Excuse me, I apologize. But I feel strongly about this because you don't know how hard we worked to get you your bins. And I can sympathize with you because somebody's telling you you can't spend the money to fill them.

Mr. Ward: That's right.

Mr. Hills: But either way, we're walking into a winter season with a shortage issue that is somewhat self created. Thank you, Mr. Beougher.

Mr. Beougher: Thank you, President Hills. And I think we're going to do our best to rectify and get the salt in the bins and get it at the old price. Larry, do you want to continue with your presentation?

Mr. Ward: Well, what I would like to do is have the funds. Because we are short in our 200 accounts. We need, between now and the end of the year we still have gasoline, diesel fuel to buy. Cold patch for filling pot holes. And just, a large majority of the items that we buy to operate on comes from that operating supplies account. And we're down, I checked this morning, we've already spent \$793 through 19 days of this month. And at that rate, we'd end up spending \$1,350 this month just for fuel. I only have, in my 200 accounts, I only have \$585 which is not encumbered. In the 300 accounts, we have \$9,200 unencumbered. And close to \$5,000 of that will be needed for the utilities until the end of the year. We have gas heat in the building, and I think everyone's probably heard on the news, October 1, natural gas prices will go up 16%. So

we're just getting hit from all sides.

Mr. Beougher: Alright, Mr. Ward. Do you want, how would you like us to split up the, or do we want to wait until we get to Finance for that discussion on how we split the draws from the Street Fund and the State Highway Fund for the salt? Just a 50/50 split? Or do you want to, before you had 14,829 from Street and 10,000 from State Highway.

Mr. Ward: My proposal would be 20,000 from the State Highway Fund and 33,205 from the Street Fund.

Mr. Beougher: Okay. Does that give you the 28,376? That doesn't seem to add up.

Mr. Ward: Well, that would be the 28,376 plus the 24,829, isn't it?

Mrs. Frazier: Larry, why don't you get a breakdown of numbers for the one's that you have now. You've got four figures on that. (Inaudible). On your initial one, you've got 20,000 for operating supplies, 10,000 for miscellaneous contract services, 14,829 and 10. How do you change now?

Mr. Ward: Okay. Number 1 and number 2 would stay the same.

Mrs. Frazier: Okay.

Mr. Ward: Number 3 would be \$20,000. No, I'm sorry. That's the 33,205. And number 4, which is the unappropriated State Highway Fund, would be 20,000.

Mr. Beougher: Alright. Thank you, Larry. Are there questions from members of the committee? (No response). Members of Council? Mr. Baxter.

Mr. Baxter: Thank you, Chairman Beougher. Questions I have Larry are, the increases you're running into, first off in the salt, you said was going up to, what was it \$9 you said?

Mr. Ward: \$9.74.

Mr. Baxter: Is that a seasonal increase? And can we expect it to come back down? Or is that something that you're telling us we should expect from now on? It seemed like a very large increase.

Mr. Ward: It is. They're blaming it, they're blaming everything on Katrina. But as you look, I got the salt prices. Some of the salt mines are in Louisiana. But we, the last few years, we have been going, the lowest bid has been American Rock Salt and they mine from under Lake Erie up in New York state. So some of the other salt company here, four out of the other four salt companies that bid, they have salt mines in Louisiana. But they also have them up here under Lake Erie. So, I know with the fuel prices, you know, if they don't, aren't willing to pay the truck drivers more money, the truckers just won't be hauling it. Year after year, we have them when they make

deliveries, they tell us that they barely break even hauling salt. So if the salt companies aren't willing to pay the truck drivers, then there will be no way of getting deliveries. But we have no way from year to year, it fluctuates. Some years we expect it to go up in price, and it may go down a dollar, dollar and a half a ton. It's a volatile market and we just never know from year to year. But everyone was shocked this year at the prices.

Mr. Baxter: Just to get to the bottom, to get to my answer if you don't mind, Chairman Beougher, so then you don't anticipate, or you do anticipate it to go up and down then?

Mr. Ward: I'm sorry. I don't have a crystal ball. I have no way of knowing you know, the next years economy and what happens with fuel prices, I'm sure will be the determining factor.

