

(Date should have been September 8, 2009) - nf note

SERVICE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

September 9, 2009

Members of Service Committee present: Mel Clemens, Donna Shirey, Doug Joseph, Barth R. Cotner.

Other members of Council present: Ron Stake, Fred Deskins, Jr., Leslie Kelly, Council President William L. Hills.

Mr. Clemens: I'll call the Service Committee Meeting to order at 7:30 p.m.

The first item on the agenda is approval of agenda. I would like to add 3a, just a little small thing. It has to do with zoning. I'd like to put that on there as zoning as 3a. Are there any other additions, deletions to the agenda from members of the Committee, members of Council. If not, it'll stand approved.

Item 3 - Approval of minutes of the Service Committee Meeting held July 20, 2009. Are there any additions or deletions to the minutes? If not, they'll stand approved.

Item 3a. - This has to do with zoning. The reason I put this on here I think, most of you read the letter, memo you received from our Clerk of Council pertaining to some zoning. It pertains to our apartments and our condos and I think it's getting to the situation where the problems we're having with our condos as far as being rentals and for lease. I think that probably, I think we should pass something to put a moratorium on apartments and condos for now. I don't see any reason for approving any more condos or apartments because if condos are going to be used like they're being used, they're apartments and that's what we're getting into so I'd like the Committee and the Council to think about that and if members are interested in doing something like that, why I'll proceed with it, but I think that's something that should be done. That gives them a chance to fill up the spaces they do have, go back and remodel what they're working on and we do have some of these other apartments, like Postwoods and so forth, they're trying to remodel and we've got to do a lot of inspections on them and so forth, but if we're going to get into the situation that we're in and as far as the condos are concerned and they're going to be using them as apartments and there's nothing we can do about it, I can't see any reason to be zoning any more for at least at the present time so, yes Mr. Hills.

Mr. Hills: Mel, I don't disagree that certainly the disappointment we've seen in the, some things that were in our mind zoned as condos, become rental apartments. The only thing I would like to note, I, I'm not opposed to a moratorium. We have now plenty of vacant ones so they're.....

Mr. Clemens: That's correct.

Mr. Hills: However, I would say that the last apartments that I remember doing any zoning on was the development of Taylor Square.....

Mr. Clemens: That's correct.

Mr. Hills: We did zone some AR-2 multi-family rental apartments on the right hand side of the road and on the left hand were all condos. Other than that, in fact I picked up my nickname back in '93 when we were on Planning Commission when we turned down AR zoning on Main Street and that's where I got, they call me HNH which stands for "Hell-No-Hills" because they didn't like what I felt about apartments. But, I think we are there. I think it's unfortunate. We were following the advice of the Counsel and others at the time that an overlay would prevent the building of rental units that had been zoned as, by overlay as condos, but that doesn't appear to be working and I think maybe a moratorium is in lieu of rewriting all of our zoning laws because I'm not sure we want to get into that but.....

Mr. Clemens: No....

Mr. Hills: Certainly I think it's something to think about, that, I'd want to get Jed's input.

Mr. Clemens: Right and that's just something to think about. We were directly lied to on Five Corners, on the apartments.....

Mr. Hills: Oh, absolutely.

Mr. Clemens: They, I mean the condos. They said that there would be no rentals period, which they are now, they're leasing them and they're renting them.

Mr. Hills: At the Five Corners and also the same things are true on Taylor Road.

Mr. Clemens: Right, so I mean, if that's the situation we're in, I think we ought to do something and not...and hold back from approving more. They're plenty of sitting and the apartments, you're correct, the apartments that were zoned on Taylor, that was a situation where I think it was an agreement with the company that was building all of our commercial area. That was to help out, help them out and so forth. It was like a.....

Mr. Hills: That was a perfect balance too because you were going to protect your residential, you were going from heavy commercial into apartments and condos before you got into single family homes. So it was just properly designed that way.

Mr. Clemens: So, but anyhow, that's something I would like Council to think about and members and maybe we can bring it up in a couple weeks and see if anybody else is interested and if you are, why we'll figure out, I'll talk to Jed and maybe there's some kind of ordinance that we can or a resolution that we can. Jed, do you have anything to say on this?

City Attorney Hood: The only thing I would add Chairman Clemens is that a temporary moratorium on construction, new construction have been held constitutional for the purpose of evaluating or re-evaluating your zoning plan in a municipal corporation. So it is absolutely a tool that we can use, but you have to make sure that they are temporary.....

