

MINUTES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING
REYNOLDSBURG CITY COUNCIL
June 18, 2012

Members of Community Development Committee present: Scott A. Barrett, Nathan Burd, Cornelius McGrady III. Councilwoman Leslie Kelly was absent.

Other members of Council present: Mel Clemens, Chris Long, Council President Doug Joseph. Councilman Barth R. Cotner was absent.

Vice Chairman Barrett called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m.

The agenda was approved.

Minutes of the Community Development Committee meeting held June 4, 2012 were approved without objection.

A RESOLUTION TO WAIVE THE PROVISION OF SECTION 971.16 OF THE CITY'S CODIFIED ORDINANCES FOR THE 2012 TOMATO FESTIVAL- -second rdg 6-11-2012.- -
-Mr. Barrett said he had a speaker slip from Terry Myer, and asked him to address the committee.

Terry Myer: Good evening, Council. Terry Myer, CEO Columbus Detective Agency; Vice President of the Ohio Association Investigators; National Council, Florida; and recently inducted into the World Association of Detectives. I was asked to come tonight and give some input on the security we've provided for beer gardens. We've done seventeen years security at National Trails, just signed our eighteenth year. There's a few things we've learned along the way that works real well with ODLC, and being your first year, they will be there watching. I also used to own a nightclub at Buckeye Lake I sold twelve years ago, and never had any violations for ten years; right after I sold it, they got closed down for serving someone underage, which they brought in as a decoy, so they're out there trying to catch ya. If you pull it off, and not have any violations, you got a real good chance of getting it next year, and it is a good moneymaker opportunity for the festival. What I passed out is just some guidelines we used out there that seems to have helped and we've changed along the way. Number one is to check I.D. - have someone there checking I.D.s at the entrance and apply a wristband to everyone over twenty-one. Number two is to sell one beer at a time; a lot of times they'll come in and buy two beers and obviously one of them's for someone else. Now if someone comes up with two buddies, you obviously can sell all three of them one, but we got into trouble at National Trails for someone coming in, buying two quite often. No one leaves the tent with a beer, obviously, and that's where we have an armed guard, security at the entrance. No one under twenty-one, we suggest to not allow them in the tent; then you won't have this problem of someone buying an underage person a beer. The server has a right to refuse the sale of beer - that's your bartender, whoever's serving beer; if they feel someone is at a stage that they should be cut off, it's the Ohio law that it's their call, no matter who it is, you know, if they're stumbling around, falling around, then they have the right to shut that person off. We like to post a sign at the beer tents that we work, of no weapons, not just no guns, but no weapons; that includes knives. You know, knives and guns and beer just doesn't mix, okay? Everyone must have an I.D. - I don't care if they're forty, fifty, sixty-years old; if they don't have

an I.D. it makes it real simple to stick to the rules. And ODLIC will see that; they'll see that you're strict with that and no violations. And the hours, most of the beer tents shut down service one hour before the festival shuts down. That gives them an extra hour to consume what they've already purchased, okay? And then, like I said, we require an armed guard at the entrance. That's our suggestions and it's been successful seventeen years for us at National Trails; if you have any questions or anything...

Mr. Barrett: It seems like good information and it makes a lot of sense to me. Just curious...what generated this list from you?

Mr. Myer: I was asked to come in and give some of our experience with beer gardens. You know, it is a good moneymaker and since we do the old fashioned festival with rides and not making money there, you know, it is a way to provide extra money. And I understand it's going to be located off to the northeast part of the grounds there, away from the kids and families and stuff, and I think it's a good spot and it's, you know, try it this year and sit down and tweak it a little bit for next year maybe.

Mr. Barrett: Sure. Makes sense. Anybody have any questions?

Mr. Long: Terry, I'd like to thank you very much for coming in; I had a couple of concerns, and I'd like to publicly thank the City Attorney and also the Parks and Rec Director Jason Shamblin - one of the items I wanted to see, that I felt would give us a visual way of actually checking the individuals who were in the beer garden, is whether or not they should be there, is the wristbands. And I'd like to thank you for also having that on your list as well.