Mr. Baxter: I just want to get clear on this. You're telling me this is not a seasonal issue. It's a fuel price issue.

Mr. Ward: Basically. But what we were told, the people that did the bidding through the co-op that yes, part of it's the fuel prices, and part of it they're blaming Katrina. Because that's where some of the salt mines are.

Mr. Baxter: Okay.

Mr. Beougher: Thank you, Mr. Baxter. Mrs. Newman.

Mrs. Newman: Well that's just what I wanted to say. Probably a lot of it is due to the fuel costs. But part of it would be seasonal too. But it's probably a combination of all three factors.

Mr. Beougher: Any further questions? Mr. Stake.

Mr. Stake: Thank you, Chairman Beougher. Larry, I just would suggest that when you're working on the budget for next year, that you figure on these increase costs and hopefully plan accordingly for next year if you could.

Mr. Ward: Well I've tried. But when you're told to hold a zero balance, you know, what do you do?

Mr. Stake: Okay. Well, I'm not telling you anything. I'm just asking. Trying to be realistic.

Mr. Ward: But you know, I've gone three years with a zero increase in our budget, and it's starting to really take effect.

Mr. Stake: I know it is. Thank you.

Mr. Beougher: Mr. Clemens.

Mr. Clemens: Yeah. And I appreciate Larry, the situation you're in. But this is an important issue. And I don't think it's an issue that we should look at and say that we _____ zero

balance. Because that doesn't mean anything. If you need something, that's what we're here for. And since this is, salt is one of our most important issues, it should not, and I agree with Bill on this point, it should not be brought up until the last minute. We knew we were low on it. We knew we needed it. From now on come to us and we'll give you the money. That's what it's all about. We know this is something we have to have.

Mr. Ward: Well, I understand. But not only just the salt, but some of these other projects that we're working on, we were working on in June and July. And of course, Council's on recess in August. So this was as soon as I could get here.

Mr. Clemens: Well, I don't think we may be on recess but we can come back any time. If it is something needed, we have meetings all the time. I just say that these are issues that have to be done. And we know it has to be done. You know it has to be done. And I agree with what Mr. Stake said. Let's get it done. Next year you know you're going to have so much, let's budget the money to get it. And then we won't be in the situation to have to pass an emergency at the end of the year on something we know that we're going to have to have. That's all.

Mr. Beougher: Mr. Stearns.

Mr. Stearns: Thank you, Chairman Beougher. I agree wholeheartedly with everything that's been said tonight, Larry. And if you do have a problem, just come forward with it. I think we can all work together in a situation like this. Just come forward. Thank you.

Mr. Ward: Thank you.

Mr. Beougher: Thank you, Mr. Stearns. Mr. Baxter.

Mr. Baxter: I'm going to go back to beating a dead horse again, Chairman Beougher. And to my favorite saying for the night, but in this case here, shame on us. We should have had it in the bid when we built the building to fill it up too. You know, hind sight being twenty/twenty.

Mr. Beougher: Well, with that, I'm going to make a motion to refer this to the Finance Committee for the appropriation and to send it to Council. Is there a second? Mr. Stake with the second.

Mrs. Frazier: Excuse me. Are you sending it as an emergency?

Mr. Beougher: Yes. Do we need to send that out of Finance with the emergency? Or do we send it to Finance with the emergency?

Mrs. Frazier: Yes.

Mr. Beougher: Okay. I will amend that. I'd like to make a motion to send this to the Finance Committee with the intent of sending it to Council as an emergency. Is there a second? Mr. Stake with the second. All in favor, please signify by saying aye. (All voted "Aye"). Any opposed? (No response). Three for, zero against. It passes, Larry.

Mr. Ward: Thank you very much.

Mr. Beougher: It will go to Finance.

And with that, I will conclude Safety at 8:47.

Safety Committee

- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

September 19, 2005

(Transcribed - Susie Smith)

SERVICE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

September 19, 2005

Members of Service Committee present: Mel Clemens, Antoinette Newman, Preston Stearns.