Mr. Clemens: Right.

Mr. Hood:that they are temporary in nature so...

Mr. Clemens: Right.

Mr. Hood:how temporary and how long is always the question, but we'd be happy to explore it, absolutely.

Mr. Clemens: All right fine, thank you and if any Council members, think this over and see how you feel about it.

Item 4 - The next item on the agenda is the Status of the Street Program. President Hills brought this to my attention for Council, he wanted, there are some questions that needed to be answered that he felt and we have our whipping dog standing up there right now and figure now would be the time to talk to Jim.

Mr. Miller: Never been called that before.

Mr. Clemens: Yes, that's correct.

Mr. Miller: Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: Anyhow Jim.....

Mr. Miller: Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: There's some questions that need to be answered.

Mr. Miller: Sure. Thank you Councilman Clemens, members of Council. Briefly, I want to tell you where we have been and where we are going with the Street Program. When the Street Program started a couple of months ago, to date we have finished with the exception of miscellaneous pavement markings, Daylight, Glenview, Priestley, Knob, Rosetree, Starlight and Summit and the streets that we have yet to do are Rodebaugh, Haft, Hammond, Beech Alley and the crack sealing on Main Street. And let me start, if you will, on the streets that have work to yet be performed. On Rodebaugh, Haft, Hammond and Beech Alley, the curb work on Haft has already started. We're going to be milling asphalt on all those streets on September 22nd through 23rd. Full depth repairs will be done on those streets during September 24th and the Sami Coat, the Sami Seal, will be placed on September 25th, that's a Friday. Paving will start that following Monday, on the 28th and go on through the 29th. On the 29th while they're finishing up paving, they're also supposed to be going around town and doing all of the miscellaneous striping on all the streets that haven't been striped. Tomorrow, the 11th, striping is going to be done on Summit Road and that pretty much covers the entire Street Program. Overall with one exception, it has gone very smoothly. We had an event on Priestley Drive where the road was milled and left unpaved for an extended period of time which was not desirable. The contractor did not do a very good job controlling dust and inconveniences on Priestley Drive. Despite our instruction and despite the information that we were given, the condition on Priestley Drive deteriorated. It had gotten to the point on Monday, and forgive me, the date just left my head, it was the 24th of August. We received lots of complaints, lots of phone calls that were addressed by the Service Department and myself and city staff to address concerns as immediately as possible. We had Sami Seal

down on the street on Tuesday which alleviated the dust issue and we had paving done on Thursday and Friday and if there's anything that I have not covered, if there's any information that you wish, I'll do my best to answer it for you.

Mr. Clemens: Mr. Hills.

Mr. Hills: Jim, just a couple things. I, unfortunately, live on Priestley Drive and as you know, I let you know Friday morning before that Monday that there was a problem. Where my concern comes, and I think you're being very gracious and nice when you're saying that some people possibly didn't do what they were being paid to do by the city but let me say first, when you give me a schedule of Haft Drive, mill 22 - 23 full depth, 24, the basically the surface gives a small coating on the 25th then Saturday and Sunday and paving on the 28th, I guess my question would be, I was always under the impression with all street programs we've done in this city over a number of years, that's the way it's done. You mill it, you see if there's any deep repairs, that need to be done, if there are, you do it then you come back and start the process of laying one layer and then normally, the paving takes a two day period of time. So I'm glad to see, I'm hoping what you're saying, not putting words in your mouth, but because of Priestley Drive, and because it's after a week with absolutely no one doing any, sweeping, spraying or anything else on the road, definitely the concrete base deteriorated and was a mess, but I'm hoping you're telling me that since that event, from now on, the way we do our roads are the way Haft Drive will be done, is that correct?

Mr. Miller: Yes, we want to keep the time from when the street's milled to when it's paved to an absolute minimum. We work on that on all of our street programs and we like to keep that time period no longer than five days.

Mr. Hills: As someone who has had a number of street programs, more than when you were a young pup, Mel, you agree with that concept, you mill it and fix the lower and then you come right back in and start to work, correct?

Mr. Clemens: Yeah, correct. Because, I don't know how Jim's doing it. I mean, everybody's different, it's been a long time ago. They still used blacktop back in my days too. I would do a section of town and after I milled it, I moved on, and milled it, the others and blacktopped that and just moved in rotation, but it was bigger programs than this, but that's what, I kept the least time as possible.

