

SAFETY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

January 22, 2008

Tuesday

Members of Safety Committee present: Doug Joseph, Ron Stake, Leslie Kelly. Councilman Fred Deskins was absent.

Other members of Council present: Mel Clemens, Antoinette Newman, Council President William L. Hills. Councilwoman Donna Shirey was absent.

The meeting was called to order at 7:33 p.m.

Mr. Joseph: The second thing on the agenda tonight is approval of the agenda. Are there any additions, deletions, or changes to the agenda tonight? (No response). Seeing none, the agenda will stand approved.

Item 3 is approval of the minutes of the January 8, 2008 Safety Committee meeting. Are there any additions, deletions, or changes to the minutes for the January 8 committee meeting? (No response). Seeing none, those minutes stand approved.

Item 4 is Discussion: Liquor Permit Request - new - 7820 Reynoldsburg Sunoco, Inc. dba Sunoco, 7820 E. Main St., Reynoldsburg, OH 43068. Yes, Chief.

Chief Suci: Yes, Chairman Joseph. This is a new application, but as I stated in my e-mail to you, this is in regards to the fact that the owners who had applied for a transfer back in October that Council approved, have found that there are back taxes owed on that permit. So they're asking for a new permit. So we find no reason to deny, or have any problems with the application of this new permit, and Council can do what they please with regard to that and I'll stand for any questions.

Mr. Joseph: Okay. Does this happen very often that we have to redo it like this with a new one?

Chief Suci: Not normally. I think that the owner didn't do his homework prior to receiving the transfer and then found out that there was a problem with the permit itself. So they're asking for a new one.

Mr. Joseph: Okay. Any questions of the Chief from members of the committee? (No response). Council? (No response). Okay. Thank you very much. We'll return this to the Clerk for no action.

Item 5, Discussion: Authorization for purchase of cruisers, and additional appropriation.

Chief Suci: Yes, Chairman Joseph. This is our request for replacement of four cruisers that will each have in excess of 100,000 miles on them; the standard replacement of the cruisers that we do every year. The additional appropriation, I did have discussion with Mr. Harris and Mayor McCloud prior to proceeding with this legislation and one of the changes, or reasons for the additional appropriation, we did include all costs with regard to the cruisers themselves including the computers which were taken out of another account in the past, and light bars, and what have you in with this appropriation. So that is the reason behind the additional appropriation request.

Like I say, this is pretty much how we, we haven't done it quite this way in the past. We've taken those out of other lines in the past. This gives you the total picture, which I think is probably the appropriate way to proceed with the legislation regarding the purchasing of these replacement marked cruisers.

Mr. Joseph: Okay. On the trade-ins, how do you determine that the value, the cash value in each of these vehicles? Because I notice there's one for 2002, three for 2004, and the range is between 1,000, and one's for 1600, and two for 1800. How do you come up with those dollars?

Chief Suci: The State bid deal that we do with Statewide Ford comes down and inspects the cruisers and then they give us what they're willing to give us on the trade-ins; who we're dealing with with regard to the vehicles themselves.

Mr. Joseph: Okay. We typically trade in roughly this number every year?

Chief Suci: We try to. Like I say, we need to do four each year to, we have sixteen marked cars in our fleet. That rotates our fleet every four years and we try to get them out when they have a little over 100,000 miles and at least four years of service. Of course, some of these have a little bit more than that and have been in the fleet a little bit longer than the four years of course.

Mr. Joseph: And new vehicles will be coming in with the black and white?

Chief Suci: That's correct.

Mr. Joseph: That's the standard now?

Chief Suci: That will be the standard. Yes, sir.

Mr. Joseph: Okay. Alright. Any questions of the Chief from members of the committee?

Mrs. Kelly: Chief, why a Tahoe?

Chief Suci: Myself and Lieutenant McKinley sat down, and one of the things we were looking at is, this is going to be a supervisory vehicle driven by the supervisor so we can put all the equipment in the back of this one vehicle so it can be out on the road at all times. And also, quite honestly, we live in Ohio, we have no marked four-wheel drive vehicle. Not that we ever really need that here recently, but it would be nice to have that capability should we ever need it. Like I say, we haven't needed it recently, but it would be the appropriate thing, and I believe a prudent thing to have. At least the availability of a four-wheel drive, marked car that we can utilize at some point in time, which we do not have right now.

Mrs. Kelly: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Joseph: Other questions? Mrs. Newman, did you have one?

Mrs. Newman: I just, I'm curious, this tire pressure monitor for \$556. What is that?

Chief Suci: That was a request by our Street Mechanic. I guess it's something that allows him to, it allows some kind of a signal inside the car itself when the tire pressure is getting low so that there's a signal given inside the cab, or inside the vehicle itself that indicates that one of the tires are getting low. It would be very critical in the case of a high speed pursuit or something like that.

Mrs. Newman: You're just going to have one of those?

Chief Suci: No. It'll be throughout all the vehicles. The way I understand it, it's something that you pay for when you set this up and it's for all the vehicles.

Mrs. Newman: It can be used for all of them?

Chief Suci: That's correct.

