

SERVICE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

July 17, 2006

Members of Service Committee present: Mel Clemens, Doug Joseph, Preston Stearns, Donna Shirey.

Other members of Council present: Ron Stake, Brett Baxter, Antoinette Newman, Council President William L. Hills.

Mr. Clemens: I'd like to call the Service Committee to order at 7:38. The first item on the agenda is approval of the agenda. Are there any additions or deletions? (No response). If not, it'll stand approved.

The next item is approval of the minutes of the July 3, 2006 Service Committee meeting. Do I have any corrections or additions? (No response). If not, I'd like to make a correction. I'd like to move to correct the Service Committee meeting minutes of July 3, 2006 on page 1. There is a topic of engineering services for the installation of a 24" water main on East Broad Street, by changing the reference of \$2,000,000 water tank and \$1,000,000 water tank to 2 million gallon water tank and 1 million gallon water tank respectfully. I made that mistake. I meant to say gallons but I said dollars. And I'd like to make that change. Do I have a second? Second by Mr. Joseph. All in favor, say aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Thank you.

The next item, Authorization of professional engineering services, Matterhorn Drive Storm Water Drainage and appropriation of funds. Mrs. Reichard.

Mrs. Reichard: Thank you Mr. Clemens, members of Council. You will note by the information that was sent to you in my memo for the sequence of events that happened with this particular project. I did hear from Mr. Stearns through Susie Smith in the Council office that it was requesting that we take a look at what the problems, the storm water problems going on over on Matterhorn Drive. When we met with the residents, initially it was Don Turley and myself from Storm Water, in looking at some of the problems over there, apparently they've been going on for some time. Historically looking back in the file, and the files in the Service Director's office, the only thing I found was what I sent to you. Subsequently I have, I met with Larry Ward today and found that he had had the letters from two of the residents. And that was really about it. I think for the most part, what we were hanging our hat on was the improvements on Rosehill Road, hoping that it would, any kind of storm water development over there would help the water problem. Obviously, that did not happen. They have continued to have great difficulties. And some of their basement walls are in peril. They have them stabilized, but this is an ongoing problem. And you know, I realize it was not on the Capital Improvements Program, I'm not sure why. Perhaps it was just never brought forth by the Service Department before. But this was the first time that I was aware of it this year. And I think Mr. Stearns can probably speak to a lot of it. And I saw some of the residents come in here this evening. But it is a serious problem. I know that we have both storm water and sanitary sewer on tonight's agenda. While one did not cause the other, one affects the other. And this is a serious problem for these folks. I know up on the Rosehill Road side, we have a lot of acreage there where we just have grass, and there are drainage problems. So what I did was, I asked Mr. Parkinson if EMH&T would put together a proposal

that they could look at this and update the study that they did and try to bring up to date, some of the issues that we need to look at, some of the things we could do to give some relief to these citizens. So we're here tonight.

Mr. Clemens: Thank you, Sharon. And I look at the report and the study that was received, I don't think I ever got a copy of this as a Council. I don't know whether anybody else did or not. But this is the first time I've seen it. I do know the situation. I've heard of the problems over there but this is the first time I knew that we actually did anything as far as a study is concerned.

Mrs. Reichard: I can tell you that the letter that Ms. Covalic sent, she copied, the members of Council that she copied were McCloud, Canella, and I guess that's it. Those are the only two that received copies of this report. Or copies of her letter. But in terms of the report, I really don't have any other documentation as to who received what. It is just not in the file.

Mr. Clemens: Okay. Are there any questions for Mrs. Reichard from the committee at the present time? (No response). Members of Council? Yes, Mr. Baxter.

Mr. Baxter: Chairman Clemens, just one question if I might. And it's dealing with the repairs we're talking about that need to be done. And the question I have is, we always do these repairs based on the amount of rain fall that's going to happen. Five year plan, ten year, you know, the type of events. At what level are these repairs we're talking about going to suffice? Is it for five year flood? Or ten year? What are we talking about here?

Mrs. Reichard: I would like to yield to Mr. Parkinson on that one.

Mr. Parkinson: I want to make sure you get correct information.

Mr. Parkinson: Good evening.

