

SAFETY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

July 6, 2009

Members of Safety Committee present: Fred Deskins, Jr., Ron Stake, Doug Joseph, Barth R. Cotner.

Other members of Council present: Donna Shirey, Leslie Kelly, Mel Clemens, Council President William L. Hills.

Mr. Deskins: I'll open the Safety Committee at 7:30 p.m. First thing on the agenda is the approval of agenda. Are there any changes to the agenda from anyone on Committee? If not, it'll stand as written.

I need approval of the Safety Committee minutes of the meeting that was held June 15, 2009. Is there any comments or changes to the minutes from anyone? If not, they'll stand as written.

Item 4 - Discussion: Liquor Permit Request - Transfer - from M & M Drake Investments Ltd, dba Shooters Night Club to Brent D Inc, 1365 Brice Rd, 1st FL & Patio, Reynoldsburg, Ohio 43068 and Chief Suciyou want to....

Chief Suciyou: Yes, Chairman Deskins, an investigation was conducted into this Transfer of Liquor Permit, and we find no reasons to appeal this transfer.

Mr. Deskins: Are there any questions for the Chief from anyone? If not, thank you very much for reporting, and we'll refer this, I'd like to make a motion that we refer this back to the Clerk without any action, for her disposal. Seconded by Mr. Stake. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes. Thank you.

Item 5 - ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF REYNOLDSBURG, OHIO: Amending Section 913.04 "Specifications; Permit Fee"; and Subsections (a) and (b) of Section 913.05 "Bond for Improvement" OF CHAPTER 913 PRIVATE ROADWAYS Chapter 913 Private Roadways - -second rdg 6-22-09. Aaron, I think we have some changes still yet to amend to this before or, we're going to refer to Council, I think, aren't we? Is that the desire, after the changes have been made?

Mr. Domini: I will point out the change. On page two the former reference to "Clerk" was changed to "Service Department". We want to make it consistent with the above reference to Safety/Service Director. That's the only change you'll see.

Mr. Deskins: That's 913.05(b) - "Safety/Service Director".

Mr. Domini: Correct. So you will see that change the next time you see this document.

Mr. Deskins: Does anyone have any questions for Aaron while he's up front? President Hills.

Mr. Hills: If I could, most changes have already been made, right? There's just one that still needs to be made, is that correct?

Mr. Domini: That's correct, yes.

Mr. Hills: Okay, so it, and they're going to correct that before, okay.

Mr. Deskins: Yep.

Mr. Hills: Thank you.

Mr. Deskins: Thank you. Okay, if there's no other questions, I'll make a motion that we refer this to, on to Council for it's third read and at the time of the third read, we'll refer back to the amended changes and the dates of those changes. Need a second please - with recommendation for adoption. Thank you Bill. Second by Mr. Stake. All those in favor say "Aye". **(All voted "Aye")**
Opposed. (No response). Motion passes. Thank you. We will close the Safety Committee Meeting at 7:34 p.m.

Safety Committee

- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

July 6, 2009

(Transcribed/S. Cochran, Ass't. Clerk of Council)

SERVICE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

July 6, 2009

Members of Service Committee present: Mel Clemens, Donna Shirey, Doug Joseph, Barth R. Cotner.

Other members of Council present: Ron Stake, Fred Deskins, Jr., Leslie Kelly, Council President William L. Hills.

Mr. Clemens: I'll call the Service Committee Meeting to order at 7:35 p.m.

The first item on the agenda is approval of agenda. Are there any changes to the agenda? If not, it'll stand approved.

Item 3 - Approval of minutes of the Service Committee Meeting held June 15, 2009. Are there any corrections to the minutes? If not, they'll stand approved.

Item 4 - Discussion: Code Compliance. We had a discussion at our last meeting and it was referred to Mayor McCloud, so Mayor.

Mayor McCloud: Thank you, Chairman Clemens. There were a host of issues that were brought to Council I believe the last meeting we met, specifically in regard to a property that you've all got information on. The short of it is, we tried to get voluntary compliance, as we always do. When that failed, we turned it over to the City Attorney's Office. The individual was cited into Mayor's Court. It is currently pending in Mayor's Court. At this point, we have done all that we can do. I think the City Attorney is not here. The City Attorney has been very successful in ultimately obtaining compliance on these issues and we have no reason to believe that he will not do so in this case as well.