Mr. Miller: And in the past too, Reynoldsburg has used a Tack Coat. It's a tar that's put right down on the asphalt. It gets all over everybody's cars. This year we've used a Sami Seal. We used it last year and sometimes that does not go down as quickly as the Tack Coat but we make every effort to pave the streets as soon as we can after the milling's done.

Mr. Hills: With the exception of Priestley, you ran into the problem.

Mr. Miller: Yes.

Mr. Hills: And I don't know where the breakdown was, but you were very generous when you

made a couple of statements about a contractor not quite doing things and inspectors not quite doing things and I read some of your emails that you sent out to some of the residents and certainly in those you indicated that you were told by the inspector, the contractor that certain things were done. The unfortunate thing with here, you were being told that Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, Saturday and Sunday there was both sweeping and water being put down when nothing had ever happened on Priestley Drive. And I guess, when I look at this and I don't, there's no point in bringing up who the contractor is or who the inspector is, when they bid on that project, they're bidding on "x" number of feet to mill, fix the surface for additional monies and fill. So when you and/or the Service Director do this, there's, the only thing that would delay anything was an agreement you would have with the contractor. The unfortunate thing here, inappropriate information, incorrect information or basically, I hate to say anybody lies, but mis-truths were certainly done and I know that I met with you Tuesday afternoon after this whole thing started and I think you were shocked, but I believe you have now verified that because you don't have to take my word for it. You saw it on Sunday when you were out there and you heard it from the other people. It's just, it's very disappointing to me that when we have, and this is a what, a half million dollar Street Program that we rely on our contractors to do the work and the inspectors to do the work and so for them to report accurately back to you or the Service Director because you rely on that information that comes out of the City of Reynoldsburg. We did this and it's not always good for the city when all of a sudden that wasn't done. Now it's not your fault it wasn't done, at least they were instructed to do it. Those type of things bother me a lot and they are one that I think that we've got to remember how these people use the taxpayers' dollars that came to them to do the work and it's, when we let a project, when we put up a million and a half dollars out there, it's not to make a contractor happy. It's to have the contractor provide the quickest and fastest and most appropriate work for the citizens of the city. They're the ones paying for it and so if they have to work a little overtime or they have to catch up and do some extra work, that doesn't bother me at all. They're getting paid decent money to do these things and as I said, Mel's heard me say it for years, there's not a contingency fund a contractor can't spend. So, you know, they're going to get paid for what they're doing, it's just I appreciate the fact that I guess Priestley Drive was a learning experience. I think sometimes we have to back up if you compare the other streets vs Priestley. Priestley's a thoroughfare compared to what those were so that probably was part of the problem too, but if the program has now been adjusted so that's it's on a quicker schedule from mill to fill, I'm pleased to hear that and I'm sure the people on Priestley Drive will be. Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: Thank you President Hills.

Mr. Miller: Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: Are there any other questions from members of Committee, members of Council. Wait a minute Jim, members of Council, because I have a question. Now, this has, this is not basically, has to do with the Street Program, but it has to do with Rosehill Road between Main and Livingston which was a good job. It was a really excellent job by Kokosing. The only thing I wanted to bring up and question, as far as their intersections, as far as their grading on their on the properties on the intersection, they will be back or we'll take a look at or something. The grading in the yards was bad, I mean it's.....

Mr. Miller: Agreed, agreed. There are several punch list items on Rosehill Road that have yet to

be addressed. The punch list has not yet been given to the contractor but will be very shortly.

Mr. Clemens: Okay, I did want you to check the ones at the intersections of the yard. I understand the yards in between sidewalk and the curb, those are pretty good and the people should have taken care of those but within the yards of the intersections, like on Bartlett and Retton where they had to cut out more and do more grading within the yard area, not the City's area, but the yard area, they don't, it's a little poorly. So maybe they can take a look at that if you could.

Mr. Miller: Those are on the punch list.

Mr. Clemens: Okay, that's fine.

Mr. Miller: We picked those up already.

Mr. Clemens: And one other thing, and I don't know, maybe I'm right, wrong, whatever, but I've been reading the information that has to do with Rosehill Road and it had to do with businesses calling about our signage and so forth. Not, you know, not being able, the church and the apartments want to know if there could be additional signage so that people know what they are and they're in business and so forth but it's been going, the conversation in the interim has been between our Development Director and the people. Am I correct in reading this that you're our Engineer and we do have a Service Director that handles these kind of things. I don't know what, what Luke would be involved with things that pertain to our Street Program or pertain to Rosehill, things like that. He's got enough to do but I was just curious why it's been handled like that.