Mr. Baxter: Good evening, Mr. Parkinson.

Mr. Parkinson: In large part, that's part of what we're doing, is analyzing the existing pipe that we have in the ground out there in the inlets and trying to determine what it is capable of handling. If we determine that there isn't much capacity in it, then we might have to take an alternative approach much as we did at Bartlett. And so, the city standard is two years flowing full. Five year in the system. We would probably start there. But if flood routing is an issue as it was at Bartlett, we might recommend going to a larger storm, such as a 25 year event so that we can ____ those impacts to the extent practicable.

Mr. Baxter: And to follow up if I might?

Mr. Clemens: Go ahead, Mr. Baxter.

Mr. Baxter: I also know we're talking about repairing Rosehill. And it was suggested in a few of the letters that the repairs to Rosehill might improve it. I won't say resolve it, but might improve

that. So with that improvement and maybe a 5 year plan, as you were suggesting to your 5 year plan, that may be enough. What I'm looking for, if it's not, we put a 25 year in, then what next neighborhood's going to be coming to the Council saying, well, mine's not set for 5 years and you need to do my area for 25 years. So we need to be very careful. That's a slippery slope. We can't do the whole city for 25 years, type of plan.

Mr. Clemens: I think the Rosehill project, that was if we do the road entirely with the Main Street to the city limits, the part we're discussing now is the school north of the city limits which would take the curve and so forth, it would not affect this piece of property as far as the storm water drains are concerned now. So it would be a separate issue. Reading this, I'm reading here where somebody had installed a storm sewer on their property and so forth, and I read that it's caused some problems as far as the other homeowners are concerned. Is that correct?

Mrs. Reichard: That someone installed their own storm sewer?

Mr. Clemens: Yeah. I mean, there's a storm sewer going on one piece of property that...

Mrs. Reichard: That would be the one on Rosehill Road. Would it not? That's got the culvert? flow?

Mr. Parkinson: I don't know.

Mr. Stearns: There is a swail that comes down through there but I don't know if that was something you were referring to. That goes down and goes into a little creek, a little ditch down that goes right into the storm sewer. Right, Mr. Parkinson?

Mr. Parkinson: (Inaudible).

Mr. Stearns: And I don't know if that's something that someone did on their own.

Mr. Clemens: I thought I had read that. I'd slept today. Maybe I dreamed it. But any how, either way, I know it's a situation that has to be corrected. And I have no problem sending it forward to Council. Are there any other members of the committee that would like to speak on this, or have any questions for Mr. Parkinson or Mrs. Reichard?

Mr. Stearns: I've got a question I'd like to ask. If this is, when the engineering work is done, how soon do you think this could get on the, you know, get started on it? I know you've got all this, some ways to go yet. But I was just wondering, would it be something that would be done this year? Or something that would have to wait until next year?

Mr. Parkinson: Well my initial thought is, that this is, the study part is something that won't take but a few weeks. Three or four weeks to finish. So we would know probably by September of plan of action. The design, the actual improvement depends on what that plan of action is. To go back a minute, if it's something as simple as a few hundred feet of storm sewer in the rear yards with three or four inlets at each property to pick up the water out of the back yards, it wouldn't take us but a month to design that and get it on the street. We could be constructing this thing fairly

quickly. Maybe this year still. If it's more of the Bartlett Road type of improvements, that's going to take many months to survey, and design, and get easements. And there's probably going to be easements and things of that nature. We're probably looking next year to construct it.

Mr. Stearns: Right now, would you just have to wait and see what your study comes up with and then take it from there?

Mr. Parkinson: We are recommending this approach.

Mr. Clemens: Mr. Baxter.

Mr. Baxter: Councilman Clemens, Chairman Clemens, so what are we pushing forward into Council? The request to do the designs?

Mr. Clemens: Yes. \$13,200.

Mrs. Reichard: The study.

Mr. Baxter: Alright. Thank you.

Mrs. Reichard: To see what needs to be done. And then we can take it from there. We have asked for an emergency for, probably obvious reasons. You have one more Council meeting prior to the break in August. And that would give EMH&T the opportunity to get it designed. We could come back to you hopefully in October, or I'm sorry, by the end of September and let you know exactly where we are and what we think it's going to cost. And then of course, that would be up to Council.