Mr. Clemens: Thank you, Mayor. Is there any other discussion from the Administration or, if not, I do have a speaker slip from Steve Baughn.

Mr. Baughn: Mr. Clemens, I'll go ahead and waive my time to address Council. Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: All right. What I would like to do on this then, we'll hold this and place it on the agenda until, see how things work out. Are there any other questions from members of the Committee, members of Council? Then if that's all right with the Committee, I'll just hold this, and have it placed on the agenda so we can follow up and see what happens, if that's all right. All right? (No objection)

Item 5 - Discussion: Authorization of Refuse Contract - (1-1-10 through 12-31-14), Pam.

Acting Safety/Service Director Boratyn: Thank you, Chairman Clemens, members of Council. As you know, the city's current 13 month contract with our current provider who's Rumpke will expire at the end of 2009. In the Spring, we participated in a consortium with ten communities that bid

through SWACO for the collection, transportation and disposal of residential solid waste, yard waste and recyclables. You've been provided with a Summary and Analysis of the recommendations regarding the solid waste agreements from Eastman and Smith. That is the law firm who handled the bidding process for SWACO and the participating communities. The Summary explains the process that was followed. Bundled, yard, recycle services, collection services and bid them separately. Yard recycling bids were opened in March and provisional awards were made to Rumpke and Kurtz Brothers. I sent down an update report and went to the Mayor who sent to Council in April that explained those provisional awards. On May 21st, 2009, bids were open for collection services. Rumpke was the only bidder who offered three bids and those bid options were explained again in the Eastman and Smith memo. One of those options was this alternative bid from Rumpke to provide all refuse services. So pending before Council is a request to select Rumpke's alternative bid and enter into a five (5) year contract with Rumpke as the city's sole service provider for refuse collection, transportation, disposal for residential units, municipal facilities, and during special events for the city. Rumpke's bid base is \$15.89 or \$1.50 less or \$14.39 for Seniors per month for five (5) years. In short, this is a 1% increase from the actual monthly charge under our current contract with Rumpke which was \$15.80 but as you may recall, cities split the cost of increases with the residents in 2009. We had that one year of extra contract that we did and we picked up the administrative costs utilizing the Solid Waste Fund so the residents currently pay \$15.04, \$13.54 for Seniors a month. So as in the past under the contract, you know, Rumpke is permitted to seek past due rate increases due to Governmental taxes and fees. If you read in the memo, there's an anticipated rate increase, adjustment for State fees per ton and a potential increase with SWACO's rate adjustment which could add to the contract in 2010 by about (18) cents. The contract also includes a fuel charge clause but at this time, we are looking at the various options to determine the rate for the service while continuing to utilize the funds from the Solid Waste Fund to help absorb some of the costs of the rate increases for our residents. We anticipate coming back in the Fall with a proposal for Council to consider. I've met, myself, Mr. Harris, the Mayor, we've sat down and tried to start pulling some numbers to make projections over this five year contract as to what would be an appropriate rate that we could offer and then you wouldn't see adjustments with the residents once we settled on something, but we anticipate coming back in the Fall and putting that in front of you. So at this time, what we're seeking is to have approval to accept Rumpke's bid and authority for the Mayor to enter into this five (5) year contract for their services. It won't start until January of 2010 but because of the bidding process, you know, there's a time period of when you accept the bids and all ten communities are going forth to get this acceptance and there are a couple like us who are on vacation in August so we're bringing it now if you can pass it, hear it a couple of times, or hear through this month and pass it at the end of this month that would be fine. You wouldn't even have to have an emergency clause then.

Mr. Clemens: Would you want it passed like the first of September or do you want us to....

Mrs. Boratyn: We could. I talked to Eastman, I talked to....

Mr. Clemens: Then go the regular three readings and then pass it.

Mrs. Boratyn: You could do the regular three and pass it as an emergency. The time, under the contract, under the bidding documents was August 21st but Rumpke was the only bidder. They're not going to hold, I talked with Eastman and Smith, they'll be fine if you wanted to hear it three hearings and then pass it in September with an emergency but we do need to make a commitment.

Mr. Clemens: Okay, that sounds good. Are there any questions from the Committee pertaining to the refuse contract, members of Council? President Hills.