Mr. Burd: I wish that this wasn't a necessity; I think it'd be better to not have alcohol at the Tomato Festival, but I'm supportive of this measure. I think it's been handled the right way; I think the hearings we've had, have been productive. And what I just want to ask, primarily of Mary is, and you don't have to come up, but I'd like it, if at all possible, you could come in, in September, and give us a pretty early recap of how things went. You know, I know you'll be doing that as a committee, but I'd like to know, for Council's knowledge as well pretty quickly after the festival, how things went, if there were any incidents, how much revenue was raised, so if you could plan to maybe do that the first couple weeks in September, I would appreciate that.

Mary Hudson: Absolutely.

Mr. Barrett: Alright, I was informed that we'll be short three members of Council next week at the meeting, and since this has already had two readings prior to sending this next week, I'd like to make a motion that we table it until the next Community Development Committee meeting. Is there a second? Second by Mr. McGrady. All in favor, say "Aye". (All voted "Aye") Thank you very much, and I'll close the Community Development meeting at 7:38 p.m.

Community Development Committee
-- Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council June 18, 2012
MINUTES SERVICE COMMITTEE MEETING
REYNOLDSBURG CITY COUNCIL

June 18, 2012

Members of Service Committee present: Scott A. Barrett, Cornelius McGrady III. Councilman Barth R. Cotner and Councilwoman Leslie Kelly were absent.

Other members of Council present: Mel Clemens, Chris Long, Nathan Burd, Council President Doug Joseph.

Vice Chairman Barrett called the meeting to order at 7:38 p.m. and said that since two members were absent, the Service Committee would meet as Committee of the Whole.

The agenda was approved.

Minutes of the Service Committee meeting held June 4, 2012 were approved without objection.

Discussion: Rezoning of 6150 E. Main; applicant Creative Housing, Inc.; from CS to AR-1c (1.303 acres of land, more or less, 0.528 acre in APN 060-008936 and 0.775 acre in APN 060-008937)- - -Acting Planning Administrator Aaron Domini said, "I'll introduce the application; there are a number of moving parts to this application. What you have before you tonight is a rezoning for this parcel at 6150 E. Main; for those of you who aren't familiar with this property, it's behind the Metropolitan Partners Building where Dunkin Donuts is. It's currently zoned CS; applicant's requesting rezoning to AR-1c. Along with this application, the applicant has submitted a Major Site Plan and a variance, which is required to create a lot without street frontage. Currently this property, as it sits, to the rear of the Metropolitan Partners Building does not have street frontage. And the other application is a lot split, which I've currently approved, and will be sending to Franklin County Auditor for recording. You know, I typically don't make recommendations on rezonings; I do for other applications, but not for these applications, or at least I didn't when I was here at the city. I think it's a unique situation. You know, this parcel as it's zoned CS for commercial purposes has no visibility, so in my professional opinion for that use, that zoning almost renders that useless or undevelopable, because nobody's going to want to build there because most commercial entities require a high degree of visibility. I think at one point that needs to be taken into consideration here. The other thing I wanted to point out, about three years ago when we did amend the zoning code to, I would say, strengthen our regulations ____ the multi-family, at that time we wanted to ensure we were getting a high-degree of quality from any multi-family that happened here in the city. This application, as it's submitted for the Major Site Plan, meets that test, so it meets no more than four units per building; it has a high degree of _____ materials, and it has the required open space on its site. I think that, you know, on the open market, that would be very challenging; I think this is a unique application with the applicant that's submitting it. And so they were able to meet that test. So they're not asking for any variances from that particular piece of code that we wrote to improve the quality of multi-family in the community. Questions?"

Mr. Clemens: I have no problem with where it's at and so forth; my problem is, what happens if this doesn't, if this falls through and it doesn't go, that's the thing that always bothers me. We're sitting with the zoning again, apartment, you know, that's, is there any way that, you know...

Mr. Domini: Mr. Clemens, I did talk to the applicant about that, because I had anticipated that exact question coming up tonight, so maybe she'll be prepared to answer that. You know, I think that's something you have to weigh into your decision, although again, on the open market, I'm not sure you'd see anything at four units per building built back there, I'm not sure how feasible that is, but that's something you'll have to...