Mr. Clemens: Mr. Stake.

Mr. Stake: Thank you, Chairman Clemens. Attached to this information, was February 26, 2001 memo from Mr. Keller I believe. Michael Keller with EMH&T. And I guess the study was done at that time. And is there a need to do another study, I guess would be my question.

Mr. Parkinson: It's a good question. And as I reviewed that material, as we were asked to revisit this, one of the things that I became less comfortable with, was whether we had carried our evaluation beyond simply the back yards of Matterhorn. And I was not comfortable at all that we had looked at the down stream system to know that if we tried to capture this water, we could fit it in there without bubbling it out somewhere else. And so I asked Sharon if we could look more closely at that down stream system before we determine that that's really the solution. And that's why we're here tonight. I think we really need to know, and really Bartlett is what, what's kind of driving this. I hate to go back to Bartlett. But when we took a close look at that down stream system, we found that it doesn't fit. And so I started getting a little nervous. And I'd like to know better if it's going to fit in the down stream system. A lot of this money that we're asking for tonight is assuming that we're not going to fit in the system. That we're going to have to look at some alternatives on where to take this water. And that's where the bulk of the work is. If we

put our computer program together, and we model this down stream system, and we find out that it's adequate to get the water out to some level of service that is acceptable to Council, we'll quit. And we won't spend anywhere near this amount of money. But if we have to find some place for the water to go, that's more involved. And that's why we're here tonight.

Mr. Stake Okay. And I just would, Chairman Clemens, if I could, I just would like to point out that, of course this was 2001, I know things have changed since then, but the estimated construction cost I guess, were going to be about \$30,000 at that time based on the information in the 2001.

Mr. Parkinson: It was a very simple project. It simply plopped a couple of inlets and a little bit of storm sewer in the back yards. And if that's what we have to do, the price won't be much different than that. But if we have to do something different, more than likely, there's no easy outlet. It's a long way from these peoples houses. Very much like Bartlett.

Mr. Stake: Okay. And I certainly wouldn't want to waste \$30,000 by putting these things there and then not having them adequate. So, okay thank you.

Mr. Clemens: Are there any other questions from members of Council for Mrs. Reichard or Mr. Parkinson? (No response). If not, I'd like to make a motion that we send this to Council for appropriation of \$113,200 as an emergency. And also send it to the Finance Committee. Do I have a second? Second by Mr. Stearns. All in favor, say aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Thank you.

The next item on the agenda is, Discussion, Sanitary Sewer Repair - 1071 Matterhorn Drive. Mr. Kipp.

Mr. Kipp: Thank you. I've got you a fill in the blank ordinance. I appreciate Nancy's help on putting this ordinance together because it was quite different to do. As I essentially covered in the letter, we've got a sanitary sewer at 1071 Matterhorn that is still settling out. And it has an extreme sag in it, and the pipe is offset. And it can't be repaired from the inside. Most of our sewer repairs we do now a days are all from the inside. This one can't be done from the inside. The sanitary sewer is 14 feet deep in the rear yard. When we looked at it, we had a tape of it from originally 1997. We looked at that tape. We looked at the tape. We got in early part of June, and it showed that the settling has continued. At that point, I talked to Dave Parkinson, asked him to put together a proposal on preparing plans and specs to have this repair done. They looked at the tapes. They looked kind of at the job. The suggestion was that we will pursue, that we would get three contractors that we were familiar with and trusted to give us quotes on the job because it's one of those repair type of jobs that the engineers aren't going to say, "do it this way". They're going to do it the way they see best to fix it. There's a number of difficulties involved with it. It's in the edge of the easement. There's phone and electric lines back there. One of the services goes right through the area that's settled out. We went ahead, at the suggestion, well, the other thing Dave said that I was impressed with was that it would save us the cost of about \$13,000 or so to repair the _____. That he thought we would be better putting it off and putting it into the project. So we went ahead and met with _____ Excavating, Woodward Excavating, and