Mr. Hills: Chairman Clemens I, one question if I could, and, what we're being asked to do is go ahead and approve the contract with Rumpke which will be a five (5) year contract and they are the only bidder. We've had a successful relationship with Rumpke for a number of years so it's not bad news to hear that Rumpke wants to continue but this is on a five (5) year contract where they've given us some numbers however they may be modified but....

Mrs. Boratyn: That's their base price. The base price would stay the same at \$15.80 but by law, they can pass through any State changes, State taxes or fees and there they've, as you saw in the memo I gave you, anticipating that the State is going to pass through potentially \$1.25. Now SWACO may also pass \$1.00 fee, a tipping fee, but we don't know that for certain and so if you added just those two, if those two were true, then you could see potentially maybe 18 cents added to the 2008 contract.....(inaudible).

Mr. Hills: You're talking about 18 cents.....

Mrs. Boratyn: A month.

Mr. Hills: A month, to the customer.

Mrs. Boratyn: Yes, but again, we, we've been considering those and we've been looking at the fuel charge too that's in here that's.....(inaudible) that's set in here and Mr. Harris and myself, the Mayor, we've started, like I said, trying to pull numbers and take some guesstimates and be able to come back with some options.

Mr. Hills: Okay, but you all are still looking at some numbers of, for things to do so basically, we're being asked, we have, Rumpke wants to enter into a contract for five (5) years and we know what the minimum rate, well, we don't even know the minimum rate but we know an approximate rate of what's it's going to be and it is the only bidder.

Mrs. Boratyn: It is the only bidder.

Mr. Hills: Okay, thank you Chairman.

Mr. Clemens: Other members of Council have questions for the Service Director? If not, I'd like to send this to Council for it's first reading. Seconded by Mr. Joseph. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes. Thank you.

Item number 6 is **ORDINANCE APPROVING SPECIAL EXCEPTION USE PERMIT - (1762 Brice Road, proposed use - place of worship/semi-public use); applicant James E. Strausbaugh** - -first rdg 6-8-09; *on 6-15-09 item held*. Is Mr. Strausbaugh here? Is there anyone here representing the church? You know, this is getting to be a problem. I don't know what to do with it. What I'd like to do with it is put it in Other Legislation until the first meeting in September and if there's nothing been corrected or if we don't know what's going to happen then I would probably make a motion to send it to Council for disapproval. So if that's all right, I'd like to make a motion to put this in Other Legislation. Seconded by Mrs. Shirey. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes. Thank you.

Item number 7 is **ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING PURCHASE OF FX 30 VACUUM EXCAVATION SYSTEM and T12S VAC TRAILER FOR WATER/WASTEWATER DEPARTMENT FX 30 Vacuum Excavation System and T12S Vac Trailer** - -second rdg 6-22-09. Do any members of our Committee have any questions for the Service Director, members of Council? If not, I'd like to send this to Council for it's third reading and for approval. Seconded by Mr. Cotner. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes. Thank you. That ends the meeting at 7:45 p.m.

Service Committee

- - Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

July 6, 2009

(Transcribed/S. Cochran, Ass't. Clerk of Council)

FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

July 6, 2009

Members of Finance Committee present: Ron Stake, Mel Clemens, Fred Deskins, Jr., Leslie Kelly.

Other members of Council present: Doug Joseph, Barth R. Cotner, Donna Shirey, Council President William L. Hills.

Mr. Stake: I'll call to order the Finance Committee Meeting at 7:46 p.m. Item 2 is approval of the agenda. Are there any additions or deletions to the agenda by members of the Finance Committee? Hearing none, the agenda will stand.

Item 3 - Approval of minutes of Finance Committee meeting held June 15, 2009. Are there any changes to those minutes? They'll stand approved.

Item 4 - Discussion: Mowing assessments - Franklin County, and this comes from the Safety/Service Director, Mrs. Boratyn.