Other members of Council present: Brett Baxter, Lane J. Beougher, Ron Stake, Council President William L. Hills.

Mr. Clemens: I'd like to call the Service Committee meeting to order at 8:48. The first item on the agenda, approval of the minutes of September 6, 2005 Service Committee meeting. Do I have any additions or deletions? (No response). If not, they'll stand approved.

Next item, approval of agenda. First, I'd like to hold item 5 (Discussion, Adoption of Rules and Regulations and Forms / City of Reynoldsburg Right of Way ordinance), item 6 (Discussion, Amendment of Ordinance No. 32-05 (Right of Way ordinance), and item 12 (Ordinance to Amend the Official Zoning Map of the City of Reynoldsburg Adopted by Ordinance No. 131-95 on November 27, 1995 and as Subsequently Amended - southeast corner of Lancaster

Avenue and Slate Ridge Boulevard; from CC to PD and CS; applicant, Preferred Real Estate Investments II, LLC and C&T Slate Ridge LLC c/o Jill Tangeman, Esq. (18.96+/- acres)). Next, I would like to add as item 3a, Sanitary Sewer Improvement No. 823. Are there any other additions or deletions to the meeting? (No response). I'd like to have a motion for approval. Motion from Mr. Stearns for approval. Second? Second by Mrs. Newman. All in favor, say aye. (All voted "Aye"). Thank you.

Next item is Election of Chairman and appointment of Vice Chairman. Do I have a nomination for Chairman? Mrs. Newman.

Mrs. Newman: I'd like to nominate Mr. Clemens.

Mr. Clemens: Thank you. Do I have a second? Second by Mr. Stearns. I'd like to call the roll. All in favor, say aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). I'd like to thank you and I'd like to appoint Mrs. Newman, Vice Chairman.

Mrs. Newman: Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: I thank both of you and I'd like to say anything you need, if you need to call me any time, or any discussion, you can call me at home or I'd be glad to get with you any time. I'm retired. As long as I'm not playing golf, we'll get together. Mr. Stearns.

Mr. Stearns: Yes, Chairman. I know this is, I just wanted to say to the other members of Council, that I'm here also. I noticed all the Chairs overlooked me. Those two that I'm on. And I just wanted to let you know that I'm here and I just want to let you know, just because I'm a Democrat, that you have to overlook me and forget that I'm here. So that's just all I wanted to say.

Mr. Clemens: Just because you're a Democrat, I don't hold that against you.

Mr. Stearns: Well, I think there's something here that says, we're going to hold Mr. Stearns back and kind of keep him in the dark some.

Mr. Clemens: No. And I appreciate your work you've done on Council. And you've been kind enough to call me on issues and I want you to continue if you would.

Next item on the agenda, Item number 7, Update on the Sanitary Sewer Extension Project on Taylor and Main. This was held at, do we have an update on that, or is that something?

Mr. Hills: Mr. Chairman, I think you have 3a.

Mr. Clemens: Oh, I'm sorry. 3a. Let's get back to 3a. I got excited there for a minute. I'm sorry. I knew you were here because I knew you'd want money. I should have known it. You have your tie on.

Mr. Hills: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman. But I would like to add, that absolutely is true. Every time

Kipp comes in with a tie, it's about money.

Mr. Clemens: And I know this is an important issue for you Mark and I'm just kidding you. Would you go ahead and explain what this is about for us?

Mr. Kipp: Yeah. Thank you for adding this to the agenda. On Friday morning, we got the bid for the manhole in the stream project. This is the request for authorization for the Mayor to enter into the contract with the Shelly Company for Improvement #823, Stream Location for French Run and Stone Quarry for the bid amount of \$129,437.50, and declaring an emergency. Engineering services will not exceed \$17,700, and the contingency will not exceed \$13,000. So \$160,137.50 will be transferred from the unappropriated Sewer Fund to the Sewer Capacity Fund and appropriate to account 250.101.5629. And the one reason I've asked for an emergency on this is that we would like to get it started and done and the seeding completed before it gets cold.

Mr. Clemens: Okay. And Mark has said he's not had the time to have Mr. Underwood look at it. But he will have him look at it and have it initialed for us before the next meeting. He initialed the first one I think he said. But this is a new...

