

SAFETY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

March 17, 2008

Members of Safety Committee present: Doug Joseph, Ron Stake, Leslie Kelly, Fred Deskins, Jr.

Other members of Council present: Mel Clemens, Antoinette Newman, Council President William L. Hills. Councilwoman Donna Shirey was absent.

Mr. Joseph: I'll call the Safety Committee meeting to order at 7:30.

Second item on the agenda tonight is approval of the agenda. I've got two changes I'd like to make. First item I'd like to add is 3-A is a report on the city response to the recent snow storm and snow emergency; and I'd also like to remove from the Other Legislative status part of the agenda, the #2 issue dealing with the Priestley Drive issues, I want to remove that completely from the agenda at this time. So I make that motion that we make those changes to the agenda. Is there a second. Seconded by Councilman Stake. All in favor, please say "Aye". Opposed? (No response). Motion carries. Thank you.

Number three tonight is the approval of the minutes of the March 3rd, 2008 Safety Committee Meeting. Are there any changes, additions or deletions to those minutes? Seeing none, those minutes will stand approved.

Item 3-A, report on the city's response to the recent snow storm and snow emergency. I asked the Mayor to give me a little report on our response to the snowstorm the other weekend and he did submit that to Council and I'd like to just read it into the record. (See attached report)

I want to thank the Mayor for this report; and I also want to thank the Mayor and the various city departments and employees that worked very hard during this time to keep our streets cleared and citizens safe. So again, thank you very much for that.

Item 4 tonight is the ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING EMH&T TO PROVIDE ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR ROSEHILL ROAD RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT PHASES 1 & 2 AND APPROPRIATING FUNDS THEREFOR, second reading was on March 10, 2008 and we'll be making modifications to this as part of the adoption at third reading. Last week we had a number of questions still outstanding and I think we have some answers to those tonight. Wanted to know if members of Committee had anything specific that they needed additional answers on regarding this issue. Members of Council? (No response) A couple of questions that I had, and I have some responses to those already, one was the distance of the leisure path - that's going to be 4,200 feet. We had a question about the sidewalk in the right of way that butts up against Columbus and it appears that we will be assuming responsibility for all maintenance on that portion of the sidewalk in that we will be proceeding with the construction of that. I would still like to see us approach the Columbus citizens that reside along that section of Rosehill to agree, to voluntarily agree to help maintain those sidewalks since they will also be utilizing those. I wanted to also find out basically what the annual maintenance cost would be. Do we have that Mr. Mayor? And anything else you have to add, please proceed.

Mayor McCloud: Obviously, without being flip, Chairman Joseph, the short answer is the more it snows the more the costs are, but using this year as kind of a baseline, if we have twenty snow

events in a season, I think it is reasonably within our contemplation that it would take somewhere about thirty minutes to clear that 4,200 give or take feet of leisure path. If we're paying, let's say \$20/hr, \$2,000 for labor again, assuming that these are reasonable snow events, I think our best guesstimate in terms of material, this would not be standard road salt that we would be applying because it would kill all the grass. This would be something greener as they say, that we use at City Hall and I think that our best guesstimate on that based upon the folks I was able to talk with today would be somewhere about \$100 per event. That kind of gives us a ball park figure. I know in terms of the equipment that would be used with a six foot path, we obviously need to be cautious about tearing up people's lawns and I know that Mr. Walsh's contemplation was that we would retrofit a John Deere Gator with a blade. I'm not sure that has the power to do that. It is one option we would be looking at. One option would be one of our existing mowers re-fit with a broom device, depending on the nature of the snow and one option would be to take the sidewalk sweeper that was purchased and used 2 or 3 times and either selling that or trading that in and getting something that we can actually use for this. I think one of the suggestions that I heard was something that was essentially be maybe an ATV-type vehicle with a blade and a salt auger on the back. So with that as a baseline, I think that's probably the best I can guess.

Mr. Joseph: Okay. Mr. Clemens.

Mr. Clemens: Like I've said in the past - ditch that sidewalk sweeper, and get something else.

Mayor McCloud: That'll become Option #1, Mr. Clemens.

Mr. Joseph: Other questions of the Mayor by members of the committee, or Council tonight? (No response) Okay. Very good. Okay, unless there's additional....yes, Mr. Harris.

Mr Harris: Mr. Joseph, after checking out the proposed ordinance that the Clerk passed out, it will need some small modifications to make sure that it's understood that the monies that are being appropriated for this project are going to be advanced by the CIP, and will be repaid to the CIP when MORPC gets all the financing in place; we will be submitting bills to them; so I talked to the City Attorney and the Council Clerk today, we will make sure that you have that before your packets come out so that they will need to be amended for that.