Clayton Excavating, and had them look at the site. And they've prepared bids. They are sealed bids. I have two of the three, and I'll get the third one in the morning. I have not opened them. I don't know what they are. All the one guy said was, it's more than you think it's going to be. So with that, I wanted to get this together to do in a fairly quick fashion. Since it's in the rear yard, we're going to be taking a lot of heavy equipment in the rear yards. It's best to do that when the ground is hard. And August and September is typically when the ground's the hardest. So we kind of wanted to try to move this along. So we've brought it to you in a fill in the blank fashion. If you deem that you would like to have it bid, we can still go through that process. These three companies will still be able to submit. If you waive the competitive bidding to go with the three quotes, we'll go ahead and open them. I'll get one to Mr. Parkinson and he can evaluate them as to which is the best proposal. We would bring that back to you. So we'd have the bid price, the engineering services, inspections, and we also included a contingency for that project. Section 2 are the, some of the unknowns that we have to deal with on there. We don't know how long the project's going to take. When the sewer is repaired, we're going to bypass about 340 feet of sewer. But that still leaves 5 homes that will be totally out of service while that repair is being made. If there's complications or it takes a long time, we would need to put them up somewhere. So we'll work on that. And landscaping is another thing that we may work with. I've talked to the gentleman at 1032 Rosehill Road and he was very open to letting us put dirt there when we dig this hole which makes our project a lot cheaper. When we do that, we have to cut down everything that's growing along the fence line. And so it'll be completely open when we're done. When we do the work agreements, we'll probably offer to put some pine trees or something back in. And I'll check with Mr. Parkinson to make sure we don't have to take them out for the storm sewer.

Mr. Clemens: Thank you, Mark. I know that Mark has talked to each of you, and each of us about this project. And basically where it falls is, do we want to go to the three bids, or do we want to let it out for competitive bidding? Now my understanding, and I could be wrong, we're talking about a project maybe 20, \$25,000 project or a little bit more. We don't know. And if we turn around and bid it out for, you know, we have to have plans and specifications for 13,000, I think we would be wasting the city's money. But if this project would have to come like 40, 45,000 more than what we expected, I don't know whether that would be the proper thing to do. I think at that time we should probably turn around and re-bid it then.

Mr. Kipp: And we can look at that.

Mr. Clemens: If it comes in more than, really our expectations, because if we get up to where it's 40-45, 50,000, I think then we should probably do plans and specifications and bid it out and try and get a lower price. Because then we would be spending the city's money where we could be saving in something else. I understand the situation where it's at and I know the problems that we've had and I've seen problems with sewer back up's many, many years. I know what an inconvenience it really is for somebody to have their basement fill up with sewage. And this has happened quite often and they've been nice about it. So I'd like to see it done. But if there's any questions for Mr. Kipp from members of the committee? (No response). Members of Council? Yes.

Mrs. Newman: I don't understand. There will be plans and specifications drawn for this job,

right?

Mr. Kipp: No. This will be a repair. They're going to, it's an 8 inch _____ sewer. And we in televising it, we think there's approximately one or two sections of pipe, or 5 to 15 feet that's going to have to be replaced.

Mrs. Newman: So there's not going to be any problem with the quality of the end product not having had the plans and specifications, right?

Mr. Kipp: No. This is simply dig it up and make the repair, and we'll have to evaluate. The unknown is, we don't know why this settled out, why it's continuing to settle. So we'll probably have to over excavate and put up some sort of base in there to set the new repair on.

Mrs. Newman: Alright. Thanks.

Mr. Clemens: We're talking about 14 foot deep and possibly going 16 foot deep, right?

Mr. Kipp: Yeah.

Mr. Clemens: And caging it so the man can get down in there and so forth. So there's more to it than just digging a hole.

Mr. Kipp: Yeah. They figure they'll have to use at least two boxes so that they can put a person down in there.

Mr. Clemens: Mr. Stearns.

Mr. Stearns: Thank you, Chairman Clemens. Mark, did you ever decide whether or not the dirt would have to be, could be stored there on site there?

Mr. Kipp: That's the gentleman on 1032 Rosehill Road. He is open to it. They did say, their initial responses were, they said, you know, if you talk to that guy, that would be the best route to go. That it will be really cost prohibitive to truck all that dirt out, and then bring fill back in. So that's when I went ahead and followed up with him. And he was very open to it.