Mr. Clemens: But anyhow, that's why we passed it before, because we had problems with this, doing things like this without, you know, and all at once it falls through and we're sitting with the apartment zoning. On this, it's my understanding there'd be eight units - does that mean there'll be eight people, or, I don't understand what the...

Mr. Domini: The way I see this proposed, Councilman Clemens, is there are eight studios proposed in two structures.

Mr. Clemens: Right. So there'd be, okay. On this, do you happen to know what the value would be tax-wise for the city?

Mr. Domini: You know, if it's a commercial property built out, completely built out, that's hard to say, because, you know, I think the big thing that you're looking for there would be the income tax, so depending on the user whether it's small-scale retail vs an office, you...

Mr. Clemens: We're not going to get much income tax off of something like this, you know, so..

Mr. Domini: Yeah, I don't think so. And again.

Mr. Clemens: You don't know what the tax value would be on what they're proposing...

Mr. Domini: As they have it proposed?

Mr. Clemens: What's the cost of the project? Do you happen to know that or anything?

Mr. Domini: No, I don't. The applicant can answer that question.

Mr. Clemens: Okay.

Mr. Domini: But obviously it's not an income tax generating source for the city.

Mr. Long: Aaron, I see the two four-unit buildings towards the rear of the property; the building up towards the front with the numerous parking spaces - what is that going to be used for? Is it commercial, or?

Mr. Domini: That is existing commercial. That's the Las Margueritas structure and we call it the Metropolitan Partners Building. So then to the rear of that, Councilman Long, you have a detention basin which is existing, and then you have that remaining vacant space to the rear.

Mr. Long: Okay.

Mr. Domini: And a point of record - they've also submitted a sanitary sewer easement agreement, and a traffic impact study.

Mr. Barrett asked if there was any further discussion. (No response) Mr. Barrett moved to send legislation to Council for the first reading. Mr. Domini noted that the applicant and their attorney were present.

Connie Klema: Hi, I'm Connie Klema; I represent Creative Housing. Mr. Clemens, in response to your question, not only does your zoning code, of course, require some specific uses that we satisfy into that code section, but also Creative Housing is in contract to purchase this property conditioned on zoning. So if Creative Housing does purchase it, does get the zoning and purchases it, it will be done under HUD financing plan, which requires this particular use to be continued for forty years; so the financing itself, which will be applied to this property, if the zoning is approved, in and of itself creates the requirement that this use be for the handicapped individuals and independent living. In regard to your tax question, this is a non-profit organization; there will be not any tax benefits as far as real estate values. The benefit will be to the community and the service it's providing for this type of use. The City of Reynoldsburg has been one of the most frequently asked areas for this type of a user by people who are either parents or guardians of these types of people. I must say that it has done a great service to many communities; I've represented them in Grove City and many other, a lot of areas in the City of Columbus and they're a well-funded and well-rounded type service-oriented group and this is a wonderful addition to a community.

Mr. Clemens: My question is and I understand, okay, they will do the purchasing after we do the zoning, am I correct?

Ms. Klema: They don't want to purchase the property unless...

Mr. Clemens: I understand, but they do plan on purchasing it.

Ms. Klema: They will be purchasing the property and building the two buildings.

Mr. Clemens: Thank you.

Mr. Barrett: Are there any more questions or comments by anybody or anyone in the audience? (No response) That being said, we have a motion on the table to send this forward to Council for its first reading. Second by Councilman McGrady. Is there any other discussion? (No response) All those in favor, say "Aye". (All voted "Aye".) Opposed. (No response) Thank you and that closes this Service Committee meeting at 7:48.

Service Committee - as Committee of the Whole

- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

June 18, 2012

MINUTES FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING

REYNOLDSBURG CITY COUNCIL

June 18, 2012

Members of Finance Committee present: Chris Long, Nathan Burd, Mel Clemens. Councilman

Barth R. Cotner and Councilwoman Leslie Kelly were absent.

Other members of Council present: Scott A. Barrett, Cornelius McGrady III, Council President Doug Joseph.

Mr. Long called the meeting to order at 7:48 p.m.

Without objection, Mr. Long said the agenda would be changed by holding Item 6 (Discussion: Ad Hoc Committee Report (2011); addressing structural imbalance; possible adjustments) for two weeks.