Mr. Joseph: Okay, thank you Mr. Harris, because, yes, there'll be a number of changes we'll be making at third reading. Any other questions on this item tonight? (No response) Okay, if not, I'll go ahead and make a motion and pass it on as an emergency for the third reading. Is there a second. Seconded by Councilman Stake. All in favor please say "Aye". (All voted "Aye".) Opposed? (No response) Motion carries. That was the last item on the Safety Committee agenda tonight, so I will close the committee at 7:39.

Safety Committee

- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

March 17, 2008 (Transcribed/S. Cochran, Ass't. Clerk of Council)

SERVICE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

March 17, 2008

Members of Service Committee present: Mel Clemens, Leslie Kelly, Fred Deskins, Jr. Councilwoman Donna Shirey was absent.

Other members of Council present: Doug Joseph, Antoinette Newman, Ron Stake, Council President William L. Hills.

Mr. Clemens: I'd like to call the Service Committee Meeting to order at 7:40. First item on the agenda is the approval of the agenda. Do we have any additions or deletions - if not, they'll stand approved.

The next item is the approval of the minutes of the March 3, 2008 Service Committee Meeting. Are there any corrections or additions? If not they'll stand approved.

Item #4 is discussion Target Center solution. I added this to our agenda at the request of Mayor McCloud and is an important issue and something that an emergency issue that we need to discuss and I'll turn this over to Mr. McCloud.

Mayor McCloud: Thank you Mr. Clemens. I basically just want to bring Council up to speed on where we are on this issue and then where it will soon need to go. Late last year, late being November-December and some preliminary site inspection of what will be the new Target store at St. Rte. 256, it was discovered that Blacklick Creek, the channel of Blacklick Creek had moved 15 feet in 2 years and it's moving toward, not only what will be the construction site of the Target store but the first thing that struck us is that it's moving toward a sanitary sewer line that the City has. It became a little bit sticky when we learned that the Franklin County Metro Parks had a conservation easement that was a bargained-for exchange at some point in the past and they originally were unwielding I will say about a potential fix. They wanted Green components that were wildly expensive and in our contemplation, not entirely reasonable for our need to protect our infrastructure. Through a series of meetings that ultimately became pared down in terms of attendees to an individual from Corporate Target, the Executive Director of the Franklin County Metro Parks and I, we were able to arrive at some input from EMH&T, who was also the engineer for Target, what was deemed to be by all three parties a reasonable fix. This was important to us for a whole host of reasons. One of which obviously we need to protect our infrastructure. One of which is that it's important to us that Target was able to maintain their construction schedule so that we can derive the tax revenue from that building when it opens, scheduled to, next Spring. We very much wanted to partner with Target and we did weigh in and Metro Parks and ultimately were able to arrive at an oral understanding that we were going to have something reasonable that we could put together in terms of a fix. Being a private entity, as opposed to a Governmental entity, Corporate Target can move much quicker than we can. They are willing to do the construction, the fix if you will, to prevent further erosion from the bank toward both their construction site and our sanitary sewer. At some point, the contemplation will be a request that the city share in some funding toward this fix and the preliminary figures are and this is not why this is here this evening, I'm not asking you to arrive at a figure, this is simply an edification. The preliminary figures that have been kicked around are somewhere in the neighborhood of \$600,000 to \$700,000. Obviously, if Target was not there, we would have the burden of providing that fix they are willing to bare based upon the representations made to me, the lion's share of that funding. In closing, before I entertain questions, I do want to make clear our funding component would not be from General Revenue Funds, this would be from an Enterprise Fund and what that would be, Mr. Harris and Mr. Kipp could probably speak more definitively than I on that. That would be either the Sewer or

maybe even Storm Water, but there are funds set aside to protect the integrity of our infrastructure and that's where those funds would come from. This is where we are in a nutshell. The plans are preliminary but there is a general oral understanding of the nature of the fix and the acceptance of that by the Franklin County Metro Parks Board.

Mr. Clemens: Does the Committee have any questions for Mayor McCloud? Members of Council? Brad, you will keep us informed of this.

Mr. McCloud: Absolutely.

Mr. Clemens: If we have to take action, you'll let us know ahead of time and so forth.

Mr. McCloud: Absolutely.

Mr. Clemens: Thank you. Appreciate it.

Next item on the agenda is Discussion: Proposed annexation of 0.45+/- acres in Truro Township; David and Sharon Black; commissioners recommend approval; recommendation was received by Council office on 1/15/08. Item can go to committee to begin the acceptance process on 3/17/08. This is an item that we had sent back to the Commissioners with our rules of service for this piece of property. They approved it - sent it back to Council. Do we have anybody here representing this piece of property? If not, there's another thing too that I'd like to have Jed look into. There's a .20 acres of right of way involved in this. Do you know about that Jed - that we should probably look into. There's .45 +/- acres for the David and Sharon Black, but there's another .20 acres of right of way that I see.