Mrs. Boratyn: Thank you Chairman Stake, members of Council. This, it's time again to send our, this time our 2008 mowing assessments down to the County Auditor's pursuant to our Code and as you know, every year we send the unpaid charges, which include the cutting charge plus a \$75 administrative fee to the County Auditors to be added to his liens on individual properties for the second Monday in September, this year would be September 14th. Then the liens will go on tax bills in January of 2010. Assessments for 2008 for cutting grass and high weeds, utilizing an outside mowing service and city employees, where in Franklin County, you have, I think you have the list in front of you, but this total of \$15,501.85, and for Licking County there was \$9,385.70. We didn't have any in Fairfield County. We did collect \$3,300 from about 23 property owners in Franklin County and \$140 from a property owner in Licking County so therefore they're not on the assessment. In 2008, the city's provider cut about 40% more lawns than we did in 2007 for a total of 194 cuts. Many of the properties, about two-thirds of them were vacant, held by banks or other lenders so this is, I brought this forward to see if we could hear it through this month and if you would waive the third reading and if you pass it at the end of the month on the July 27th, then you wouldn't need an emergency because it would go into effect just so long as I could send it down in time for September

14th because there's a Committee meeting on the 1st of September so I wouldn't want you to have to hold a special Council meeting just to pass this as an emergency to get three hearings and good intentions, meant to get it down for Committee last week I mean last Committee which would have given you the three readings we would have had in the month of August for it to go into effect so, I apologize, we just got behind and tried to double-check and make sure all of our numbers were correct before we sent it down. We just couldn't meet the deadline to get it down to the Clerk to make sure we got it on. So, with that, I mean that's really it in a nutshell, but if you have any questions, I'll be happy to answer them.

Mr. Stake: This is an annual ritual that we go through and unfortunately it's getting to be more, I guess, places that need to be cut, so a little bit more of this. Any questions or comments from members of Finance Committee, members of Council. I don't have any problem with doing two readings and then passing as an emergency so you can get it down in time. So then if there are no questions or comments, I'll make a motion that we send this forward to Council for it's first reading. Seconded by Mrs. Kelly. Any further discussion. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes. Thank you.

Mrs. Boratyn: Thank you members of Council, Mr. Stake.

Mr. Stake: And then you have the next one here for Licking County. Same thing right?

Mrs. Boratyn: Well, yeah, it would be the same thing. I sort of read through them both together.

Mr. Stake: You did tell us what the amount was for that and we have all the backup documentation here. Pile's not quite as thick, but it is pretty thick. Are there any questions or comments for the Safety/Service Director from members of Finance Committee, members of Council? Then I'll make a motion that we send this on to Council for it's first reading. Seconded by Mr. Clemens. Any further discussion? All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes. Thank you.

Mrs. Boratyn: Thank you again.

Mr. Stake: Item 6 - Discussion: Motion approving plan review and site inspection services regarding private developments and this comes from our Director of Engineering, James Miller.

Mr. Miller: Thank you Chairman Stake, members of Council. I am requesting that Council authorize the Mayor by motion to enter into contract with CT Consultants to authorize them to provide plan review and site inspection services for sites that are inspired by private developers that are making individual site improvements within the City. This is, in an effort to have one consultant provide this service to us, will provide us consistent documentation, consistent means and methods of communication and they will be of great assistance to me as I am not able to get out and inspect all of the sites that I would otherwise normally like to and if you have any questions, I'm more than happy to answer them at this time.

Mr. Stake: Are there any questions for Mr. Miller from members of Finance Committee?
Councilman Clemens.

Mr. Clemens: This is basically a pass-through with the money, the money's put in with the city and they're paid through that.

Mr. Miller: Correct. This contract requests no money. We will be collecting money from our applicants up front and paying CT consultants with those funds.

Mr. Clemens: And the reason we're doing this is because, so that engineers that do the site work are not connected with the city.

Mr. Miller: Correct.

Mr. Clemens: Okay.

Mr. Miller: Correct. When I sent out the Request for Proposals to provide this service to the city, I explicitly stated that by submitting a proposal, they agreed not to represent private developers or contractors that do work within corporation limits.

Mr. Clemens: Okay.

Mr. Stake: Okay. Are there any other questions or comments from members of Finance Committee or members of Council? This is a motion and only requires one reading, correct?

Mr. Miller: Yes, I believe so.

Mr. Stake: Okay and if there are no questions, I'll make a motion that we send this motion on to Council with recommendation for adoption. Seconded by Mr. Deskins. Any further discussion? All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes. Thank you.

Item 7 - Discussion: Red Flag Policy. Amend Personnel Policy and Procedure Manual by adding Section 6.10 "Identity Theft Procedures" and I see this comes from the Mayor, the Auditor, and the City Attorney.