Minutes of the June 4, 2012 Finance Committee meeting were approved without objection.

Discussion: Then and Now - reimburse MORPC, and appropriation of funds- - Safety/Service Director Smith said, "This is essentially to pay a bill that we owe from 2011 and it is essentially a fee for their services. I believe it's in the amount of seven thousand nine hundred and some odd dollars."

Mr. Long said Council had had Then and Now's before and the Auditor had explained them. Mr. Long moved to send an ordinance to Council as an emergency measure. Mr. Clemens seconded the motion. All voted "Aye".

Discussion: Transfer of funds within Development Department for services- - Mayor McCloud said, "This is a request to transfer funds, already existing funds that were budgeted for, from the payroll portion to Miscellaneous Contract Services. As you know, we are without a Development Director and without a Planning Administrator, we have outsourced this function and we simply need to be able to pay the bills to the outside vendor. I am requesting an emergency so that when this bill comes in we can pay it timely. And I'm also asking for authorization to enter into a contract with this vendor; as I explained to you, Mr. Long, you do not yet have that contract. I'm in the unenviable position of asking you to authorize me to enter into a contract you have not seen. However, the City Attorney has seen it and reviewed it and I just spoke with Mrs. Frazier; I will have that down to Mrs. Frazier tomorrow so that you will have a week to review this and I'll answer any questions that may arise."

Mr. Long: Okay, so this is revenue-neutral to us that we are transferring from one account to the other?

Mayor McCloud: Absolutely. Yes.

Mr. Long: And, Jed, you have taken a look at the contract?

City Attorney Hood: Yeah, I reviewed it last week. It's essentially the same as the contract we have been operating under with the same company, with the same fee structure. It's just more formalized, so I have no problems with it. It's a professional services contract so I think you guys can enter into it without competitively bidding it. It's okay with me if it's okay with you.

Mr. Long moved to send an ordinance to Council as an emergency measure. Mr. Burd seconded

the motion. All voted "Aye".

For Discussion Only: Income Tax Credit (Chairman Long had item listed at request of Councilman Clemens)- -item discussed during committee 5-7-2012. On 5-21-2012 item held for two weeks at time of approval of agenda. *On June 4, 2012 item held at time of approval of agenda.*

Mr. Clemens: I only wanted to bring up that I have not changed my feeling, and I have not seen anything constructive as far as the allotment of more money for our budget, or how we're going to get it, and I still have the feeling that we should go for reducing the credit. I have no intention to vote for a shortfall in the tax budget, period. Especially not a 2.7 million shortfall in the tax budget and I think it's getting time that Council starts operating as a City Council and starts thinking about how we're going to come up with the money. I think the people expect us to do it and that's my feeling and belief in it that it's beginning to come to where we're going to have to do it; I know that the Mayor is working on a budget, I haven't seen it yet and I don't know what it's going to be, but you know, time's going to be getting short before too long, Chris, and I want to leave this on there because I think it's something we have to do. I've heard some other things that are possibilities that - a couple of them don't even make sense - that I wouldn't support, but I think it's something we've got to think about and we've got to look ahead for, like I said, not only this year and next year, but the following years and it can't be a short-circuit deal that it's going to help us just jump over for this year. We've got a city to take care of in the future and I think it's something that we have to start considering. So I'd like to leave it on the agenda, but I do want to make it plain that I am not going to vote a 2.7 million shortfall in the tax budget, that's all there is to it. So that's the way I feel about it.

Mr. Barrett: I happen to agree with Councilman Clemens on this and having cut it a number of different ways from looking at the Ad Hoc Committee report I think we need to keep in mind that it's going to take us significant amount of income not just to get us where we need to be, but also to start putting some money away. And from reviewing the numbers and listening to Mr. Harris and others, I think this is probably one of the only solutions that's going to get us really, truly back on track. If you remember from the Ad Hoc Committee, we have fifteen years of what they quote 'kick the can' going on and so, I just wanted to say I agree on that. Thank you.