Mr. Hood: Chairman Clemens, if the Council members would remember, this is a piece of property that was attempted to be annexed into the city last year and the Service Committee did scrutinize the application and we ultimately rejected the annexation at that time. So this being their second attempt, I'm sure that we'll go through the proper procedure and scrutinize it very carefully to make sure that this is a piece of property that the City wants to accept into the City and provide those services.

Mr. Clemens: As Jed said, and I was going to bring this up, this was refused a year ago because bringing the property in the way it looks and the way it stands and the way they're using it, if you look at it, right now it's a used car lot _____ and it will come in that way and stay that way and our intentions were and Jed had worked with them to have this changed so that when it came in, we'd have a commitment on the zoning that would clean up the area and it was felt then by the Committee that really we didn't want to annex that piece of property and leave it the way it was and have it brought in the City of Reynoldsburg and not be able to upgrade it. And as far as I'm concerned, it still stands that way and so I'd like to send it on to Council for first reading, and get it moving, but I would like to see representation from the property owners if they expect to get it annexed, and have meetings with our City Attorney and also the committee to see what kind of situation we can work out, because I don't know whether all the members of Council have seen this piece of property or know where it's at, but it's the piece of property that's at our east end of town, the only piece of property really that's not in Reynoldsburg and sits in the section of

Reynoldsburg right next to the, where you come into the Trailer Park, where they right now also have, where they have trailers for sale businesses. It's a white block kind of a building that's got all kind of cars out front now and things like that. We do want it cleaned up. We did want it brought in under a situation where the proper zoning could be put on it and not bring it in as it is. The County and the Township have been very lax on it and have done absolutely nothing on it over these past ten years as far as trying to straighten the property up. The situation right now is they do not have water and they're not able to get water until they get into Reynoldsburg and that's the whole basis of the annexation so we will be following up on this and we will ask Mr. and Mrs. Black to come in or contact them and work and try to get something worked out before we take any action on this as far as passage. Are there any questions from the Committee? Members of Council? Yes Bill?

Mr. Hills: Were Mr. and Mrs. Black aware that this was on the agenda for tonight Ms. Frazier, do you know?

Ms. Frazier: I don't know.

Mr. Clemens: I have no idea.

Mr. Hills: I don't know either.

Mr. Clemens: Would not the County send them a form that it's been accepted or not.

Mr. Hills: I don't know and I know it's here and we're moving it but I would certainly, I agree with you - there needs to be much further explanation on this whether it's held here until they come in or if it goes for first reading as it comes back to Committee, I think it should never go for second until there's agreement from all parties.

Mr. Clemens: No, I thought we'd just get it in process and have it come back to Committee and keep it there until we get something worked out, because as we stated before and we state now - to have it come into the City of Reynoldsburg and remain looking like it does and not be able to put the proper zoning on it and get it cleaned up, I think we should let the Township have it and let them worry about it if that's the way it's going to be if we can't clean it up and the County and the Township don't seem to care much about it but I'd like to see it straightened up before we got it so anyhow we'll see that they're contacted and try to get them here at our next meeting. So I would like to make a motion that it go for first reading. Do I have a second? Second by Mrs. Kelly. All in favor, say "Aye". (All voted "Aye".) Opposed? (No response) Thank you.

Next item on the agenda is the discussion of purchase of replacement, residential, blue recycle containers, and appropriation of funds. The Service Department has sent this to us. We had discussed this last week. What they are requesting, \$3,000 from the unappropriated Solid Waste Fund to account # 750.738.5399 to purchase replacement, resident, blue recycle containers. These are replacements for the ones that have been damaged, the ones that we work on and that's it. I mean that's all it is - they are replaceables at the present time. Are there any questions for the Mayor on this item from the Committee? Members of Council? Yes?

Mrs. Newman: I'd just like to say that I think that the cost of maintaining these barrels would be far in excess of what we might be interested in paying. If you extrapolate the 1,500 barrels that have been supplied here and it's cost - how much did it cost to...they want to replace...what did he say - want to replace 50 or 60...

Mr. Clemens: Right.

Mrs. Newman: ...well, I've got two different memos...

Mr. Clemens: Fifty of them at \$48.

Mrs. Newman: Well - that's about what - 15% of them, right? If the same number failed if we provided those for 100% of our residents - that's going to end up costing us around \$3,000/yr on average and I just don't see that we get anything out of it. Rumpke provides those little red boxes free of cost and I don't know why we would take this on and then particularly since our employees are expected to repair these things when they need repairing. It just seems to be really of relatively little benefit to us.

Mr. Clemens: Steve had given a report on this - I understand right now there's 1,500, am I correct, Mayor?

Mr. McCloud: Yes...yes.

Mr. Clemens: 1,500.....how do other members of the Committee feel about this? This is a situation where we either keep them and repair them where they have them or do away with the program completely and withdraw them. Am I correct - isn't that the way it is Mayor?