Mr. Kipp: Yeah. And then I had to make some corrections on it and he hasn't had time to look at it.

Mr. Clemens: You had some questions on it. I know that Bill hasn't seen it.

Mr. Underwood: I did approve the contract and the bond today.

Mr. Clemens: Okay. So it has been approved. Are there any questions from Mr. Kipp on this?

Mrs. Newman.

Mrs. Newman: I was just curious about the Auditor's approval on this. Do we need that?

Mr. Kipp: He was not in this afternoon also, and I will have that before the next meeting for Council. I apologize for the short notice but we just, I just apologize for it.

Mrs. Newman: That's alright.

Mr. Clemens: Are there any other questions from the committee? Mr. Stearns.

Mr. Stearns: Yes, Chairman Clemens. I just wanted to say, I appreciate the fact that you called us and let us know this was coming and it just wasn't something that we were going to look at tonight. And he did give an explanation to it. So I appreciate that.

Mr. Kipp: Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: And I'd also like to thank you Mark. And I think that's the way it should be done. If something comes, it's going to be an emergency like this, that the members of the committee should be contacted so they do know what's coming on. Are there any other questions from

members of Council? Yes, Mr. Baxter.

Mr. Baxter: I think it's important for us to hear why we're doing it. Not just the fact that you'd like to get it done, and that's why we're looking to get an emergency. But there needs to be a reason why this sewer at this time, and why we're going after money at this moment. Can you give me a heads up, what's the big deal on this particular one? Why at the top of the priority and not other sewer issues we've spoken about?

Mr. Kipp: This is the Manhole in the Stream Project that we approved in late spring, early summer.

Mr. Baxter: Way back then.

Mr. Kipp: And the engineering, by the time we got the engineering done and everything, this is just when it came up. And I pushed to get it moved as fast as I can, but I can only do so much as far as pushing it to make sure that it will get completed. But I've been emphatic that I need it done now. Because this is a dry season with low flow. I mean, this is not a normal year. I mean, it's been dry all year. But normally, September, October is your low flows.

Mr. Baxter: Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: Okay. Thank you, Mark. Are there any other questions from the committee members, or from members of Council for Mr. Kipp? (No response). If not, I'd like to make a motion that we send this to Council for a first reading with an emergency. Do I have a second? Second by Mrs. Newman. All in favor, say aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Also, we'll send this to the Finance Committee. Thank you, Mark.

Mr. Kipp: Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: Next item, there's supposed to be an update on the Sanitary Sewer Extension Project at Taylor and Main.

Mr. Kipp: I'll give you a very brief update on it. There's not a lot. On Friday, September 16, the Mayor met with representatives of the Bard property and the owners of the recently annexed 123 acres. And they discussed the options, and they're still pursuing it.

Mr. Clemens: They're still working on it?

Mr. Kipp: Yes. But there was a meeting last Friday.

Mr. Clemens: Are there any questions from members of the committee? Mr. Stearns.

Mr. Stearns: When you say working on it, do you mean working on it to get some money for the contractors? Is that what you mean?

Mr. Kipp: Yes.

Mr. Stearns: Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: Members of Council? Mr. Baxter.

Mr. Baxter: Do we have a time line on this one? When do you expect it to initiate?

Mr. Kipp: I don't have a time line. No.

Mr. Baxter: This year? Next year? I'm looking for a broad perspective. The reason I'm asking, is this something we're going to budget? Is this something that's going to have to come to Council for funds out of the General Fund? I'm just looking where the money's going to come from.

Mr. Kipp: I don't know how it will be resolved. So I can't really say as to what will be needed. I'm just giving you a brief, so you have something on it. I'll let the Mayor address the other issues.

Mr. Clemens: You will keep us informed?

Mr. Kipp: Sure.

Mr. Clemens: Okay. We appreciate it. Are there any other questions for Mr. Kipp? (No response). If not, thank you Mark.

