

MINUTES COUNCIL MEETING  
REYNOLDSBURG CITY COUNCIL  
February 24, 2014

President of Council Doug Joseph called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m.

Members of Council present: Mel Clemens, Chris Long, Daniel J. Skinner, Esq., Scott A. Barrett, Leslie Kelly, Cornelius McGrady, III.

Approval of minutes of regular Council meeting held February 10, 2014.

Approval of Agenda

Community Comments and Requests:

Bruce Sowell, 6345 Rygate Drive: I'm a long time resident of the city. I've seen a lot of you Councilmembers come and go, a lot of changes in the city. First thing before I get started, I'd like to thank Council for taking the time to address the economic issues that are in our city. We have a major task in front of us especially when it deals with the infrastructure, crime and quality of life issues. With that being said, I attend these Council meetings quite regularly. I believe you've probably seen me, a lot of you do know me. You also know me from my days on the road working these streets of Reynoldsburg as a code enforcement officer, me and Mel go back a way. What I came down to speak to Council about tonight, I've read with interest and I was out of town that week, we seem to have gotten back to the issues dealing with pit bulls being allowed in the city. I'm a dog lover, always been a dog lover. The neighborhood to which I moved from, I'm very familiar with pit bulls and they were used for 2 things, one would be to guard drug stashes and the other was dog fighting. I moved out here to get away from that, to enjoy the quality of life that I currently have with my neighbors. I am very concerned that we have a law that has been in place from previous council in this town starting in the late 80s which addressed this problem I think has done a pretty fair job. The neighborhood in which I live in is made up of senior citizens, children, in the neighborhood where we can walk down the street in peace. We're working on an issue right now, that they were trying to get an issue, and I don't blame them, they can come and talk what they want to, but I live here and some of my other neighbors here also live here. We're talking maybe 5 or 10 people vs. 33,000 others. This has been going on since July, it's a waste of time, though I'll defend their right to say what they got to say, and I'll do that gladly, but we've got to look at the whole community in general. I can say this is a totally economic issue and safety issue. Economic meaning that because of recent budget cuts, we've had to let go our animal control officer which would have been at least one of the checks. And that we also have the other issue dealing with safety. I've been aware there's 2 major incidents we had just last week and my concern is the kids that live next door to me. It's also an economic issue whether my insurance rates going to go up because 10 people want to have pit bulls in the neighborhood. I'm very concerned about this and I think there should be an opposing voice on any committee that's put there and then we should deal with this issue and get it over with and not waste any more of the city's time. If the tactics that they have adopted, deals with first coming in here to ask, then they bully and then they stuff the whole City Council with

TV cameras and stuff like this is supposed to move anybody. That is not the way to address an issue. The issue is that and we have some common ground, but just because Powell changed, Newark changed, Bexley hasn't changed and anybody that has a problem with that, if a guy said he doesn't want to move out here then he call Three Men and a Truck. Go over there where they're at. But I moved to get away from that. I love my neighbors and I defend their right for them to live in a peaceful neighborhood like such as myself. Whatever outcome that it has, wherever they get a committee or everything, the people should be heard. The opposing people should be heard and they'll be heard loud and clear. Like I said, I'm pretty glad that the Council has decided to do due diligence to look at it, investigate it and everything, but it doesn't work for us. What works for Powell, what works for other communities doesn't work for us. I come to these Council meetings because I'm interested in my community. These folks just showed up since July. Now if they were seriously interested in their community and stuff like that, then they need to be working out how we can raise additional funds to get these checks and balances in where we could feel safe in our community. But until that happens, my answer and my though process will be no and I'm not the only one. Thank you for your time.

Mr. Joseph: Any questions for Mr. Sowell? Thank you. Next is Sandy Westerwiller.