Mr. Stearns: And for some of the fences there, they would have to be taken out, right?

Mr. Kipp: Yeah. The contractors will be doing that as part of the job. They'll remove the fences and have the fences reinstalled.

Mr. Stearns: Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: Are there any other questions for Mr. Kipp? President.

Mr. Hills: Mr. Clemens, if I could, Mark, these estimates that you have, you have two of them

now?

Mr. Kipp: I have two of them now.

Mr. Hills: And do they reflect the fact that, did they know when they made the estimate, they're not going to have to truck the dirt?

Mr. Kipp: Yes. Because I've talked to all three of them and let them all know that that was definitely an option to put the dirt there. Yes.

Mr. Hills: Okay. I guess I'm going to ask the bottom line question. Do you feel, Mr. Clemens has mentioned 25,000 and 40,000. Do you think these estimates are coming anywhere near there?

Mr. Kipp: I have no idea.

Mr. Clemens: I think the basis is that we felt the estimate would be low enough that it would be an expenditure for the city.

Mr. Kipp: I think originally, we were thinking in the 20,000 to \$25,000 range.

Mr. Hills: If the question is, if it gets much more than that it ought to be bid, I'm saying, they're saying, why aren't we opening it up and look and see what one of them is?

Mr. Kipp: I don't have them with me.

Mr. Hills: Because I think I'd check with the City Attorney but, this isn't a formal bidding and a bid opening process. You've got an estimate out there. If you want to look at it, you can look at it.

Mr. Kipp: Yeah. The reason I didn't open any of them, because if you want it said, we're going to go the three bid route, I would have given them back to them so that the other people didn't know what they were bidding. That way there wouldn't be any problems. They wouldn't have wasted the time they put together on their bid and have to worry about somebody else knowing what their price was for undercutting them.

Mr. Clemens: Mr. Parkinson, you look like you want to say something.

Mr. Parkinson: No.

Mr. Clemens: Like I said, I think that our thought is that it will be in that range. But you never know. And like Mark said, you just never know and it could be one of the situations where if it got too costly, then maybe we'd have to come back and re-bid it.

Mr. Hills: Are we talking about possibly sending this forward as a blank? And if for some reason those numbers are, whether it's decided that next week they ought to be bid, it would be amended

at the table to bid it?

Mr. Clemens: That's correct.

Mr. Hills: Or is that, just so everybody knows. So that way it moves it forward some time by next week in one fashion or another.

Mr. Kipp: That was the idea. Yes. Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: That's right. Members of Council? Mr. Baxter.

Mr. Baxter: Chairman Clemens, Mark, the question I have is, we're looking at for Matterhorn, how many more of these types of situations can we expect to see come across the table this year? Are they budgeted? This just came out of nowhere. It came as an emergency, the letters came in, we moved on it, I understand that. But how many are you aware of that we also have in the works?

Mr. Kipp: I'm not aware of any. Anything we get like this, we repair them as soon as we can get to them. We look at them. We've done a lot of sewers. We've done two others. We've dug up one that I dug up because it was shallow enough that we could do it. Another, Clayton Excavating dug up for us but it was under \$10,000. This is just, most of them are repaired from the inside. The new technology is great. You go in, you do it, there's nobody can tell from the outside. You don't disturb anything. I've had people look at it. There's no way this can be repaired from the inside. It has to be dug up.

Mr. Baxter: So from the TV monitoring that we pay for and the ones that we do for the city, you haven't identified any other type of sewers _____.

Mr. Kipp: And we've dealt with them as we've gone along. And that's when we started. We started with all the old sections. We started with the oldest and have done the repairs as we've gone along.

Mr. Baxter: Alright. Thank you, Mark.

Mr. Clemens: Mrs. Newman.

Mrs. Newman: Well, I still don't understand how you can repair something if you don't have specifications, like for the type of concrete. Is it assumed that all these bids are going to be written, will they be comparable?

Mr. Kipp: Yeah. They're all going to have to go in, they'll have to dig it up. They're going to have to put in a piece of pipe. They'll cut out the old pipe.