Mr. Kipp: Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: Next item on the agenda, ORDINANCE ACCEPTING SIX UNRECORDED DEEDS OF EASEMENT AND ONE LIMITED WARRANTY DEED. This had its first reading 9-12-05. Are there any questions from the committee? (No response). Members of Council? (No response). If not, I'll make a motion we send it to Council for the second reading. Do I have a second? Second by Mr. Stearns. All in favor, say aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Thank you.

The next item, ORDINANCE GRANTING EASEMENT TO COLUMBIA GAS OF OHIO, INC. FOR INSTALLATION FOR PIPELINES. It had its first reading 9-12-05. Are there any questions from members of the committee on this item? (No response). Members of Council? (No response). If not, I'd like to make a motion that we send this to Council for its second reading. Do I have a second? Second by Mrs. Newman. All in favor, say aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Thank you.

Number 10, ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP OF REYNOLDSBURG ADOPTED BY ORDINANCE NO. 131-95 ON NOVEMBER 27, 1995 AND AS SUBSEQUENTLY AMENDED - 9366 AND 9370 Taylor Road; from township zoning classification of Ag to city classification of AR-2c; (20.8 ac.), applicant, M.H. Murphy

Development Company. It had its second reading 9-12-05. Is there anybody here representing the Murphy Development Company? (No response). Usually I like to have somebody here representing something on a zoning such as this for questions if there's questions from the committee. Is there any questions from the committee? (No response). Or would you rather have somebody here answering these questions? Or if we have any questions from Council? Before we send it on for the third reading, I think...

Mr. Baxter: I would recommend holding it.

Mrs. Newman: Mr. Clemens, they've been here every other meeting.

Mr. Clemens: Members of the committee are satisfied?

Mrs. Newman: I am.

Mr. Clemens: You have no questions? (No response). Members of Council? (No response). No questions. Mr. Stearns, I'm sorry.

Mr. Stearns: If you feel comfortable with that, then you're the Chair person, why don't you feel what is comfortable with you?

Mr. Clemens: I don't like to do something just being the Service Committee Chairman tonight and not being involved in that much before. You know, if the committee is satisfied and members of Council, to send it along for the third reading. Mr. Baxter, do you have a question? Not Mr. Baxter, I'm sorry. Mr. Beougher.

Mr. Beougher: Mr. Clemens, I would just note that we did receive a letter from the Percell's on Reserve Drive and I don't know if anybody has looked at that or considered that but, I would just remind you that we do have that letter and those concerns should be at least considered before we vote on this.

Mr. Clemens: Thank you, Mr. Beougher. Mr. Hills.

Mr. Hills: Mr. Clemens, I don't know that it is going to be an issue. I think it was just sort of explained to us on the Sanitary Sewer Extension, Taylor and Main. And that certainly affects this tract. I'm not sure, and I think Mr. Kipp gave us the best answer he could give us there talking. But when we send it forward for a third reading, we're finished talking. So I'm not sure where the service comes to that tract of land if this goes forward without a little more clarity.

Mr. Clemens: Is Mark, Mr. Kipp still here?

Mr. Stake: He's walking out right now.

Mr. Clemens: Okay. Mr. Parkinson, do you happen to have any information on this? I don't want to put you on the spot but I noticed it has been discussed.

Mr. Parkinson: Mr. Fulkert has been the representative for Murphy Development on this in past meetings. And I do believe he is very concerned about the sanitary sewer service. There's never been a question regarding the technical ability to extend a sanitary sewer to this property. The question's always been about money. Who's going to pay for it? Who's going to do it? And I think that those discussions are still ongoing. From our perspective at the City Engineer's position and, I'll speak for Mr. Kipp too, there is sanitary sewer service available to the property. It just has to be extended to it.

Mr. Clemens: Thank you. It would be my suggestion then that we would hold this until we have further information on how they're going to get the sanitary sewer and who's going to pay for it. And I think that's the biggest issue right now, is the cost of who's going to pay for it. If that's alright with the committee. So let's put that on hold for two weeks. And also, let's put on hold, item number 11 (ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP OF THE CITY OF REYNOLDSBURG ADOPTED BY ORDINANCE NO. 131-95 ON NOVEMBER 27, 1995 AND AS SUBSEQUENTLY AMENDED - 9366 and 9370 Taylor Road; from township zoning classification of Ag to city classification of CC; (8.4 ac.), applicant, M.H. Murphy Development Company) for two weeks. Because they both pertain to the same situation. If that's alright with the two committee members. We'll put those on hold for the next committee meeting. And maybe by that time, we'll have some more information on it. Because it is an important issue, who's going to pay for this and the cost of it.