Ms. Westerwiller Tanya Terrace: This is my second time coming before you and I won't speak as eloquently as he did. He made a lot of good points, so I'm going to just read to you what I have and hope it makes sense to all of you. This is to my City Council President, Councilmember and any and all parties in this room whether for or against this ban. Fact: The pit bull is a known source of violence and tragedy. Fact: Even with modifications to bans, there are regular new rules not being followed by citizens, allowing occurrences all over our county-hence continued mauling and killings. Fact: This city does not have the resources be it animal control or enough officers to cover our regular issues, let alone adding another to the mix, often times a deadly issue. Fact: The ban isn't a topic of behavior of other breeds, it is an outright ban on pit bulls and if you want the behavior of other breeds to come into play, begin a separate topic addressing it. Fact: Removal of this ban does not guarantee a safer community. Fact: 15 minutes from where we sit is the largest pickup of unwanted and stray pit bulls in central Ohio. Let's keep pretending we don't have areas here that will potentially get worse because of any adjustment of this ban. Fact: All of your jobs up on this Council is to govern and protect your residents, before any other agendas you may or may not have. You may take offense to this and I don't mean this to be offensive, but this is my heart: If you as a collective group are not able or willing to put on your big boy pants as far as the safety of your community, perhaps you should relinquish this topic and take whatever steps are necessary to put it up to a city-wide votes. This does nothing to beautify our city, nothing to add finances to an already strained budget, and does not make our community safer than it is right now and I don't know why all this time is being committed to this when there are so many more issues that the city needs to address. Thank you very much for your time.

Mr. Joseph: Questions? Thank you.

Communications:

Mr. McGrady: At the last Truro Township Fire meeting trustees, which as you know I represent

Reynoldsborg, we had 4 Motions. 4 Motions were passed. The first motion was to re-instate Chief Fuller, the second was to place Chief F\*\*\*\* on paid leave, the third motion was to hire Steve Little as temporary Administrator, the fourth motion was to announce the vacancy for the Administrator. And just a final note, and I've said previously, I'll discuss anything that was discussed in open session at those meetings, but anything in Executive Session I will not disclose.

Mr. Joseph: for the record you were referring to West Licking not Truro.

Mr. McGrady: West Licking Fire District, yes.

Mr. Joseph: Any questions for Mr. McGrady? Thank you very much.

Reports:

Mr. Joseph: I have one report. We're going to do the bringing forward another list for an ad hoc committee that would review Reynoldsburg Code of Ordinances Chapter 505. Now, the title of this committee is as important as what's discussed. I think what we need to do is have a conversation on the entire vicious dog ordinances. Just listening to the comments tonight that we had during the community comment about not wanting to have, you know, quote vicious dog like a pit bull in my neighborhood, that's fine. But what about other quote vicious dogs. I think that we need to have a real conversation on the entire chapter to see what's working, what's not working and get expert testimony from individuals who actually work with quote vicious dogs on a daily or weekly basis and try to take the emotion out of the issue and look at the science of it. Now the recommendations that we have here tonight, a lot of the individuals on this list were on the original list, there are some new names. Working with Councilman Skinner, he's submitted this list that he thinks is one that we should work off of. I know that there was at least one individual that was on the original list, who was something of a flash point having represented pit bulls for Reynoldsburg. He's no longer on this list, in fact Mr. Clemens, you had indicated to me that you would have voted for the original list had he not been on there, so he's not on this list this time around. So I will distribute this list and I will read for the record the individuals and then we can have a conversation about where we go from here. First, and he was on the original list, Stephen J. Smith, who I would think would be a good Ad Hoc Committee Chairman, he's an attorney at law with Frost Brown Todd, LLC. Smith has extensive experience with breed specific issues, he has prosecuted canine cases as the Law Director of Grove City and as the Assistant Law Director of the City of Dublin. Additionally, he has taught local government law at Capital University Law School. Next person who was on the original list, Dr. Joel Melin, DVM, who is a Reynoldsburg veterinarian and founder of the Animal Care Hospital of Reynoldsburg. The third person Dr. Heath Joliff, is a former Nationwide Children's Hospital Emergency Physician with broad experience treating dog bite victims, again, he was on the original list, these are all people with extensive experience first-hand with quote vicious dogs. Next is Scott Mueller, Reynoldsburg area dog trainer professional and founder of the National K9 School for dog trainers and a member of the International Association of Canine Professionals. He's also written several books on canine behavior and training, he was on the original list. The new name is Joe Rock, Director of Franklin County Animal Control, Mark