Mr. McCloud: Yes.

Mr. Clemens: So I think that's a decision that the Council has to make and I think that Toni's correct in one statement. It would probably be this cost each year if we're going to do the replacements and repairs so if we want to keep the program going with the 1,500 - I think we could look forward to spending an additional probably \$3000/yr plus the maintenance and upkeep on them...

Mrs. Newman: I'm not in favor of that at all. I just don't think, you know, one way or the other if we use the red boxes we still get everything recycled, you know, if people want to recycle. And I know that they said that there was an increase in the amount of trash that was recycled using these things but I just don't think it's that much of a benefit to us. It's nice to be Green but...

Mr. Clemens: I think the whole principle of the deal was that it's a cost savings for the company itself and that's something that's coming up for negotiations in our next contract if we follow through on a program like this. Basically, Reynoldsburg should get the reduction as far as anything saved.

Mrs. Newman: If they could pass the savings on to our residents that would be one thing, but I don't think the city paying for these barrels is passing, you know, is passing the cost on to them.

Mr. Clemens: I don't think - it has it as an emergency - but I don't think it's an emergency...yes...yes.

Mr. Joseph: Mr Chairman, I know I'm not really crazy about funding this every year just to replace a type of bin that's only being used by part of the city. My feeling is that if this is something that Rumpke wants to see us move in the direction ofthey should be willing to spread some of the savings around. If they're going to get some savings - they should show some good faith and offer to either reduce the cost on this or offer some type of credit or something. I would make a suggestion that since we are coming up on renegotiation - you know, sit on this for a while and see what they come up with, and if necessary, I'm agreeing with Mrs. Newman that maybe we ought to move back in the direction of having uniform bins for everyone and just staying with what's worked well in the past rather than having this ongoing cost to the city.

Mr. Clemens: Are there any other.....yes, Mr. Stake.

Mr. Stake: Thank you, Chairman Clemens. I guess I've got a question and then a comment. My question is - are we able to get by to the end of the year with what we have? Mayor, do you know, or does anybody know.

Mr. McCloud: I do not know that Mr. Stake.

Mr. Stake: Would they continue to pick up if somebody doesn't - if their container is broken...I guess they would just have to put it the trash. Are they still going to pick up the ones that are there until the end of the year?

Mr. McCloud: That is my understanding - that they would continue to pick up. There may be fewer participants in the program at these rates. That is my understanding.

Mr. Stake: Okay. Thank you. And my comment is - you know - I'm all for recycling but as other people have expressed - I think the City's got to get some reduction in cost as well....and also, if we're going to fund this, I'd like to - I mean I don't know why we're just doing this for some people in Reynoldsburg and not for everybody in Reynoldsburg. I don't want to continue to put money into this program just for certain people in this city. If we're going to do recycling on this level I think we need to have a plan to expand this citywide.

Mr. Clemens: Thank you, Ron. Are there other questions from the Committee? Members of Council? If not, I would like to make a motion that we put this in Other Legislation. Do I have a second? Second by Mrs. Kelly. This is a motion to put it in Other Legislation until we can consider later down the road. All in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye".) Opposed? (No response) Okay, this will be put in Other Legislation status.

Next item on the agenda: ORDINANCE APPROVING SPECIAL EXCEPTION USE PERMIT - (1349 Suite B Brice Road, proposed use - automotive repair); applicant, John Swartz.

Had its first reading 3/10/08. This had come from the B and Z Commission's recommendation for adoption. Is Mr. Swartz here this evening? Would you please state your name and address please.

Mr. Swartz: John Swartz. My home address?

Mr. Clemens: Yes.

Mr. Swartz: I live at 2988 Renfro Rd., Columbus, Ohio.

Mr. Clemens: This was brought to committee and there is discussion; we sent it up for the first reading, but there was discussion/questions that we would like to have answered by you, and reading minutes of the, and they recommended that we put on the Special Exception Use Permit. This was brought I suppose to your attention....to someone's attention by the Fire Department....am I correct? How did you find out that you weren't in the proper zoning to do your business?

Mr. Swartz: By the Fire Department.

Mr. Clemens: By the Fire Department.....then you came to the City. So basically you were running a business not in the proper zoning and so forth. I just have one more question. In this process - you're still running your business?

Mr. Swartz: Yes.

Mr. Clemens: And yet you know that you're not supposed to be running your business, is that correct? I mean it's not zoned for it, that's why you are here.

Mr. Swartz: Right.

Mr. Clemens: But.....

Mr. Swartz: No - at the time I did not know.

Mr. Clemens: You knew when you went before the Board. What I'm trying to say is that you shouldn't be running your business, because it's not approved, you understand?

Mr. Swartz: Right now?