Mrs. Newman: Okay. What is the quality of the pipe? Is it going to be the same _____?

Mr. Kipp: It'll all be SDR35.

Mrs. Newman: Okay. And so their bids are going to be, or their estimates are going to be based on that? So they do know that?

Mr. Kipp: Yeah. And they know that there's one, or possibly two y's which are laterals from the other houses in this area of excavation.

Mrs. Newman: Okay. I just don't want us to get in there, because we didn't have specifications written that they're going to do some flaky thing.

Mr. Kipp: No. This mainly, it's like when I do a water main repair I have to dig it up and I cut a piece of pipe out, put another section of pipe in, or I put a clamp around it or something. It's similar to that except this is just sewer and very deep and in a back yard.

Mr. Clemens: Any other questions from members of Council? (No response). If not, I'd like to send this ordinance to Council. **ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING A CONTRACT FOR SANITARY SEWER MAIN REPAIR AT 1071 MATTERHORN DRIVE; APPROPRIATING FUNDS THEREFORE; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.** And it says, "BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF REYNOLDSBURG, OHIO; SECTION 1, The Mayor be and is hereby authorized and directed to enter into a contract with _____ for the sanitary sewer main repair at 1071 Matterhorn Drive in the amount of 'x' number of project cost to be the following as bid price: 'X' number, engineering services and inspections; 'X' number in contingency; 'X' number in the total 'X' number. SECTION 2, That the funded project referred to in Section 1, and to also have funds available for the city's responsibilities including but not limited to housing of residents and related costs, landscaping replacement in the amount of 'x' number in the unappropriated Sewer Fund, be and is hereby appropriated to account number 'x'. SECTION 3, That pursuant to CRC Section 8.04(c), competitive bidding is hereby suspended as this project is an emergency measure according to ORC Section 735.051. SECTION 4, That this ordinance is deemed to be an emergency measure necessary for the immediate preservation of the public health and safety and further to be able to prevent further sanitary sewer backups into the affected homes; wherefore upon adoption by Council this ordinance shall be effective immediately upon signature by the Mayor. I'll make a motion we send this to Council as an emergency. Do I have a second? Second by Mr. Stearns. All in favor, say aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Thank you, Mark.

Item number 6, **ORDINANCE TO ACCEPT AN APPLICATION FOR ANNEXATION OF .52+/- ACRES IN TRURO TOWNSHIP, COUNTY OF FRANKLIN, STATE OF OHIO, TO THE CITY OF REYNOLDSBURG, OHIO (Marvin D. VanFossen and Janet Marlene VanFossen).** First reading 7-10-06. Do I have any questions pertaining to this annexation from members of the committee? (No response). Members of Council? (No response). I'd like to make a motion to send this to Council for its second reading. Do I have a second? Second by Mr. Joseph. All in favor, say aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response).

Item number 7, **ORDINANCE TO ACCEPT AN APPLICATION FOR ANNEXATION**

OF .60+/- ACRES IN TRURO TOWNSHIP, COUNTY OF FRANKLIN, STATE OF OHIO, TO THE CITY OF REYNOLDSBURG, OHIO (Wesley S. Mendenhall). Second reading 7-10-06. Do I have any questions from members of the committee? (No response). Members of Council? (No response). I'd like to make a motion we send this to Council for adoption on the third reading. Do I have a second? Second by Mrs. Shirey. All in favor, say aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Thank you.

That ends the Service Committee meeting at 8:05.

Service Committee

July 17, 2006

- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

(Transcribed - Susie Smith)

FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

July 17, 2006

Members of Finance Committee present: Ron Stake, Mel Clemens, Brett Baxter, Antoinette Newman.

Other members of Council present: Donna Shirey, Preston Stearns, Doug Joseph, Council President William L. Hills.

Mr. Stake: And I would like to call the Finance Committee to order at 8:06.

The second item on the agenda is approval of the agenda. I believe we need to add the professional engineering services for Matterhorn Drive storm water study as 3a. And then we've got a typo on there, the discussion, Police and Parks and Recreation Impact Fee Study has been held until the first committee meeting in September. Don't need a second on that. All in favor, please state aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Motion passes.