McKenzie, a Reynoldsburg resident, he's a new person on the list. And Monica DeBrock, new person on the list, former Reynoldsburg City Council member. Again, as ex-officio members are Jed Hood, Reynoldsburg City Attorney and Jim O'Neill, Chief of Police, City of Reynoldsburg. I'd like to also note that 5 of these 9 individuals either work or live in the City of Reynoldsburg so they have in depth understanding of our city. Again, if we're looking to put a large number of people onto some type of committee, I think committees like that become basically dog and pony shows. The most effective committees are small committees and even a 9 member committee such as this is pretty large for the amount of time that we recommend they study the issue and make recommendations of whatever. And again, this is the type of list that I think is very adequate for the needs of looking at the entire ordinance of vicious dogs and how to deal with them in a modern society. Sure we've had an ordinance in effect for decades, and a lot of things change in decades, like science. We've learned things based on science and laws are changed based on those things. I'm not necessarily advocating we just simply go and repeal anything. That is what this list of people are going to be given the task to do. Using their training, their education, their experience, bring it to this table, look at all aspects of Chapter 505 and then make recommendations which at that point Council can choose to accept some, none, all, there's nothing binding about what this ad hoc committee does. It's not like some Boards that once their appointed they have broad authority like the Federal Reserve Board in Washington, they can, once those people are appointed they can do all kinds of things to our economy. This group of people have no power. The only thing they have is the ability to make suggestions to this Council and to the City of Reynoldsburg that based on their experience and education would improve our city. So that is basically the rationale behind this list, again most of the individuals were on the original list, it's expanded slightly. I open it up for questions.

Mrs. Kelly: Not including Jed and Jim, of the 7 that are voting members, who are the Reynoldsburg residents?

Mr. Joseph: Monica DeBrock, Mark McKenzie and as far as residents I'm not sure if Dr. Melin is a resident, I know he owns a business...

Audience: Yes

Mr. Joseph: Oh he is a resident, Ok, so those 3 are residents.

Mrs. Kelly: So we would have 3 of the 7, so you still have more non-residents on the committee than residents.

Mr. Joseph: We have a 9 member committee here. The only reason Mr. Hood and Chief O'Neill are not included is based on the advice of the City Attorney that they not be voting members. They can participate, in fact, I put a lot of faith in our City Attorney, our Chief of Police to be sitting at this table fully discussing the change of this ordinance and I'm willing to entrust in them, the ability to come and make recommendations and suggestions and letting this full body that's appointed know what works for Reynoldsburg. That's why I thought it was important that we include them, but again, whether their voting or non-voting members, that's really irrelevant. Council gets the final say, whatever they suggest, it's all going to be public

record, anybody can come and listen and participate and whether they're voting or non-voting it's not really relevant to what we're trying to accomplish and that is to have a dialogue and have suggestions made to Council which they can then decide whether to accept or reject.

Mrs. Kelly: Well, I have to disagree with you because I think if somebody is voting or non-voting, there is a very big distinction there between voting and non-voting. So, let me finish, for me, I wouldn't be able to support this as it is unless you have more residents in the voting that are able to vote vs. non-residents. While I do find that that experts testimony is extremely valuable, I think hearing the voice of the people in our community takes precedence for me over even hearing the experts. I think there should be more residents than there are non-residents looking at something that is going to impact the city.

Mr. Joseph: Even if it means that we have a committee that is less efficient, and perhaps doesn't even come up with the task they are given. Is that what you're telling me?

Mrs. Kelly: No, not at all, I have full faith in our community too and just like you said, expert testimony, you can bring in experts to give testimony, which often happens in a lot of different political arenas, bring them in to give testimony, then you allow the people to speak. I do believe we have people in the community that can very much handle the task at hand and I think we should give them an opportunity to have a voice.

Mr. Joseph: Well again, nothing is preventing people from coming, participating, speaking, being fully engaged in the dialogue. But what the point of this committee is, is to let the experts, I mean I wish every single person on here lived down the street from me. It would be wonderful, but that's not the way it is, and if we're truly serious about studying this issue, we should narrow focus it on the people that have the experience. Anybody can participate, but you get a committee too large, you're not going to have a very effective committee and they're not going to get the work done.

Mrs. Kelly: I agree with you. I wish we would have maybe have some of the people who are experts not necessarily on the committee but more came in and testified and had more of our residents on the committee and have the experts come in and give the testimony and do just like what you said, come in and participate, but maybe not be a voting member but a participant.

Mr. Joseph: The experts are going to be the ones that actually write the changes, ok? That's what's so valuable about having them on the actual ad hoc committee.

Mrs. Kelly: But could they come and give testimony and participate and have our City Attorney, who will be the one actually writing the Ordinance if there's a change? I'm assuming, am I wrong.

Mr. Joseph: That will not be as efficient or effective, that's my assessment. Obviously, we could take every single one of these people off this committee, we could say this is going to be a Reynoldsburg only deal, we could do that, and if you want to do it, you have the power. If there is a majority here that wants to do it, I think that's going to be a very ineffective committee to go

that route, but that is absolutely the majority's right to do.