Mr. Harris: Thank you, Chairman Stake.

Mr. Stake: I see the Auditor here and the Mayor here. I don't see the City Attorney here.

Mr. Harris: Well, two out of three ain't bad, right? At the last Committee meeting, we had for a discussion item the Red Flag Policy, which we qualify for because we have utilities that provide water

and sewer and then they're billed at a later point, which makes us a creditor. We are therefore going to be required under this to come up with a policy in order to protect people's confidential records that may be involved, in case of identity theft. The original reason this was done, not to single out municipalities, but smaller credit givers like Buy here - Pay here car lots and furniture rental places and those kind of things. It is all encompassing. The Municipal League and others are trying to have us excluded from it, but since we are not, this has to go into effect by the first week in August; we are here with a policy that was put together by Mrs. Boratyn and the City Attorney. They took where these had been passed by other communities and there was a sample one from the Ohio Municipal League and some other sources and they kind of took parts of it and made the ones that fit our particular situation as best we could. Each department will be coming up with procedures, individual procedures in order to protect the information that is housed within, whether they are part of the utility or not. I have confidential information, the City Attorney has confidential information, Safety/Service Department has some confidential information, and basically what we're doing is putting together procedures to make sure this stuff all either stays locked up, computers are not where people can get into them and those kind of things individually and what we're asking for here is for Council to approve the policy, the general policy that you have there which would be added to the Policy and Procedure Manual, and we'll try to answer any questions, if you have them.

Mr. Stake: Are there any questions for, I guess, anybody from members of Finance Committee, members of Council? You did mention that the City Attorney was involved in this; however, I don't see his initials on this yellow piece of paper which normally he would do on....

Mr. Harris: Pam worked with him on writing it so maybe she's better prepared to....

Mrs. Boratyn: Yes, I'm sorry if we didn't have his initials on there, but yes, he's been fully informed. He and I have had a number of conversations about it (inaudible) as well as with the Auditor. He did review it, I know he reviewed it. I think he spoke with Megan.

Mrs. Kish: He actually approved the final draft. He had some changes and so the draft that you have in front of you has been approved by the City Attorney.

Mr. Stake: The draft we have in front of us is the final draft?

Mrs. Kish: Yes.

Mr. Stake: Okay, and I have no problem with verbal confirmation of that, but I would like to see something from him in regards to that and I think we'd be good to go. Everybody ok with that? Okay, then if there are no further questions or comments from members of Council, two readings okay with this then? Then we'll make a motion to send this forward for its first reading. Seconded by Mr. Clemens. Any further discussion. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye")
Opposed. (No response). Motion passes. Okay. Thank you.

Item 8 - Discussion: Change of Income Tax Formula (at request of Chairman Stake). It's a

good time of the year I think to talk about this because obviously, next year's budget will be effected by that. We did receive some information from the Auditor although it just pertained to debt retirement. I'm not sure there's any action needed to be taken on debt retirement at this time but there was in the memo dated July 2, 2009, it does show what the income tax allocation is and I would like to, just want to introduce this tonight for discussion. Don't plan on sending this anywhere at this time, but I want members of the Finance Committee and members of Council and the Administration to please you know, weigh in on this, give me their recommendations. You know, one of the things I have heard for the last couple of years is our Capital Improvements Fund does not get enough of the Income Tax allocation. If we are going to be able to keep up with infrastructure repairs, we're going to need to allocate more money to the Capital Improvements Fund so, with that being said, are there any questions or comments from members of the Finance Committee, members of Council? Please take a look at that. Take a look at last year's budget. See what went into that. There's not a whole lot of money going into the Capital Improvements Fund right now and without making the transfers that we have made out of the General Fund, that fund really would have very little money in it this time so, I'll just make a motion that we a, Mr. Hills.