Mr. Joseph: I agree that over the coming weeks we'll have great opportunity to look at Councilman Clemens' proposal, there'll be other proposals that I think that Council will have a chance to look at that will be serious proposals that could yield some serious savings for the city, so I would just say that, you know, all options obviously can be on the table, but perhaps in some point there may be a possibility to look at a hybrid of additional money and additional cost savings, but I think that the community has spoken pretty clearly three times that a large-scale tax increase is not what the community wants. So I hope Council will definitely look at other options at least in tandem with any type of new revenues that may be supported by Council this year. Cause I think that the community wants to see us work with what we have largely and if there's small shortfalls that fall through the cracks beyond that, they might be willing to support that, but large-scale increase - I'm convinced will not be supported by the community. And if that's the case, we're back here without the revenues anyhow. So I look forward to those discussions in the coming weeks. Thank you.

Mr. Long: I agree with the elements that we have a lot of work to do. In fact, we are scheduling regular Finance Committee meetings one-half hour prior to our normal Council meetings so that we can have additional discussion. There have been many ideas that have been presented as President Joseph says, there may be hybrids that we may be able to come up with, whether it's consolidations within departments, or any other number of applications that we may be able to work here. Plus, verifying the elements of what the true shortfall is that we are looking for 2013. I've had conversations with the Mayor, as far as putting together a comprehensive list as what we have actually cut within the city, what we're currently doing without, a comprehensive list of the street recommendations that are currently out there for our street program, those all need to be considered as well. So I hope that everybody will be more than willing to come back, and we're also looking for input from the public as well, as far as ideas on your side of the fence. You know, not always are we going to have everything, you know, right in a nutshell to be able to go ahead and present up here; a lot of times ideas will be borne out of other sources and we need to be prepared to go ahead and accept those.

Mr. Barrett: One more comment. Just throw it out there. My concern is, we've been talking about this for quite a while, but it doesn't seem to be putting anything on the table, so to speak, like President Joseph said. Could we at least get to the point from your committee where we have a time line to have these items on the table so we could have some constructive discussion about them?

Mr. Long: I'd say you would probably have something in place here within the next couple of weeks.

Mr. Barrett: Outstanding!

Mr. Burd: Just a point of clarification. I was talking to someone a few weeks ago in the city when this was written about in the paper and this person said to me 'boy, I would love to pay to Reynoldsburg instead of Columbus' and I said yes, so would I, but that's...I just want to make sure people understand what we're talking about. We're not talking about getting to choose where you send your income tax dollars; if you live in Reynoldsburg and work in Columbus, you're still sending 2-1/2% to Columbus no matter what we do. What we're talking about is sending an additional percent and a half to Reynoldsburg. And I certainly wish we had a state law in place that gave us the option of paying where we lived or where we worked, because if that was the case, then we wouldn't have a revenue problem because everybody would be paying our percent and a half instead of Columbus' 2-1/2%, but I just want to make sure the people in the public understand, this is not picking and choosing where you send your taxes; we don't have the authority to change that. This is sending an additional amount above and beyond what you currently pay wherever you work to the City of Reynoldsburg, and I think there is confusion in the community about that and so I just wanted to put that out there for the record.

Mr. Long asked if there were any other comments. (No response) Mr. Long said the item would be held for two weeks.

Discussion: Appointments fo **Ward Boundary Commission** - - - item held 5-7-2012. On 5-21-2012 item held. *On June 4, 2012 item held for two weeks.*

Mr. Long said all had been presented with a memo from Mr. Joseph and asked him to comment.