Mrs. Kelly: Well I shared my opinion.

Mr. Clemens: I'd have to agree with Leslie. It was sent back to you for that reason, if I'm not mistaken that we wanted represented by citizens in Reynoldsburg. Really, I don't know these people and I don't really care to be honest with you, because I think I've made my point how I stand on it. I'm satisfied with the ordinance, I do not plan to change, but, and I think having a committee of this type is a waste of time to be honest with you. I think it should be residents of Reynoldsburg if we do it, if not, I think we should vote on it and either get the thing over with, I think we're leading people on that we shouldn't be doing really. We've listened to both sides since July and I think that we've listened to both sides long enough, I mean I don't think there is much more that can come. We've got probably over 200 letters from out of state, in state, very few in Reynoldsburg, that have stated everybody's point, you could read forever all the email we had in different letters. I would like to you know, and I'm going to be honest, I'm not going to be here for a few meetings, I wish we'd have settled it a long time ago, but I agree with Leslie, that's why it was defeated last time because of the people that was on the committee and to bring back and put the same ones back on again, to me doesn't seem to make much sense, but that up to Council, like you say, to vote on see how they feel and I'm sure everybody's got a different opinion.

Mr. Joseph: And I just have two quick comments on that. One, and this was quoted in the press and so I can only go by what I read, is that the view was that the original list of people are pro pit bull. I couldn't tell you if that's true because I've never asked anybody what their view on changing the ordinance was. The only thing I looked at was their expertise on dealing with vicious dogs. I never asked anyone where they stood and I still don't know. I honestly don't, I never asked, except for Mrs. DeBrock and Mr. \*\*\* that have made comments in this room...

Mr. Clemens: I don't think you were accused of that. Really, I think it was more of the Reynoldsburg residents.

Mr. Joseph: and again, if Council wants to take this and totally re-arrange the make-up that is the majority's absolute right. I think it's going to be a total waste of time, and if that is going to be the intent, I don't think you should even do it. That's my view because I think we're going to come up with an ad hoc committee that won't change anything anyway. That's truly probably, because we're going to take off the people that can actually add something to the discussion and if Council wants to go that route and go through this show trial of sorts, that is fine. I was asked to bring this forward back, I worked with Mr. Skinner, we tried to accommodate people on this Council with some of their concerns making some changes, removing somebody who was a flash point, adding some other people, leaving the core people who have the expertise who I have no idea where they stand on the issue, absolutely none. So, I will..

Mr. Long: I just have one question. You were submitted a list of 7 names, the majority of them were Reynoldsburg residents, Mr. Skinner wasn't even present for 8 months of discussions of what went on, I'm curious as to why your discussions were with him?

Mr. Joseph: He wanted to submit a list and I worked with him on that. I felt it was not worth submitting a list that was simply going to basically rubber stamp status quo, either we put a committee into place that's serious about studying and making recommendations, or don't do it at all. I mean that's really my view. Why, as it's been said, why waste any more time of anyone if we're simply going to put some type of committee together that's going to say, yeah we like it the way it is, spend 8 or 9 weeks of people's valuable time, our Clerk's time, she'll have to sit here take minutes, facilitate discussion. Our Clerk has a lot more valuable things to do than sit here and go through a show trial for an issue that's not going to be probably changed at all. But again, that is up to the majority and we can move forward on voting this down if you wish or whatever you want to do.

Mrs. Kelly: I just have a quick question. Mr. Long and I at the last way back when we first talked about this, we had offered up and submitted a list of 7 names. What about that list made you feel that it would be a rubber stamp committee.

Mr. Joseph: Well, for starters, we're talking about replacing the people that A. have the experience and we don't know where they stand on the issues and interjecting individuals that have no understanding or basis on the issue that most of them are in opposition of changing the ordinance, they've made public record in this room. Again, that is a show trial where we're putting people on here that have already decided and know they've decided. I don't know about these other individuals, I've never asked and no one has ever presented me any information or evidence that they are pro pit bull, or pro changing that aspect of the law. I've not seen it if someone has that information that would be wonderful.