Mr. Miller: I'll have to talk to Luke. I have not heard any comments of such come from Luke.

Development Director Haire: Yes, we had a request come from an apartment owner to put additional temporary signage up on the property that's currently occupied by UDF as more of a directional signage. We don't permit directional signage for any businesses so we've let them know that wouldn't be possible.

Mr. Clemens: Okay, I'm just curious why, you know, it came through you and not through Pam.

Mr. Haire: Because any sign permits require zoning permits. That's why it came through all of the Development Department.

Mr. Clemens: That's fine, I just wanted to know what it was.

Mr. Miller: This is the first I've heard of it.

Mr. Clemens: All right. Good enough Jim, well, thank you.

Item 5 - Discussion: Acceptance of nine unrecorded Deeds of Easement (Rosehill Road Reconstruction Project). I think there's three drainage, three sanitary sewer and three highway easements and we can handle these as one item instead of going through nine of them, we can handle them just as one item. Service Director, Pam.

Mrs. Boratyn: Yes, Good Evening, thank you. These are just the very straightforward nine permanent easements that were necessary to be taken to complete Rosehill and you should have them all in front of you. We had temporary easements that we had to deal with, but these are the permanent ones to construct the project. They just need to be accepted by Council.

Mr. Clemens: Thank you. Are there any questions from the Committee pertaining to these easements, members of Council? If not I'd like to make a motion that we send these easements to Council for first reading. Seconded by Councilwoman Shirey. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes. Thank you.

Item 6 - Discussion: Code Compliance- -on 7-6-09 item held. *To be kept on agenda so committee can follow up and see results.* And we've got some information from our Clerk as far as the one that had been before Council, Sergeant Baughn and the only questions I have on this, maybe you can answer it and I know that you worked this maybe Jed can answer this, you know. We file complaints you know, we get them in Court and they keep getting continued and continued and maybe Jed you can answer this because we go months and months and months. They go months and months before it's ever before it's ever sent, you know I mean, we're going like half a year on these things.

Mrs. Boratyn: We've changed our process where we send out, we will do one notice to give time depending on what it is that has to be done and then we'll tell them in that notice that if it's not done by that time we'll issue a citation. So we've changed, we used to give several notices, would work with property owner, do several notices. We've changed it now to go straight to a citation. So now it will go to the Court and I'm sure that, I will let the City Attorney speak for this but they get 100% compliance in Court. They may take a few months to do it but they've been very successful. Probably because it is, you know, a legal process but I don't want to speak for the City Attorney.

Mr. Clemens: The only thing, you know how it used to be. I knew it took months, kept working with them before we gave them a citation so the way I understood maybe we be two months and then we'd give them a citation, it would go in and then they would keep continuing it but

Mr. Hood: Chairman Clemens, I won't speak to any individual case in public because they're ongoing.

Mr. Clemens: No, I don't want you to, I mean I've seen others too.

Mr. Hood: Generally, Safety Director is correct. These do take time because they're usually purely economic situations where people's properties have gotten outside of our Property Maintenance Code, compliance with the code. Because of economic factors, these do take money to repair, however, through the diligence of her office, Chet Hoppers's Office, my office, we have had a very high success rate. I still believe it is 100% and we do get these properties up to Code and we do it relatively quick. Now, that time will always change depending on how severe the Code issues are and I would urge all of the Council members to drive up Lancaster Avenue and look at those homes that have been

renovated on either side of the street and that is a direct effect of the city's initiative, the Mayor's initiative and, ah, our diligent prosecution of those cases. So we are getting results, ah, but each individual case gets the time it needs and ah, if compliance is not gained then we will go to the penalty phase of the case.