Mr. Hills: If I could just add the comments, and I have, and all the Council people that have addressed me, they were concerned about wanting to be more involved in the budget process, from the parties involved and especially the newer Council people, and this is where you start, because this breakdown has been given to you in 191.19, that's what happens with every tax dollar that comes in. It goes to those three different areas, and I think that Dick has provided us some good information on the Debt Retirement debt, that the current percentage is 14% and I would think that possibly it doesn't need to change, but the Capital Improvement, I will note also that the Debt Retirement has been helped by projects where there has been monies from under runs that have to go to Debt Retirement which has been able to keep it a little bit more stable. The CIP seems to be the one that you just catch-as-catch-can and if a little money's around, you send it over, but start looking at what our CIP needs are, versus what's in the account and what's going in. I've heard Council people up here say why it's only \$120,000, let's just take it out of the CIP. Well, all of a sudden, you take a couple of those \$120,000, there might not be much left, so, and if you stop and look, only 2% of each tax dollar goes in there. I just happened to see it in the paper, by the way, I find it amazing, but I did see it, Columbus puts 25% of their tax dollar into their Capital Improvement Fund and they still can't get their potholes fixed. I won't go that far but, I didn't realize that Columbus did 25%, but it was in the *Dispatch* I think this morning or yesterday morning. So certainly this information, and as we start into the budget cycle, this is what determines where your monies are going to go and you need to decide where do they need to be, where do we have needs and debt retirement. I'm pleased as I could be to see the numbers from Mr. Harris because I thought there could be some concerns there and it looks like you could sit back and make a projection if we didn't borrow any more money, possibly after 2011, 2012, we may even could reduce that percentage, but I don't think you can sit here today and make those projections because there is a chance we're going to have some more long-term funding come in but, Mr. Stake, I didn't want, I thought I'd just take a moment to try and explain to those people who have asked me questions, how do we do a budget, and why do we do it that way, this is a good way to start trying to understand it.

Mr. Stake: President Hills, I appreciate that and those are good comments and I hope they do take

a look at this and really, we need to think long and hard about the upcoming budget. Councilman Clemens.

Mr. Clemens: Yeah, I think it's time too that we look at the Visitors Bureau, the motel - hotel tax, percentage.

Mr. Hills: It's, this is the time that you try to look at everything before you wait until it gets tough in November and December. It's tough on the Mayor and everybody else at that time. How do you get it in before the end of the year. So the more discussions that can start occurring ahead of time, or where there is shifting of revenues or pertaining to changes, I think we can do nothing but help ourselves by starting the process a little earlier.

Mr. Stake: Are there any other comments in regards to this item? Then I'll make a motion that we hold this for two weeks. Seconded by Mrs. Kelly. Any further discussion. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes. Thank you.

Item 9 - Discussion: REDI funding, and this was also added at my request. REDI stands for Reynoldsburg Economic Development Inc. It is, I think, a quasi-City organization. The Development Department is involved in it. There's people from the City who are part of it, people from the Business Community, and other organizations that are part of REDI and one of the things that I thought, and of course this came up when we had the fire over there on Main Street with those old buildings and there really wasn't an economic development arm ready to step in and do purchasing of property or anything like that, and I thought it would be a good time to have discussion on that as well. I think our estimates for 2009 and we're looking at bed tax money, the revenue from the bed tax money which Councilman Clemens mentioned earlier, estimated for 2009 is \$162,317.89. Currently \$75,000 of that is directed to the Visitors Bureau, the General Fund get \$87,317.89. I thought that would be a good source for money to go into REDI to be able to be available in order to do some economic development. It's a shame that we have an economic development arm and we're not in a position to be able to take advantage of opportunities that might come along to be able to better our economic situation here in our city. So again, that's something I want members of Council, members of Finance Committee, to take a look at. I will ask the Development Director, I think he has information on by-laws and those kinds of things, what REDI is supposed to do and able to do. I know that would be good information for you, so I'll check with the Development Department this week and see if I can get some information for you on that. I see the Auditor standing up.

Mr. Harris: Yes, Chairman Stake. I checked with our Bond Counsel, Mr. Franzmann, and he was fairly up on these type of things and there's certainly a lot of things REDI can do including purchasing that property that you alluded to earlier. The city can go ahead and set up a funding mechanism for them to do so as long as they have the proper plans in place and I'm not sure that they do but there would have to be a little research done. So, it certainly is possible that you could do that. There might need to be some legislation passed, some by-laws reviewed and those kind of things but there's no reason that it cannot be done that he was aware of so, it is a possibility if there is some interest on Council to funding that. They could purchase those kind of things. The city however could not be a guarantor or a grantee for anything that they purchase but they certainly could put money in place

that would allow them to fund the purchase if they so desire.

Mr. Stake: The city could designate money for instance, from the bed tax revenue to go to REDI for that purpose.