Item number 3 is approval of the minutes, the Finance Committee meeting held July 3, 2006. Are there any changes to those minutes? (No response). None being heard, they'll stand as submitted.

And item 3a is the Professional Engineering Services for Matterhorn Drive Storm Water Study where we will be appropriating \$13,200 from the unappropriated Storm Water account to account number 740.737.5331. And this was discussed just a couple of minutes ago in the Service Committee. Are there any questions or comments from members of the Finance

Committee? (No response). Members of Council? (No response). None being heard, I'll make a motion that we do, as the Service Committee, recommend to send this on to Council. Do I have a second? Second by Mr. Clemens. Any further discussion? (No response). All in favor, please state aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Motion passes.

Item number 4 is Discussion, Supplemental Appropriation for Miscellaneous Engineering (Service Dept.). Mrs. Reichard.

Mrs. Reichard: Thank you, Chairman Stake, members of Council. We've attempted to give you some backup information on this. But if I could just explain it further. One of the things was that our budget was reduced this year. From 2005 to 2006 it was reduced by \$10,000. It was \$45,000 in '05, and it was reduced for budgetary reasons. Constraints on our budget to \$35,000 in '06. We also, due to the budget shortage in '05, we had to pay the November Miscellaneous Engineering '05 invoices out of '06 money which cost us roughly \$6,000. And then through an arrangement that we had in sharing the cost with Development for the planning interim individual that we had, Jim, oh I can't pronounce his last name, the gentleman from EMH&T, we shared that cost with the Development Department, and we had invoices for \$4,279. Plus a second invoice of \$1,867. Overall, it gave a total of about \$10,000. And then we had the \$10,000 cut. Thus, we are asking for the \$20,000. We're asking for the emergency because we've got some bills to pay and we can't pay them. We have drained this account. And I should also tell you that we go through the invoices that we received from our engineering firms very carefully. And this is strictly Miscellaneous Engineering. When we find something in a Miscellaneous Engineering invoice that had to do with Water/Waste Water, had to do with some other Enterprise Fund, we make a copy of that and send that off to that division of the Service Department for them to pick up the tab for that bill. So we're very judicious with this account but for the reasons I just stated, we need an additional \$20,000 to get us through to the end of the year.

Mr. Stake: Okay. Thank you, Mrs. Reichard. Are there any questions or comments from members of the Finance Committee? (No response). Members of Council? (No response). You are looking for emergency legislation here?

Mrs. Reichard: Please.

Mr. Stake: Does anybody have a problem with that? President Hills.

Mr. Hills: If I could just make a comment. Sharon, I appreciate what you're saying but, if we're out of money in that account, we knew we were out of money in the account a while ago. We didn't know just July 5. You knew in June. And I guess I'd ask that you all kind of monitor your records in the future so that it doesn't come up as these one time reading requests. Because you knew in April. Some of these are for services in April. So you knew in April you were going to be short on money. All I'm saying is, let's bring it forward in enough time that we don't have to do these on a one reading. I agree. I mean, we owe money for the services. The question of carrying '05 into paying in '06, I'd rather not even hear that. Because all that raises is 7 or 8 other issues. How did you do that?

Mrs. Reichard: Yeah.

Mr. Hills: That's called the float. And usually, it all comes out of the same tax payers pocket.

Mrs. Reichard: Right.

Mr. Hills: The tax payers are still paying for it. But I guess I'm going to ask, let's try to bring them forward as we see there's potential problems so the Auditor has time to address it, and then it comes to us for just a full discussion.

Mrs. Reichard: We will _____ to do that. Thank you.

Mr. Hills: Thank you.

Mr. Stake: Okay. Any other questions or comments? (No response). And this would be coming from the unappropriated General Fund. Correct? Then I will make a motion that we send this forward with a recommendation for adoption as an emergency. Supplemental appropriation at \$20,000 for the Miscellaneous Engineering account, 110.448.5331. Is there a second? Second by Mr. Clemens. Any further discussion? (No response). All in favor, please state aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Motion passes.

And I will adjourn the Finance Committee at 8:10.

Finance Committee

July 17, 2006

- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council
(Transcribed - Susie Smith)