Mr. Clemens: Okay, thank you Jed. It's been brought before and I can't, I served on, I can't remember the Committee before, we had a Committee when I was Service Director, Nuisance Abatement, that handled these kind of things. I always looked at Code compliance like, hey, we've got this compliance argument, the guy won't do it period; hey, not these big things, you know cutting grass, moving trash cans - those are violations too, and they should go, if you can't get them. When we bump into things that involve home remodeling and quite a bit of work and quite a bit of money, we had the Nuisance Abatement Committee and what happened was, the Service Director brought this before that Committee, they brought the person in, they discussed it, and we helped them with it, we gave them time, even supplied people to help them and also help them collect money to help people and so forth. And possibly that's something to think about again. Go through the maintenance, have that Committee reformed and these projects and I know that we have them in Huber and we have them Brookside, different places around the city that do cost money and the people, and I certainly agree doing that at this time though but there's other ways of handling it, meeting with them, showing them what to do, helping them do it, having our own people go down and instruct them and so forth because I know that we're not that busy in the Building Department. I don't want to argue about it, but I tell you right now...

Mrs. Boratyn: They do that.

Mr. Hood: Chairman Clemens, and I'm happy to discuss the merits of the Nuisance Abatement Board. It's something that you know that I was interested in when I first became City Attorney.

Mr. Clemens: Right.

Mr. Hood: However, I think you've told me on numerous occasions, don't fix something that's not broken. This system is evolving and it's changing but it's not broken.

Mr. Clemens: Right.

Mr. Hood: And it appears to be very effective the way that we've implemented, the way that the Mayor's implemented it and working in conjunction with my office. So I would hate for anything hasty to occur that interrupts the process.

Mr. Clemens: No, I'm just talking about, there's a lot of things, projects need to be done. Don't get me wrong.

Mr. Hood: I agree.

Mr. Clemens: Maybe not even citation-wise; things that we see that people can't do. And that's how this was handled. We'd bring them in, but all right, if you think it's being handled correctly. I'm just going back because I've read information from before where it'd be months before it got to you and then months after it got to you and then I just, you know...

Mr. Hood: It's an ongoing process and as I said, I'm happy to discuss the merits of the Nuisance Abatement Board with you.

Mr. Clemens: That's fine.

Mr. Hood: But at least in my opinion, this has been a very successful venture as to yet, thus far.

Mr. Clemens: Fine, thank you, Jed.

Mrs. Boratyn: Yeh, and I can only respond, I can tell you that our building inspectors and our Code Enforcement people, they do work with folks, they do offer to show them how to get it done and how to fix it and tell them how to correct the problems with their property.

Mr. Clemens: Okay.

Mrs. Boratyn: They do that on a regular basis.

Mr. Clemens: Just trying to make it easier for you. All right, thank you. Are there any other questions for the Service Director or the City Attorney pertaining to any of these items on Code Compliance (inaudible). We'll keep it on the agenda, because it's nice to be on there if something comes up. That way we don't have to keep bringing it back, it's there and if nothing is, then we just pass it by. Thank you, Pam.

Item 7 - ORDINANCE ACCEPTING THREE UNRECORDED DEEDS OF EASEMENT
1) sidewalk .012 ac; 2) sanitary sewer .375 ac; 3) sanitary sewer .064 ac. TR/Taylor Development, LLC - -first reading 11-10-08; held at time of approval of agenda on 11-17-08; on 12-1-08 item placed in Other Legislation. I talked to Pam about this and we agreed to bring it back up and get it out of the way.

Mrs. Boratyn: Yes, these are the three easements that are out at the Taylor Glen that have been done. We just need to accept them for the city, sidewalk and two sanitary sewer easements.

Mr. Clemens: And Nancy, I'm sorry I forgot - what stage was this in?

Mrs. Frazier: It's had a first reading, Mel.

Mr. Clemens: I'm sorry.

Mrs. Frazier: It's had a first reading.

Mr. Clemens: Okay, then it'll be going in for a second reading then. Are there any questions pertaining to this for our Service Director? Mr. Hills.

Mr. Hills: Chairman Clemens, I would like to note, I, because this is the area that surrounded the condo-now-apartments and I think we held that trying to get an answer on what they're doing. I'm not happy about what they're doing out there, but I'll have to say that I drove by it tonight and at least the section of the fence that was half torn down has now been removed. It looks much better when you have a fence that is either complete or totally incomplete. So now as you come up to the drive, it's complete, north of the drive, it's incomplete but they are there and they're planning doing some landscaping and different things so, you know, I guess in these type of things, it's always the big guy, the developer who's never your neighbor is the one who wins on these projects but they do, they are making at least a consistent appearance now out there which is to be commended. I don't always find criticism. I commend somebody when it's done right.

Mr. Clemens: Okay, thank you, Bill.