Mrs. Kelly: Of the 7 on our list, Carrie Acosta, who was one of them, she presented opposition not based on the viciousness of the dog but on the cost of what it would cost possibly for enforcement. Monica was on our list. Ryan Brazinski, who is a fireman with the Columbus Fire Department, I have no idea where he stands pro or against. And then you had three residents, Richard Donovan, Jane Kline and Bruce Sowell and of those three I only recall Bruce coming and speaking in front of Council and putting on record that he was against that. So I'm not sure what make you think that that group was a rubber stamp.

Mr. Joseph: Well again that was only part of it. I'm interested in hearing the experts make recommendations, not individuals that don't have the education. I still remember when this was first been talked about. In fact, Councilwoman Kelly, you were the person that said how about an ad hoc committee and you said we need to have experts on this committee that can make these suggestions. That is what we have in front of us right now.

Mrs. Kelly: I said we need to have experts participating and...

Mr. Joseph: That's not what was discussed, it was on the committee.

Mrs. Kelly: Well they can be on the committee, but you need to have.. I firmly believe, your residents should be the largest voice on a committee..

Mr. Joseph: and they can participate fully from right here just like anyone else can.

Mrs. Kelly: so can your experts Doug.

Mr. Joseph: No that's unlikely that that would be the case. They're going to participate because they are on the committee.

Mrs. Kelly: Right, your experts are going to participate because they're on the committee, your residents are going to participate because this is where they live.

Mr. Joseph: As I said before, Councilwoman Kelly, this Council can do anything it wants to, but if the idea is to actually do something with experts, this is the list. But if you want to put a show trial on, and that's your right, please take anyone you want off this list and put your own list together, I'm more than happy to entertain that.

Mrs. Kelly: Doug, I take offense to you suggesting that these people would make a show trial, maybe I have more faith in our residents than you do.

Mr. Joseph: then please make a recommendation if you'd rather see a different list, because I tried to accommodate everybody's concerns, we've removed the person on the committee that was the issue. He's gone. We put more people on that are residents. 7, 5 of the 9 people on this entire list work or live in the City of Reynoldsburg, this list accomplishes everything that the Council wanted, but if you'd rather go with a different list, I'd be more than happy to entertain that, absolutely.

Mr. Clemens: Let me say, I personally think, I wasn't for the ad hoc committee which you know. We were elected and we live in Reynoldsburg, we were elected to make decisions for this City of Reynoldsburg and I feel that that's why I was put here, not an ad hoc committee to make decision on a situation such as this that involves the residents. We are the residents and we do make decisions for the residents and I think we should make the decision ourselves, period. Now, we may not be as you want to say, know all of the things that all of these experts are supposed to know, but I don't know any of them anyway and they don't live in Reynoldsburg so that doesn't bother me a bit. I think that's what we're here for, is to make the decision on how we feel and how we feel about our residents have communicated with us on how they feel. I am satisfied with the ordinance the way it stands, it has been no problem. You know that, I've said that, I think everybody should stand up, and this is what they feel and I don't think we should prolong it over and over and over and over, since July by listening to people saying the same thing over and over and you know that and I know that sit here and just like Bruce, I respect Bruce, I've heard him say that many times. I've heard other people say the same thing on both sides, many times. Are we going to continue that with the ad hoc committee, come back and then sit here night over night over night listen to people stand up and talk for or against this situation and then we have to vote. It won't make any difference what the ad hoc committee said, because we're going to have both sides down here standing up for ours saying the same thing over and over and over again. So we're going to have to make a decision that's final to start with. The object is,

we've listened to it, I think we all know how we stand, I feel we should vote on the issue and be fair to the people and if they don't agree with how we vote, they can take us to court, or take us on the ballot. That's how I feel about it. As far as these people are pro that you point are probably well qualified, don't get me wrong, I'm not saying they aren't. but I think it's a subject that should be settled in Reynoldsburg by elected officials and get it over with and like I say if \*\*\*don't agree with how we feel, they do have, they can take us to court or can go with the ballot, give em time to do it. That's how I feel.

Mr. Joseph: I sense that regardless of whether we want an ad hoc committee or we don't want an ad hoc committee, this list does not have support, so my recommendation is, if somebody wants an ad hoc committee, submit your own list and we will vote on it. This is the recommendation that Councilman Skinner and I worked on, I think it's an improved recommendation over the one we did last November, but is this Council wants to do something different, please submit something.

Mr. Skinner: Clearly, this is an emotional topic for the community and I think it's good that we are addressing because we don't want this to continue into next July and so forth. I think it's, there's a lot of good names on here but I was going to ask Mrs. Kelly, if we were going to add Mr. Brazinski and Ms. Acosta, that would give us a majority of Reynoldsburg residents. Would you feel more comfortable if we kept the same list and just added a couple more?