So that brings an end to the Service Committee meeting at 9:04.

Service Committee
- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council
September 19, 2005
(Transcribed - Susie Smith)
FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES
September 19, 2005

Members of Finance Committee present: Ron Stake, Lane J. Beougher, Mel Clemens, Brett Baxter.
Other members of Council present: Antoinette Newman, Preston Stearns, Council President William L. Hills.

Mr. Stake: I'd like to call the Finance Committee to order at 9:05.

The next item on the agenda is the approval of the minutes of the Finance Committee meeting held September 6, 2005. Are there any changes to those minutes? (No response). Then they'll stand as submitted.

Item number 3 is approval of the agenda. We do have some changes. Due to the Mayor not able to be here this evening and the information that he was going to send down, we did not get that as of yet, so I'd like to hold item number 5 (Discussion, Pay Raise for Chapter 160 employees), and I would like to add as 4a, Ordinance making supplemental appropriation for the replacement of the trees at Livingston House property. 4b, the additional appropriations for the Street Division. 4c, the Sanitary Sewer Improvement appropriation. And 4d would be the, we received something here on the table this evening, and that is the Licking County Resolution accepting amounts and rates as determined by the Budget Commission authorizing the necessary tax levies and certifying them to the County Auditor. That does need to get there by October 1. Is there a second on those changes? Second by Mr. Beougher. Any discussion? (No response). All in favor, state aye. (All voted "Aye").

Item number 4 is Election of Chairman. Is there a motion for Chair of Finance Committee? Mr. Clemens.

Mr. Clemens: Yes. I'd like to nominate Mr. Stake.

Mr. Stake: Thank you, Mr. Clemens. Second? Second by Mr. Beougher. Any discussion? (No response). All in favor, state aye. (All voted "Aye"). Thank you. I appreciate your confidence. And I'd like to welcome Mr. Baxter, Councilman Baxter to the Finance Committee.

Mr. Baxter: Thank you.

Mr. Stake: Item 4a is the Ordinance making, oh I'm sorry. I need to appoint a Vice Chair. Mr. Clemens, would you be interested in being Vice Chair?

Mr. Clemens: Thank you.

Mr. Stake: Thank you, Mr. Clemens. Item 4a is the appropriation for the replacement of the trees at the Livingston House. I believe we need to appropriate \$5,995 in the unappropriated General Fund be appropriated to account number 110.340.5339 for the replacement of the trees. This was discussed in the Community Development Committee. Are there any other additional questions or comments? Mr. Baxter.

Mr. Baxter: Just one question. We do have the money for that in the account. Correct?

Mr. Stake: We do. I believe we do have enough for that. Yes.

Mr. Baxter: It's in the General Fund it's coming out of. Correct?

Mr. Stake: Yes.

Mr. Baxter: Very good. I just wanted to make sure.

Mr. Stake: We do have, the Auditor did certify that there is the money in the unencumbered balance of the General Fund.

Mr. Baxter: Very good. The reason I asked as I follow up is, on my document, I don't have any comments from the City Auditor. And I was wondering.

Mr. Stake: Okay. I know that on the original legislative request dated 8-17, it does have the City Auditor's initials there on the yellow piece of paper.

Mr. Baxter: 19th on mine and it's not. That's why I asked.

Mr. Stake: Okay.

Mr. Hills: Mr. Stake, if I could note also, the use of these funds were received in an insurance check on some other damages. But I will note, since you're asking the question, I did ask for someone from the Auditor's office to be here this evening. Is there anyone here? (No response). I can't say that surprises me. I think it's somewhat like the same people who come in and want something in the private sector coming in and want to develop, we ought to wonder if we can't

have our own people show up to answer your questions you may have Mr. Stake.