Mr. Joseph: The Ward Boundary Commission is one of two commissions that Council is required by the City Charter to appoint this year and we've completed our work on appointing the Charter Review Commission and we'll be getting a report from them soon. The other is the Ward Boundary Commission and the requirements for that are a little bit more stringent even than the Charter Review that we have to have at least one member from every political party and Independent; we have to have at least one person from every ward. So looking at people who have indicated their interest in both this and other commissions and boards in the city, I think I came up with a pretty good list of people willing to sit on this commission so we can redraw the wards for the next decade. And I'll just go through that list now. The first is Preston Stearns, who's a Democrat from Ward 1; he's the first of two Democrats I'm recommending to be appointed to the commission. Preston Stearns is a former Ward 1 Councilman and was chairman of the Community Development Committee. Given this background, I'm also recommending Preston Stearns serve as the Temporary Chairman of the commission. Robert Cook, Republican, Ward 2, is our second member; the first of two Republicans I'm recommending to be appointed to the commission; Robert Cook is an historian, a member of the Reynoldsburg Planning Commission, and was a member of the 2012 Charter Review Commission and was very active in the discussions of that commission and I was very impressed with that and that was one of the reasons I recommended his appointment. Number three is Ryan Brzezinski, Independent, Ward 2; he's the one Independent and Licking County resident I'm recommending to be appointed to the commission. He's a Lieutenant with the Columbus Division of Fire, and a part time paramedic for Med Flight of Ohio. He's also a member of the Reynoldsburg School Board and the Eastland/Fairfield School Board. Number four is Marshall Spalding, a Republican from Ward 3, the second of two Republicans I'm recommending to be appointed to the commission. Marshall Spalding is a member of the Reynoldsburg Design Review Board and is very active on Senior Citizens issues in the city. Lastly is Bruce Sowell, Democrat, Ward 4, the second of two Democrats I'm recommending to be appointed to the commission. He's a member of the Reynoldsburg Housing Review Board and is very active in community affairs in the Brice-Livingston area. So that's my recommendation and I'm willing to answer any questions and I'll pass that back to you Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Long moved to send a Motion making the appointments as recommended by Mr. Joseph, to Council with a recommendation for adoption. Mr. Burd seconded the motion; all voted "Aye".

RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE TAX BUDGET OF THE CITY OF REYNOLDSBURG OHIO, FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2013 AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY- - -read for the first time 6-11-2012; public hearing set for 6-25-2012 at 7:15 p.m. Mr. Burd: I'd like to ask the Auditor a question or two, if I could, if he's all right with that. Just looking historically, you know, in 2011 we ended with a surplus, correct?

City Auditor Harris: Yes, the first time for a number of years.

Mr. Burd: And so, this time last year we were looking at a 1.3 million deficit this year, but we think, and I know the year's not over, but we think that we may not have that deficit because of carryover funds from the surplus, as well as higher than anticipated revenues, is that correct?

Mr. Harris: We're ahead right now for the year-to-date in revenue for this year. The question obviously, the big question for us is what's going to happen between now and the first of October with receipts on net profits. If the net profits come in at a reasonable level, we will still probably run a deficit this year, but we will not have to transfer any money to the General Fund to have it come in at the end of the year in a positive scenario.

Mr. Burd: So when we say carryover funds...

Mr. Harris: There is no such thing as carryover funds.

Mr. Burd: Well but there was in the paper a few weeks ago and I'm trying to clarify for people what we're talking about because there's confusion.

Mr. Harris: There is. And the word 'carryover' is the confusion. When Council appropriates money and it is not spent, it is not carried over; the appropriation ends at the end of the year. There's a continuing balance that is in the fund; every fund that we have has a continuing balance, but there is no such thing as carryover money. At the end of the year whatever appropriation is left just goes away.

Mr. Burd: Right. I'm looking at this year's, or, I guess, next year's budget in the draft we have now. We're talking about a hundred and fifty thousand dollar loss from the Local Government Fund, is that what it is?

Mr. Harris: Correct.

Mr. Burd: And the Estate Tax we're saying 350, but it varies from year to year so is that your estimate?

Mr. Harris: Yes. That's a historical amount from the last seven or eight years, an average.

Mr. Burd: Okay. And you're projecting a nine hundred thousand drop-off in net profit tax? From this year to next?

Mr. Harris: Yes. Based on the fact that we've gone from 1.1 million a year to 2.4 million dollars last year, we haven't had 2.4 million dollars since 2003 so the likelihood of 2004 coming in this year is not particularly good.

Mr. Burd: So when you add all that up, you know, it doesn't equal the deficit and so what's the...I know we have this on paper, but I'm getting questions from people and they're confused as to what happened last year and what we're talking about this year and they read about surpluses and they read about deficits and what's the driving force - is it just the cost of business, the cost of...