Mr. Clemens: You're correct. I mean you're not in the proper zoning for that district. That was one thing. The other thing is your parking and so forth, which that was brought up by the B&Z and the thing that I'm interested in basically is that you had talked about additional parking space, blacktopping additional parking space. What I would like to see at our next meeting....I'd like to see a layout where your building is - layout where your parking is going to be. You don't have to have it done by an Engineer or anything like that; you can do the drawing yourself. Show us how big it's going to be - where it's going to be and how it's going to be striped and so forth...how your

cars are going to be....so we know what it looks like.

Mr. Swartz: I have an Engineer on that right now.

Mr. Clemens: I would like to see that.....because I go up and down that road quite a bit and it's in my district and I do know what it looks like. Another thing was brought out.....I'd have to clear this with our City Attorney....automobiles being there without proper tags. We have an Ordinance that says that all vehicles will be tagged by the proper tag by the State of Ohio on private property and that's private property and that means the cars have to have the proper tags on them. Now how you're going to handle that - whether it's going to be the tags with the Dealership - you know, that's properly tagged, but you have them sitting there with no tags and that's illegal. In other words they have to be operable and properly tagged on private property. So that's something you should look into and see how you're going to do that.

Mr. Swartz: I've already checked into special tags for my business for that purpose because I do a lot of car lot work. I most generally have an average of 10-15 vehicles around there at a time that do not come with tags on them.

Mr. Clemens: I know.....I've been checking on them so I know what you have and so forth and your business is fine, don't get me wrong, but what we're trying to get something that looks decent in an up keeping situation. I know you have somebody looking at your building and so forth and what you're going to do and how you plan to keep the property up and so forth. But I think it's important that we see the layout pertaining to your driveway where the cars are actually going to be parked, because now they're all over the place, and I don't want them to stay like that. Also, it was said that, I do remember it, that during your meeting, you said that you would have them all moved the next Monday, which they weren't all moved the next Monday, because they're still there.

Mr. Swartz: Right.

Mr. Clemens: So that's my interest, is to see that it's going to be a situation that is something that's good for the City, looks good for the City, because it is noticeable for me from Brice Road. As you drive by you can see it and so forth so I think that's an important thing. Are there any other questions for Mr. Swartz from the Committee? Yes, Mrs. Newman.

Ms. Newman: It seems that we have a difficulty here in that Mr. Swartz sort of got the cart before the horse. He went into business before he got his proper approval so he's afraid to go ahead and make his improvements before he gets approval and we're afraid to give him approval if he doesn't make a good effort to clean the business up and everything. So who's going to move first here?

Mr. Clemens: I think that, and it's been recommended by the B&Z -different recommendations- for a six month renewal that these provisions be taken care of and within six months it comes back before us and if they're not then he's out of business. So that's all good and said. Done. I would like to see these other things before it comes for approval. I would like to see the layout on how your cars are going to be parked and where that blacktop is going to be and also that trailer out of there.

Mr. Swartz: I'd like to see that gone just as bad as you would.

Mr. Clemens: But then if Council feels that we want to add to the conditions that the B&Z installed on your permit why we could also do that; so in the meantime, could you come back two weeks from tonight with a layout of what you plan on doing as far as the parking area and that's the important thing because it's all in front of your building. I noticed the other day that they were all still there - some of them with no tags and so forth, cars sitting outside with the hoods up and things like that. Those are things that we're trying to get away from.

Mr. Hills: Mr. Clemens. If I could, I believe that would be three weeks, because two weeks from now is the 31st which is the 5th Monday..so I think that actually if the drawing would come back by April 7th, then that gives you plenty of time for your engineer to get it for you and maybe more of those issues could be addressed but there is three weeks until the next Committee Meeting.

Mr. Clemens: I'm sorry. Forgot about that, and it's nice to have another week off. The more you can bring and explain to us what you plan on doing to upgrade the business - like I said, I have nothing wrong with your business or car repair, it's just that we want it to be in a situation and we have situations that we can't correct now because they weren't correct ahead of time. So we're trying now to correct things ahead of time so we don't have to worry about it. That was the problem with this annexation - we hadn't spoke about it. We don't want something that looks like that. So, if you could...

Mr. Swartz: It was asked in the last meeting about the cars - how long they'll be sitting around there and junk piling up. I don't want cars sitting around there and junk piling up any more than any of you folks or the City folks do, but sometimes like with the car lot cars, my hands are tied - I may have them done but getting them to come and get them - you know, it either comes down to either I got to have them impounded and throw my bill away or give them the opportunity to come and get them.