Mr. Hills: Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: Thank you, are there any other questions for the Service Director pertaining to this item from members of Committee, members of Council? If not, I'd like to make a motion to send this to Council for it's second reading. Second by Mr. Cotner. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes. Thank you.

Item 8 - ORDINANCE APPROVING SPECIAL EXCEPTION USE PERMIT - (1762 Brice Road, proposed use - place of worship/semi-public use); applicant James E. Strausbaugh - -first rdg 6-8-09; on 6-15-09 item held. *On July 6, 2009 item was placed in Other Legislation until the first committee meeting in September.* Is Mr. Strausbaugh here please. Thank you. First I'd like to thank you for being here. A little explaining on this. The reason this was held - because all the information and commitment as far as the Special Exception Use Permit was not done properly and it was held over all this different time. If, and this would have been the same if it had been anybody, not whether it's a church, a school or whatever it was. If it's not properly handled for the Administration then it can't come before our Committee. That's why it was handled in that respect. If it had been done properly, this would have been done two months, three months ago. It wouldn't even have been here. Anyhow, this has been completed as far as the City's concerned at 3:00 p.m. today so he has, they have met the qualifications of the City and that's why Mr. Strausbaugh's here.

Mr. Robinson: Well, my name is Charles Robinson, I'm the senior Pastor.

Mr. Clemens: Okay, fine.

Mr. Robinson: Yeah, so, I just wanted to make that clear.

Mr. Clemens: Oh, okay, thank you, but you have met everything we need.

Mr. Robinson: Yes, Sir.

Mr. Clemens: Now, there may be questions from the Committee, if there's members of the Committee have questions or members of Council have questions pertaining to this item, let me know. I do have, and I was going to ask it a long time ago and I had forgot it but on there, there's only one thing I was interested in. I think it stated how many people are going to be there, 299 or something like that.....

Mr. Robinson: Right.

Mr. Clemens: Because that falls just under our sprinkler system.

Mr. Robinson: Right.

Mr. Clemens: Now, that's the part that's interested me. I don't know how big your church is or how big it's going to be or you just said that, I mean, maybe you don't have that many now. What interested me was, would that include your Sunday School and everybody?

Mr. Robinson: Yeah, I guess everyone at one point.....

Mr. Clemens: (inaudible).

Mr. Robinson: We're expecting to grow. At least we'll be able to know what that cut off number is.

Mr. Clemens: Because I don't like to have that many people in a place without a sprinkler system, that's the only thing that bothers me.

Mr. Robinson: Okay.

Mr. Clemens: Other than that, I just want you to know, that you know, I didn't know how big the church was, if he's planning, I just thought maybe he's coming in there with 299 people, Sunday School and stuff like that.

Mr. Robinson: No, no we're not coming in like that. We're not coming in with 299 right now.

Mr. Clemens: Okay. Are there any other questions that anybody would, yes, Councilman Kelly.

Mrs. Kelly: Hi, can you tell me a little bit about what your plan is for the church outside of Sunday worship?

Mr. Robinson: Okay. Outside of Sunday worship what we have planned for our Community is to offer more of an after school program for the students there. There's nothing that's available for the children there in the Community, more of a tutoring program. Also, we'll be doing GED classes as well during the week because there are a lot there in the Community that have not received their diploma and we're setting up as a preparation test center for that so, and then I just, family classes, counseling and also home ownership seminars, things of that sort, so.

Mrs. Kelly: Do you plan on doing any type of chemical dependency counseling?

Mr. Robinson: Yes.

Mrs. Kelly: Things like that.

Mr. Robinson: Yes, that's one of the, I think that was on our list, something I just failed to say. Yeh, that's number one.

Mrs. Kelly: Okay.

Mr. Clemens: Sorry, what was that?

Mrs. Kelly: The chemical dependency counseling. In relation to the after school tutoring, will those people who are conducting the after school tutoring be fingerprinted, those people that will be working with the kids, background checks things like that.

Mr. Robinson: Yes, we'll go through the proper channels for background checks and fingerprinting as well.

Mrs. Kelly: When you're looking at the facility, will you be looking to do your dependency counseling at the same time as after school programming. Would they be separate, would they be in separate areas?

Mr. Robinson: No, that would totally be separate, would be separate.

Mrs. Kelly: Will they be at separate times?

Mr. Robinson: Yes.

Mr. Clemens: Mr. Hills.

Mr. Hills: Just a question. The facility, is it not just one large room? Are you putting in walls, are you going to have separate rooms or?