Mr. Harris: Well there's a number of things. Council, on the operating budget this year, had an actual amount expended in 2011 was 13 million dollars; Council approved a budget for 2012 of 14.1 million dollars, which is well above the 3.5 percent that we have traditionally run because

they saw fit to fund some vehicle purchases and some other items. So we know that that will not all get spent, but the average amount of appropriation not used is around \$300,000 historically. So now instead of having a base of 13 million dollars a year, you have a base of 13.8 million dollars a year. Now, obviously, these are all estimates and Council will be able to, when they get to the Fall, to appropriate money and support whatever programs and/or expenditures that they see fit. The whole purpose for doing this is for the county to issue me what is called a Certificate of Available Resources. And we have to have enough in both fund balances and estimated revenues to cover whatever expenditures Council expects to appropriate, and so there is a lot of confusion. This is one of the things about municipal finance that's not particularly easy and it's a, like I said, a number of times before, I don't know why they continue this whole tax budget thing - it really doesn't serve any purpose other than the certificate. So at this point, you know, six months prior to it, we're working on estimates; by the time we get to October we'll have a better idea of where we're at this year, and what possible fund balance we could have at the end of the year.

Mr. Burd: Okay. And, I appreciate your time; just one last question, and I know there's no such thing as carryover funds, but when we started the year, when we, you know, there was reports of 3 million dollars in carryover funds - that's the CIP plus the surplus from '11? Is that what that means...unspent money?

Mr. Harris: There was 3 million dollars in a continuing balance in the General Fund; there's also a continuing balance of about 3 million dollars in the CIP fund.

Mr. Burd: So they're separate.

Mr. Harris: They're separate.

Mr. Burd: Thank you.

Mr. Long: So, Dick, you're talking transferring the 2.6 in order to make this report balance for submission, correct?

Mr. Harris: We are going to have to do something to the current numbers that you have; we cannot turn that in to the county in the current condition it's in. One of the options that Council can decide to do is do a fund transfer from the CIP to the General Fund; there will also have to be a fund transfer done to the Debt Retirement Fund of \$400,000 to make up for the shortfall in that. So those two things will have to be done on that and they'll have to be amended before the last reading so that we can send that to the county and not be outside the statutory, not having enough money in a fund balance. Cause if you look on that at the General Fund, I think next year it has a eight hundred and some thousand dollar minus and you can't turn in a minus.

Mr. Long: Yeah, it's 834,000.

Mr. Harris: Yeah, and that's a no-no; you can't do that.

Mr. Long: Okay. Thank you, sir. I also have a speaker slip here, Mr. Norm Brusk.
(Mr. Brusk said he would pass on speaking)

Mr. Long asked if there were any further questions. (No response) Mr. Long moved to send the resolution to Council to be read the second time. Mr. Burd seconded the motion. All voted "Aye".

ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE CLERK OF COUNCIL TO CERTIFY GRASS AND WEED CUTTING COSTS (2011) FOR COLLECTION BY THE FRANKLIN COUNTY AUDITOR- -second rdg 6-11-2012.- - -Mr. Long moved to send ordinance to Council for the third reading with a recommendation for adoption; Mr. Clemens seconded. All voted "Aye".

ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE CLERK OF COUNCIL TO CERTIFY GRASS AND WEED CUTTING COSTS (2011) FOR COLLECTION BY THE LICKING COUNTY AUDITOR- -second rdg 6-11-2012.- - -Mr. Long moved to send ordinance to Council for the third reading with a recommendation for adoption; Mr. Burd seconded. All voted "Aye".

ORDINANCE DIVIDING THE DEPARTMENT OF SAFETY/SERVICE INTO TWO DEPARTMENTS: DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY and DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE; and AMENDING THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF REYNOLDSBURG, OHIO: CHAPTER 160 EMPLOYEE COMPENSATION, SECTION 160.02 "AUTHORIZED POSITIONS, PERSONNEL, CLASSIFICATION AND PAY GRADE" SUBSECTION (f) "Police Department" to include a Director of Public Safety; and SUBSECTION (g) "Service Department" to change title of Service/Safety/Disaster Director to Director of Public Service- -second rdg 6-11-2012.- - -Mr. Long moved to send ordinance to Council for the third reading with a recommendation for adoption; Mr. Clemens seconded. All voted "Aye".

Meeting adjourned at 8:14 p.m.

Finance Committee

- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council June 18, 2012