Mr. Clemens: Don't get me wrong. I have no problem with them being there with the proper tag in the proper parking lot. So if you have a parking lot that holds 20 or 30 cars and they're parked in your parking lot and the parking lot is striped and they got tags on them, properly tagged and operable I have no problem with that. It's just that parked sideways and around each other and no tags and half of them off the drive with hoods up and things, we don't want that, but if you can show how's it's going to be handled correctly, I have no problem with your business because I know that mechanical places are hard to find and it would be a benefit to a lot of the residents of this city but I think that it's something the car lots have to work on too but they do have to be properly tagged and operable and sitting in a parking lot that are all lined up and that's all that I'm asking....that we do it right. Get the parking lot blacktopped and so forth and everything straightened up and your drive blacktopped. If we get things started off right then we won't have any problems so if you could in three weeks come back and show us what you plan on doing. Show us a layout. It doesn't have to be an expensive layout. I'm not asking you to do something like that. Just show the measurements of where your parking lot will be and your drive how wide it will be and give us some other inputs of your business and so forth why I don't think we'll have a problem but that's what we want something that's an upgrade to the City.....not a downgrade. Okay. Are there any other questions. Yes, Mrs. Kelly.

Mrs. Kelly: If you could also bring with you kind of a time line as to what you see your time line for your improvements and for getting those things done that would be great if you could put that with it. Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: Mrs. Newman.

Ms. Newman: Mr. Swartz I'd just like to know, do you have a lot of trouble with the people you deal with, the car dealers coming to pick up the cars after you've repaired them. Do they customarily....maybe they're going to come get them....and maybe they're not or do they end up dumping the cars on you essentially. Do you have that happen?

Mr. Swartz: On an average, I never have any of them more than three weeks at a time.

Ms. Newman: And they pretty well come and pick them up, they don't just leave them there.

Mr. Swartz: No.....no.

Ms. Newman: Okay.....then another thing. Ms. Shirey is not here tonight and she's having some surgery done, I believe, and she expressed to me a concern about noxious materials you know - like oil, and maybe you're using an exhaust fan to take the fumes out of your business. Do you foresee any problems along those lines?

Mr. Swartz: Absolutely not.

Mrs. Newman: And how do you dispose of used oil and that sort of thing? Do you do that much?

Mr. Swartz: Yes, I have barrels; they go in barrels and have it picked up and hauled out.....a company will come in haul it out. I haven't had enough.....I may have 50 gallons in a drum right now but as the drum fills up it will be emptied on a regular basis.

Ms. Newman: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: Yes, Mr. Joseph.

Mr. Joseph: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I was looking at the conditions that the BZBA made and they look fine to me. I just want to make a suggestion that on #1 where it says the proprietor clear the area of all vehicles that are currently there within a couple of weeks I think the exact number of days would be better than something very general. Like fourteen days or whatever rather than a generic couple of weeks, because that's open to interpretation.

Mr. Clemens: It's hard to visualize what vehicles you're going to move and get out of there in fourteen days because you've had them a long time that have been sitting there. As far as you being in business, that's an administrative function; that's not mine, but I don't know how that's handled. The cars that you have there now at least I'd line them up in line instead of sideways and so forth. I'd do everything you could to make yourself look respectable at the present time.

Mr. Swartz: Right now all the vehicles that are there right now haven't been there over seven days and everything is in line and put in order right now.....there that are my vehicles other than the trailer.

Mr. Clemens: As I said, I know he's working every other evening, I don't know what your hours will be and you had cars sitting outside with the hood up and things like that and just so you know I'm a pain and I come by those places and I look so you understand. If you'll do that and in the meantime if you stay in business, as I said that's not up to me, if you're still in business, do as much as you can to make the property look respectable, no storage outside and things like that. We'd appreciate it if you would bring back your drawings to show us what's going on and some more explanation on what you're doing there. Are there any other questions from the committee or members of Council. If not - then we will see you in three weeks.

Mr. Hills: Mel, were you going to send that forward for second?

Mr. Clemens: I wasn't really going to. I was going to ask Committee if we could hold this for three weeks until we get a little more information and then we could send it on, maybe we could suspendmaybe something like that if everything's up to snuff, and save you some more time. Is that all right with the committee? Then I make a motion that we put this on hold for 3 weeks til our next committee meeting. Do I have a second? Second by Mrs. Kelly. All in favor say "Aye". (All voted "Aye".) Opposed? (No response) Thank you and that ends the Service Committee meeting at 8:14. Thank you.

Service Committee

- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

March 17, 2008

(Transcribed/S. Cochran, Ass't. Clerk of Council)

FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

March 17, 2008

Members of Finance Committee present: Ron Stake, Mel Clemens, Doug Joseph, Antoinette Newman.

Other members of Council present: Fred Deskins, Jr., Leslie Kelly, Council President William L. Hills. Councilwoman Donna Shirey was absent.

Mr. Stake: I will call to order the Finance Committee at 8:31.

Item # 2 is approval of the agenda. I've got a couple of items that I'd like to hold for three weeks. Item # 4, Item # 5 and Item # 10. I make a motion that we hold Items # 4, 5 and 10. Do I hear a second. Second by Councilwoman Newman. All in favor, please say "Aye". (All voted "Aye".) Opposed? (No response) Motion passes.