Mr. Harris: That is correct, yes.

Mr. Stake: Okay, thank you. Again, this is just some food for thought. I know other communities use their economic development arm to do things like this and I think we're missing the boat if we don't step up and do this as well, but this will be a decision that Council makes as a whole, so are there any questions or comments in regards to this item from members of the Finance Committee, members of Council? Then I'll make a motion that we hold this item also for two weeks, so I can get some more information to everybody. Second by Councilman Deskins. Any further discussion? All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes. Thank you.

Item 10 - Discussion: Authorization to apply for financial assistance - Summit Road Improvement (OPWC)- - -on 6-15-09 item held. Mr. Miller.

Mr. Miller: Thank you again, Chairman Stake, members of Council. This legislation is to authorize the Mayor to seek financial assistance for the Summit Road improvements. This application as we discussed on June 15th, this is a re-cycle from last year. It will be the same application, same improvements. I have re-looked at the cost estimate and the cost estimate has changed ever so slightly in the downward direction only by \$2,800 I believe on a \$6.1M project. The Grant application will be asking OPWC for the Grant assistance and 0% loan assistance just as we did last year. There were a number of other Governmental agencies involved in the application that have all been spoken with. They all have expressed a great deal of interest in seeing the application go forward again this year as well as the landowners on the west side of Summit Road in the City of Reynoldsburg. They, too, are interested in seeing this project move forward and are interested in participating and seeing it happen. As I said during the June 15th meeting, we have no plans to submit an application to OPWC if the City of Reynoldsburg's share to see this project move forward is greater than what it was proposed to be last year which was \$825,700 and some change, if I'm not mistaken. So if the Reynoldsburg portion is any larger than what it was last year, as stated in my October 8th memo that I gave you back in June, we do not have plans on submitting this application.

Mr. Stake: Thank you and I did express some concerns at the previous Committee meeting and that's why I held it, although I have checked with some folks and I'm perfectly satisfied as to what you said that there are, you know, they are wanting to move forward on this, so I do not have any concerns at this moment. Are there any questions or comments from members of Finance Committee, members of Council? This would just be in the form of a motion, correct?

Mr. Miller: Correct.

Mr. Stake: So it would be one reading. If there are no questions or comments then, I'll make a motion that we send this on to Council, this motion on to Council with recommendation for adoption. Second by.....

Mrs. Frazier: Excuse me. I think you've got a resolution attached to this. Isn't that the way we've done that before?

Mr. Miller: Yes, you're correct.

Mrs. Frazier: It's a resolution.

Mr. Miller: That's right.

Mr. Stake: It's a resolution so we need ...

Mr. Miller: It's a resolution, not a motion. I'm sorry.

Mr. Stake: Okay, then I will make a motion that we send this resolution on to Council with recommendation for adoption as an emergency. Second by Mr. Clemens. Any further discussion. All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes.

Mr. Miller: Thank you.

Mr. Stake: Thank you.

ORDINANCE TO ADOPT THE CITY OF REYNOLDSBURG OFFICE & INDUSTRIAL INCENTIVE PROGRAM GUIDELINES PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT- - -2nd rdg 6-8-09; on 6-15-09 item held. After we received some information from Chris Franzmann, I moved to hold this for two weeks, and have not heard anything from the Development Department on this at this time so, I don't think we'll move forward with this tonight until the Development Director can come in and let us know whether he wants to go with Mr. Franzmann's recommendations or what he wants to do there since he did introduce the legislation. So, are there any questions or comments from members of Finance Committee in regards to this item, members of Council? President Hills.

Mr. Hills: The only thing, and I think it's good, is Luke due back next week? Because I did run into Chris Franzmann downtown the other day and he mentioned that he and Luke had had some discussions and it's my understanding Luke is fine with this proposed as is, but I'd rather have Luke here saying that.

Mr. Stake: So would I. Okay, thank you. Okay, well, if there are no more questions or comments

in regards to this item, I'll make a motion that we hold this again for two weeks. Second by Mrs. Kelly. Any further discussion? All those in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Opposed. (No response). Motion passes. And I'll adjourn the Finance Committee at 8:11 p.m.

Finance Committee

- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

July 6, 2009

(Transcribed/S. Cochran, Ass't. Clerk of Council)