Mr. Robinson: Well, it's a 4,800 square foot building. There is a wall currently. We were looking to construct some walls but we just get in the building, occupy it and then that is going to come later once we get in and get settled.

Mr. Hills: You're going to have different areas within the 4,800 square feet.

Mr. Robinson: Yes.

Mr. Hills: You may have one activity going in one area but you're not going to have the chemical dependency with the GED training or the.....

Mr. Robinson: No.

Mr. Hills: Or the child care in the same.....

Mr. Robinson: No, no, they'll be at separate times, so.....

Mr. Hills: How many people are attending your services you're having there now, well, not there, you're having in the building next to it?

Mr. Robinson: Right, right, roughly about 35 to 40 each week, on a weekly basis.

Mr. Hills: And those are what, Wednesday nights and Sundays.

Mr. Robinson: Thursdays and Sundays.

Mr. Hills: Thursdays and Sundays.

Mr. Robinson: Um hum.

Mr. Hills: So you're going to have in the, assuming that you get the Special Exception Use Permit, you'll have Thursdays and Sundays and then every other day you'll be doing some sort of after school or evening counseling or educational service of some sort?

Mr. Robinson: Yes, during the other days of the week. Yes, so each day there, you know, may one

day will probably be the chemical dependency classes, but the after school we're looking at least three days a week to start out, you know, Monday, Wednesday and Friday which will probably take place anywhere between 3:30 p.m. and 6:00 p.m.

Mr. Hills: And those have not started yet, or you're using the other facility?

Mr. Robinson: No we have not started them yet. We're just waiting for our approval.

Mr. Clemens: Thank you President Hills. The, another thing, the only thing that bothers me, the chemical dependency classes, you know, those I realize that most all churches do that but having your location's not in the best location when it comes that kind of a situation. So that part worries me somewhat but I understand that's something that you do. As you answered earlier, you will have people that's qualified to do it and so forth?

Mr. Robinson: Yes.

Mrs. Kelly: They'll be certified?

Mr. Robinson: Certified.

Mr. Clemens: Certified, they'll be certified. Are there any other questions pertaining to this subject from members of Committee, anybody else on the Committee, members of Council, are there any questions? If not, I'd like to send this to Council for it's third reading for approval. I'm sorry.

Mr. Deskins: It's only had one read.

Mr. Clemens: It's only had one.

Mrs. Kelly: This would be the second reading.

Mr. Clemens: That's right, I held it right away. I'd like to send it to Council for it's second reading. Second by Mr. Joseph. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes. Thank you. It'll be going to Council for it's second reading. Then it comes back to Committee, then goes back to Council for it's third reading.

Mr. Robinson: Okay, very good, thank you.

Mrs. Kelly: Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: That ends the Service Committee Meeting at 8:07 p.m.

Service Committee

- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

September 8, 2009

(Transcribed/S. Cochran, Ass't. Clerk of Council)

FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

September 8, 2009

Members of Finance Committee present: Ron Stake, Mel Clemens, Fred Deskins, Jr., Leslie Kelly.

Other members of Council present: Doug Joseph, Barth Cotner, Donna Shirey, Council President William L. Hills.

Mr. Stake: I'll call to order the Finance Committee Meeting at 8:08 p.m.

Item 2 is approval of the agenda. Are there any changes to the agenda by members of Finance Committee; none being heard, the agenda will stand as submitted.

Item 3 - Approval of minutes of Finance Committee meeting held July 20, 2009. Are there any changes to those minutes? None being heard, they'll stand as submitted.

Item 4 - Discussion: Transfer of funds concerning inspection/material testing services - Rosehill Road. This comes from the Director of Engineering, James Miller.

Mr. Miller: Thank you Councilman Stake. During the Rosehill Road Reconstruction Projects on both phases, I and II, we have been utilizing the services of the Mannik and Smith Group for material testing and inspection and we have been utilizing CT Consultants for inspection and construction administration. During the project, it was advantageous for the City of Reynoldsburg from a financial point of view to over-utilize the services from the Mannik and Smith Group and this piece of legislation that is in front of you is not requesting additional funds but it is to transfer money from the contract with CT Consultants to the contract for the Mannik and Smith Group. Their person that was on site provided services that a second person from CT

Consultants would have had to provide so it is an even swap. So we'll be taking an amount that has yet to be determined specifically but less than \$20,000 will be transferred from CT Consultants contract to Mannik and Smith's Group with this legislation.