Item # 3 is approval of the minutes of the Finance Committee Meeting held March 3rd, 2008. Are there any changes to those minutes? Hearing none, they'll stand as submitted.

Item #6 is Discussion - Creation of Fund 295 Law Enforcement Assistance Fund and I see our Auditor making his way down here. Mr. Harris.

Mr. Harris: Thank you, Chairman Stake, Members of Council, this legislation request Council authorize the Auditor to create a new fund which will be Fund 295 called the "Law Enforcement Assistance Fund". This is to handle funds that will come in from the Attorney General's Office that certain training that's done by the Police Department we will pay out of the Police Training Budget and then we will be reimbursed by the State and I will need a special fund to put those in in order to track those for audit purposes and the State's recommendation is that we do create another fund. Fund 295 just happens to be next on the list....so I would like to get this done as an emergency. The check is here and I need to get it booked.

Mr. Stake: Okay. Thank you Mr. Harris. Are there any questions for Mr. Harris from the Finance Committee? Councilman Joseph.

Mr. Joseph: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Harris, how often do we create new funds like this? Is it frequent or infrequent?

Mr. Harris: It's very infrequent. I would have to.....I can't remember the last time, we created funds for Taylor Square TIF and some of those, but I think that was really the last time.

Mr. Joseph: Okay and do you happen to know what kind of specific training we're talking about.

Mr. Harris: I did pass out - the only thing I have is the information that I passed out with Ohio Revised Code, I think Section 109. The Chief is here. He can probably answer that question better than I could; pretty much, I just handle the money.

Mr. Joseph: Okay. If the Chief has that information, because I didn't read specifically in this document what that would entail.

Chief Suci: Mr. Joseph, basically what happened last year is the Attorney General established some requirements for Police Departments to require their officers to take certain trainings, if they meet those requirements - the State Attorney General would reimburse them for their officers attending those certain trainings. Last year it was Victim's Rights Training, if all of our officers were in attendance and fulfilled all those training requirements, they would reimburse us up to a certain amount for that training. And basically what this fund is for, is that it's mandated by the State that says that money that's being reimbursed has to go into a separate fund for additional training that could be used for training purposes and not back into the General Fund. That's basically why this has to be created.

Mr. Joseph: Okay, thanks Chief.

Mr. Stake: Any other questions or comments from members of the Finance Committee? Members of Council? I guess this is for accounting purposes basically to track the money. Any problem with emergency from anybody on this? No. I'll make a motion that we send this forward with recommendation for adoption as an emergency. Do I have a second? Second by

Councilman Clemens. Any further discussion? All in favor, please state "Aye". (All voted "Aye".) Opposed? (No response) Motion passes.

Mr. Harris: Thank you.

Mr. Stake: Thank you, Mr. Harris.

Item #7 is Discussion to amend 160.02 (a) and (d) to reflect the position of Secretary to be shared by the Mayor and Human Resources Director and this does come from the Mayor. Mayor?

Mayor McCloud: Thank you Chairman Stake. And quite briefly, this is an amendment to 160 to allow what has been traditionally been defined as the Mayor's Secretary in 160.02 to be shared with the Human Resources Director.

Mr. Stake: Okay. This will make it official. Are there any questions from any members of the Finance Committee? Members of Council? I see you do want emergency on this as well. Any problems with that from anybody. Okay. I'll make a motion that we send this item forward with recommendation for adoption as an emergency. Do I have a second? Seconded by Councilman Newman. Any further discussion? All in favor please state "Aye". (All voted "Aye".) Opposed? (No response) Motion passes.

Item #8 is Discussion - appointment of a Full Time Assistant Clerk of Council and I believe the Clerk of Council has somebody in mind by the name of Sally Cochran. Everybody should have her resume. Are there any questions or comments from Members of the Finance Committee. Members of Council? Does the Clerk want to add anything to that?

Ms. Frazier: No, thank you.

Mr. Stake: And this would be a motion. I'll make a motion to send this on to Council with a recommendation for adoption. Do I have a second? Second by Councilman Joseph. Any further discussion? All in favor please state "Aye". (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response) Motion passes.

Item #9 is the Discussion - Repeal and Replace Chapter 155 Engineer and amend Chapter 160 to include "Department of Engineering". This also comes from the Mayor. Mayor McCloud.

Mayor McCloud: Thank you Chairman Stake. If I could, I would ask that the pay level be amended from a Pay Grade 20 to Pay Grade 23.

Mr. Stake: Okay. Any questions or comments? Members of Finance Committee, Councilman Joseph.

Mr. Joseph: Thank you Mr. Chairman. Mayor - one question.....maybe you can explain this why we're repealing Chapter 155 and amending 160. Why can't we do it through 155.