Mrs. Kelly: And I would also like to add Bruce.

Mr. Skinner: Yeah.

Mrs. Kelly: I think if we added those three I would be comfortable with it.

Mr. Joseph: And again, what I said, we can add all kinds of people, it doesn't become an effective committee at that point, it just doesn't. It just doesn't, but if Council wants to do that and move forward with a discussion that probably won't yield anything because of the make-up, that is Council's right and I will entertain a motion to do that or we can just vote this down, I'll open it up.

Mrs. Kelly: I would like to move to add Ryan Brazinski, Carrie Acosta and Bruce Sowell to the ad hoc committee.

Mr. Joseph: First we have to have a motion to even have this on the table, at this point there's been no motion so hold that thought. Is there a motion to accept this ad hoc committee report.

Mr. Long: so moved.

Mr. Joseph: ok is there a second.

Mr. Skinner: Second.

Mr. Joseph: Ok, we have a motion, we have a second. Now, you wish to amend.

Mrs. Kelly: I wish to amend to add Bruce Sowell, Carrie Acosta and Ryan Brazinski to the ad hoc committee.

Mr. Skinner: Second.

Mr. Joseph: Ok, any further discussion. Ok. Would the clerk please call the roll beginning with Councilman Clemens.

Clerk called the roll, votes as follows: Mel Clemens “No”, Chris Long “Aye” Dan Skinner “Aye”, Scott Barrett “Aye”, Leslie Kelly “Aye”, Cornelius McGrady, III. “No”, 4 affirmative votes, 2 negative votes. Motion carries.

Mr. Joseph: 4 affirmative votes, 2 negative votes this committee has been approved and..

Mr. Hood: President Joseph, if you would just clarify their purpose and the time they have to report back to City Council.

Mr. Joseph: That is what I was about to discuss next..

Mr. Hood: Sorry to interrupt, just wanted to make sure.

Mr. Joseph: not a problem, just wanted to make sure we have it covered. The target start date for this ad hoc committee will be March 5, Wednesday. And they are charged with completing their work May 7<sup>th</sup>, which is 9 weeks later and to present on the 12<sup>th</sup> of May at Regular Council Meeting, whatever report and recommendations they have for Council. So that will be the start and end date and when we will have our report. And if the Clerk could please contact everyone including the new individuals on the list with the new information about when it starts, when it's going to be completed. I will be at the first meeting to outline their mission. Thank you.

Motion (s)

10a. That the Council of the City of Reynoldsburg, pursuant to the Investment Policy of the City of Reynoldsburg, does hereby approve the Treasury Investment Board's recent selection of D. A. Davidson & Company as an approved security broker/dealer.  
- - -Cotner. Finance.

Motion for adoption by Mr. Long. Second by Mr. Clemens.

Clerk called the roll, votes as follows: Mel Clemens “Aye”, Chris Long “Aye” Dan Skinner “Aye”, Scott Barrett “Aye”, Leslie Kelly “Aye”, Cornelius McGrady, III. “Aye”, Motion carries.

\*\*\*\*\*

LEGISLATION REQUIRING ONE READING

ORDINANCE TO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH EMH&T FOR ENGINEERING SERVICES, 2014 & 2015 AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.--- Cotner. Finance.

Motion to recommend for adoption as an emergency by Mr. Long. Second by Mr. Skinner.

Clerk called the roll, votes as follows: Mel Clemens "Aye", Chris Long "Aye" Dan Skinner "Aye", Scott Barrett "Aye", Leslie Kelly "Aye", Cornelius McGrady, III. "Aye", 6 affirmative votes, 0 negative votes, Ordinance 07-14.

LEGISLATION FOR FIRST READING

ORDINANCE TO MAKE A FINAL APPROPRIATION FOR CURRENT EXPENSES AND OTHER EXPENDITURES OF THE CITY OF REYNOLDSBURG, STATE OF OHIO DURING THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.---Cotner. Finance.

Mr. Long: This item comes from the Finance Committee, I would like for it to stand for its first reading and be referred back to Committee.

Mr. Joseph: This item will stand for its first reading and return to the Finance Committee.

Meeting adjourned at 8:08 p.m.

---

Chris Long, President Pro Tempore

---

April L. Beggerow, Clerk of Council