Mr. Stake: Thank you, President Hills. Any other questions or comments from members of the committee? (No response). Members of Council? (No response). Then I will make a motion that we do authorize the appropriation of \$5,995 from the General Fund to account number 110.340.5339. Is there a second? Second by Mr. Baxter. Any further discussion? (No response). All in favor state aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Motion passes.

Item 4b is the, this came from the Safety Committee and is the additional appropriations for the Street Department. And it did get a little confusing there for a minute. But I think I do have it figured out. 20,000 from the unappropriated Street Fund to operating supplies account 260.268.5259. 10,000 from the unappropriated Street Fund to miscellaneous contract services account number 260.268.5339. \$33,205 from the unappropriated Street Fund to the road salt account number 260.268.5253. And 20,000 from the unappropriated State Highway Fund to the road salt account number 270.268.5253. I believe that totals \$83,205. I will note that I believe there's about \$392,000 in the unappropriated Street Fund. Unencumbered balance, 392,000 in the unappropriated Street Fund. And there is approximately \$145,000 unencumbered balance in the State Highway Fund. Are there any questions from members of the committee, or comments? Mr. Baxter.

Mr. Baxter: Just one last question. Are we purchasing the 1200 tons? Or are we purchasing from the original request of 700 tons. We spoke about it and I thought there was a request from the _____.

Mr. Stake: Larry, would you like to clarify that?

Mr. Baxter: And did we get that dollar amount? And are we going to have to come back after this to get that additional?

Mr. Stake: I believe to answer that question, I believe the dollar amounts are what we have right here.

Mr. Baxter: That's what I thought.

Mr. Ward: That would give us 1500 ton that we could order and we'd fill up both buildings.

Mr. Stake: We'd be full?

Mr. Ward: Yes.

Mr. Stake: We could fill them right up then?

Mr. Ward: Yes, sir.

Mr. Stake: Okay. Any other questions or comments? (No response). Okay. Then I will make a motion that we appropriate \$20,000 from the unappropriated Street Fund to the operating supplies account, \$10,000 from the unappropriated Street Fund to the miscellaneous (Tape Change)

Item 4c is the Sanitary Sewer Improvement for the Manholes In the Stream. Stream relocation. Mr. Kipp explained this to us earlier. I don't believe he's here any longer. But I believe he's asking for \$160,137.50 to be transferred from the unappropriated Sewer Fund to the Sewer Capacity Fund (250) and appropriated to account number 250.101.5629. And he would like an emergency on this. This came from the Service Committee. And Mr. Clemens, do you have any comments or questions?

Mr. Clemens: It came from the Service Committee with recommendation for passage.

Mr. Stake: Okay. Any further questions or comments from members of the committee? (No response). Members of Council? (No response). Okay. Then I will make a motion that we do appropriate, or we transfer \$160,137.50 from the unappropriated Sewer Fund (720) to the Sewer Capacity Fund (250) and appropriate it to the account number 250.101.5629 as an emergency. Do I have a second? Second by Mr. Clemens. Any further discussion? (No response). All in favor, state aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Motion passes.

And item 4d comes from the Auditor's Office and this is the Licking County portion of the City of Reynoldsburg requirement to accept the amounts and rates as determined by the Budget Commission and authorize necessary tax levies and certify them to the County Auditor. This does need to be done as an emergency due to the time constraints. It needs to be there by October 1. And I will make the motion that we recommend this for adoption as an emergency. Do I have a second? Second by Mr. Beougher. Any further discussion? (No response). All in favor, state aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Motion passes.

Mr. Hills: Prior to your adjournment, since Finance is where administrative matters are dealt with, I would like to note, I believe the Clerk has received 6 indications of interest for the Ward III opening. All of that has been disseminated to the Council people tonight. So it will be closing as of the end of this meeting. But all of that is in the hands of Council and currently being reviewed. But there are 6 people who have expressed interest. Thank you, Mr. Stake.

Mr. Stake: Thank you, President Hills.

And I will end the Finance Committee at 9:15.

Finance Committee
- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council
September 19, 2005
(Transcribed - Susie Smith)