Mr. Stake: Okay, thank you Jim. Are there any questions for Mr. Miller from members of the Finance Committee, members of Council? President Hills?

Mr. Hills: Just a quick question and it is inspection material testing, we are getting good reporting out of them and accurate information?

Mr. Miller: Absolutely.

Mr. Hills: Thank you.

Mr. Stake: Okay, and now you do have emergency on this but you would like three readings, is that correct?

Mr. Miller: Please, an emergency on the third reading.

Mr. Stake: Pass it on the third reading?

Mr. Miller: Yes.

Mr. Stake: Okay. Okay, that being said, are there any questions from anybody on Council, concerns? I'll make a motion that we send this item forward for its first reading. Second by Mr. Deskins. Any further discussion? All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes. Thank you Jim.

Mr. Miller: Thank you.

Mr. Stake: Item 5 - Discussion: President's recommendations for two appointments to Incentive Advisory Board. President Hills, I'll turn this over to you.

Mr. Hills: Chairman Stake, after consideration and discussion with Luke there are some ongoing projects that are being considered right now; my recommendations if there'd be a motion that would follow that would be Doug Joseph and Mel Clemens to serve as the two Council representatives to serve on the Incentive Advisory Board.

Mr. Stake: Okay, are there questions or comments from members of Finance Committee, members of Council? Council Clerk, would this just be a motion?

Mrs. Frazier: It would be a motion.

Mr. Stake: Okay, then I'll make a motion that we send forward two appointments, Councilman Doug Joseph and Councilman Mel Clemens to be appointed to the Incentive Advisory Board. Second by Mrs. Kelly. Any further discussion. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes.

Item 6 - Discussion: Change of Income Tax Formula (at request of Chairman Stake)- -on 7-6-09 item held for two weeks. *On 7-20-09 item held until after August recess.* Everybody did have, we did receive some materials from the City Auditor in regards to the Income Tax allocation dated July 10, 2009. Hopefully, members of Council, members of Finance Committee had an opportunity to review this and we will be here in October starting to discuss the budget and it would be a good idea maybe to have something figured out before then so that we will know how much money we will have in the General Fund and the other funds in regards to the budget. Are there any questions from members of Finance Committee at this time, members of Council? Has everybody had an opportunity to review the information that we have. If you could, if you want to send me an email, give me a call or meet with me in regards to this, I'll hold this, I'd like to hold this for two weeks and we'll decide if it's going to go, if we're going to go anywhere maybe at the next Committee meeting and we'll go from there. So if there's no problems with that, I'll make a motion that we hold this item for two weeks. Second by Mr. Clemens. Any further discussion. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes.

Item 7 - Discussion: REDI funding (at request of Chairman Stake)- -on 7-6-09 item held for two weeks. *On 7-20-09 item held until after August recess.* This is something we received some information dated July 13th, 2009 in regards to the Reynoldsburg Economic Development Inc. I've had the opportunity and the pleasure to serve on the Reynoldsburg Economic Development Inc for a few years now. I really would like to see some funding of this organization so that we could be in a position when there are needs, economic needs in the City to be able to have some funding, to have some funds to be able to purchase some property to get something going. One example is the lots there where we had the fire in Olde Reynoldsburg. I believe that's still for sale. Luke is there anything going on with that at this time.

Mr. Haire: Nothing at this time.

Mr. Stake: Maybe with a little help, something could get going there. We could bring some business in to the City and generate some tax revenue. So, again, I think I stated previously that I was looking for \$25,000 to be allocated from the Bed Tax money. The estimated revenue from the Bed Tax in 2009 is \$162,317.89; \$75,000 of that is directed to the Visitors and Activities Bureau. The remaining goes to the General Fund so again, this is something, food for thought. This would effect the General Fund so if we're going to do this, I think we need to do this before we start getting into the budget or at least have something going before we get into the budget so that we know what we're dealing with in regards to revenue when we do the budget for this year. Any questions or comments from members of Finance Committee, members of Council? If you do have any questions, comments or concerns, please get those to me. I'd be happy to discuss those with you and we'll have some serious discussion I guess the next time. I'll make a motion that we hold this item for two weeks. Second by Mrs. Kelly. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes. I'll adjourn the Finance Committee at 8:19 p.m.

Finance Committee

---Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

September 8, 2009

(Transcribed/S. Cochran, Ass't. Clerk of Council)