Mayor McCloud: There is an existing Chapter 155 from eons ago that was still on the books that

needed to be amend in order to be accurate.

Mr. Joseph: Okay, because is the..are the duties and responsibilities different because I know...

Mayor McCloud: Yes they are.

Mr. Joseph: Is this just something that we had not filled in the past?

Mayor McCloud: That is correct.

Mr. Joseph: For the purposes of this new role it's just easier to go to 160.

Mayor McCloud: Yes.....if I understand your question. Yes. It doesn't exist in 160 so we have to insert it.

Mr. Joseph: So you're creating it.

Mr. McCloud: That's correct.

Mr. Joseph: Okay.

Ms Frazier: Excuse me, could I just clarify one thing?

Mr. Joseph: Mrs. Frazier...

Mr. McCloud: Please do.

Mrs. Frazier: In 160 you're going to do the line on the first page that (h). That's all that your doing on 160.....155 is the next two pages that the Mayor submitted. That's your whole Chapter and what exists in the existing chapter - two paragraphs and it says 'city will have an engineer', and they can't do anything that conflicts with anything else they did. That's it. So you're going to take that away and put this in there the way it's written, but you can do these type ordinances, since they're codified, and codified by reference, on one ordinance. So that's what you're doing there. So the whole text will be in 160.

Mr. Stake: Thanks. Okay. Mayor - may I ask you why you want the changes from a 20 to a 23?

Mr. McCloud: I thought because of the professional requirements in terms of a license and the professional nature of it would lend itself to an increase in salary.

Mr. Stake: Thank you. Any other questions or comments from members of Finance Committee or members of Council? And you are requesting an emergency on this as well.

Mr. McCloud: Yes, Chairman Stake.

Mr. Stake: Okay, any problems with that from any Council members? Okay then, I will make a motion that we send this on to Council with a recommendation for adoption as an emergency. Do

I have a second. Second by Councilman Clemens. Any further discussion? All in favor - please state "Aye". (All voted "Aye".) Opposed? (No response) Motion passes.

Item #11 is an Ordinance to make the final appropriation for current expenses and other expenditures of the City of Reynoldsburg, State of Ohio, during the fiscal year ending December 31, 2008 and declaring an emergency - - this was read for the second time on 3/10/08 and this is the Mayor's final budget. Mayor - do you have anything you want to add tonight on this?

Mr. McCloud: I don't have anything about any specific provisions, I just want to say thank you to City Council for working with me on this and a special thank you to City Auditor Richard Harris who spent a lot of time on this. This has been a pleasant, if I can use that word, experience dealing with the Budget and I thank you all for your time and your input and your patience.

Mr. Stake: Okay. Are there any questions or comments for the Mayor from members of the Finance Committee or members of Council? We did receive an updated budget over the weekend with some changes on the 2nd page of your materials that was in there; also I got some information on why the Worker's Compensation rates have gone up and I appreciate that. Thank you. I see that we still are a Budget request, your Budget request is still under estimated revenue - which is very good. Any other questions or comments? Councilman Clemens?

Mr. Clemens: I still have a problem.....it has to do with the section on insurance and under Council members and if you look at the insurance under Council members and I look at mine and I don't understand the cost of it compared to the cost of everybody else. I just didn't figure that one out yet if you look down at...

Mr. Stake: Is that something you'd like to get with the Auditor on?

Mr. Clemens: Yes, I'd like Dick to take a look at it eventually. See what I mean Dick. I just never figured it out.

Mr. Harris: Okay.....we'll get together on them.

Mr. Clemens: Okay.

Mr. Stake: Are there any other questions or comments. Hearing none then, I'll make a motion that we send this item forward with a recommendation for adoption as an emergency. Do I have a second. Second by Councilman Joseph. Any further discussion? All in favor, please state "Aye". (All voted "Aye".) Opposed? (No response) Motion passes.

And I'm just going to briefly talk about Item #12 - I'm not going to read the whole title. Item number 12 is AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF NOT TO EXCEED \$13,415,000 AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT...this is for Wesley Ridge, discussed in the public hearing earlier - - - it was read for the second time 3-10-08. Are there any questions or comments from members of the Finance Committee in regards to this item? Okay. I believe that there is an emergency requested on this....correct Mr. Harris?

Mr. Harris: Yes.

Mr. Stake: Okay, any problems with that? Anybody? Hearing none, then I'll make a motion that we send this item on to Council with recommendation for adoption as an emergency. Do I have a second. Second by Councilwoman Newman. Any further discussion? All in favor please state "Aye". (All voted "Aye".) Opposed? (No response) Motion passes.

And I'll adjourn the Finance Committee at 8:43.

Finance Committee

- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

March 17, 2008

(Transcribed/S. Cochran, Ass't. Clerk of Council)