Mrs. Newman: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Joseph: Yes, Mr. Clemens.

Mr. Clemens: I think you made a mis-statement there. Our policy is to exchange three cruisers a year, not four. This would make four.

Chief Suci: We did four last year and now we're trying to do four again this year. Again like I said, the sixteen cruisers, trying to rotate four of them a year, so that they're rotated out every four years. We average about 25 to 30,000 miles on each of the cruisers a year, which gets us right around that 100,000 mark.

Mr. Clemens: As you trade them in and so forth, do you take the computers out of them?

Chief Suci: We have in the past. These are actually, these computers are three years old that we're trading out. So these would be replacement. Not reused.

Mr. Clemens: But we're not going to, aren't we going to take the computers out of them? What I'm trying to say is, you have light bars on here too and so forth. We don't take the light bars off?

Chief Suci: We have in the past. The light bars that we now have, that we're using, are reaching about seven years old. They're pretty much at their life expectancy with regard to light bars, and so this purchase on these cruisers - we have asked to replace the light bars. Yes.

Mr. Clemens: I have no problem in replacing the cruisers, because we've done this in the past. I'm not crazy about the Tahoe, but this is only the third week and you're asking for money out of the General Fund. It would be my thought, if you intend to spend this kind of money at the first of the year, that you'd take it out of the funds that you have; you move them around such as overtime and things like that. We've always, when it comes towards the end of the year, if a department is

low on certain items and they come to us, we've always given it to them, they can show the reason why they've spent the money or why they've used it. I personally don't like dipping into the General Fund three weeks after the start of the year. I'd rather see you take it out of another one of your funds. Then if you need the money later in the year, come to us. We've never turned anybody down. We've never turned the Police Department down on additional funds. I'd rather see it be worked out that way really. The \$26,030. That's just my feeling. Don't get me wrong. I would say this to any department really.

Chief Suci: Sure. I guess my only response to that, you know we have saved a substantial amount of overtime in the past couple of years.

Mr. Clemens: That's correct. And that way if you don't use it, that's the same way, if you don't use it you don't have to get any more. If you do use it, then you come back and we....

Chief Suci: That's not a question I guess I can answer. I guess I'm not really....

Mr. Clemens: That's my only problem. I just hate to see it three weeks into the year, adding on an additional, it doesn't sound like much really. But still, it's dipping into the General Fund. I just don't want to see departments start doing that really.

Mr. Joseph: Yes, Mr. Stake.

Mr. Stake: Thank you, Chairman Joseph. Maybe the Auditor can answer this question. When will we need the \$26,030?

Mr. Harris: You will need the \$26,000 whenever the liability's created. So when they want to open up the purchase order, at the time the purchase order is opened up, the money will have to be appropriated at that point in time. So I don't know when their plan is to do it. I would imagine as soon as they order, when you order the cars, you're going to order the whole thing?

Chief Suci: Right. The build out date on these vehicles is March. So that's why we're asking for them to be approved as an emergency at the second reading so we can get our order in, because the build out date's March.

Mr. Stake: Is that when you'd have to open up a purchase order?

Mr. Harris: Yes.

Mr. Stake: Okay. And the other question I have, I guess since the Mayor's going to be doing a final budget, couldn't the additional \$26,030 be handled in that final budget?

Mr. Harris: It could be if, here again, if the budget's going to be done and presented to Council before they turn the purchase order in, then it could be handled there. If it's going to have to be ordered prior to him having the budget ready, then the money's got to be appropriated prior to the purchase order being issued, because I can't sign the purchase order for \$110,000 if it's only got 90 in there.

Mr. Stake: Okay. If we did a transfer, or if the Chief transferred some money, there'd be no appropriation needed.

Mr. Harris: Sure.

Mr. Stake: And then that could be reflected in the final budget?

Mr. Harris: Yes.

Mr. Stake: That would be my suggestion.

Mr. Harris: That's fine.

Mrs. Kelly: I have another question.

Mr. Joseph: Yes, Mrs. Kelly.

Mrs. Kelly: Okay, Chief, I just have one quick question. Looking at the outfitting, I'm not exactly sure what that is, but that's not my question.

Chief Suci: That's putting all the stuff in the cruisers. The consoles, the light bars, the radios.

Mrs. Kelly: Okay. Looking at the estimate from Kevin Weakley, it says install the radio emergency equipment to the Tahoe for 975, but on here, it says in-house. It looks like the total for the Crown Vics and the Tahoe, 2900, but on here it has, the estimate, it has a total of \$3,825. Do you know the difference?

Chief Suci: I know part of what we were going to do with regard to some of these, the in-house stuff would be stuff that would be done at the Street Garage. If that answers your question.

Mrs. Kelly: Okay. Well, I was just curious, because what I would hate to have happen is, we have, this is one total, and then there's an estimate in here that's almost \$1,000 more than that total. So I didn't know if maybe he's decided he was going to give us a break on that or what. But on here, it looks like he's not charging, or it's being done in-house, the outfitting. But on the estimate it has him charging 975 as an estimate for the outfitting for the Tahoe.

Mr. Hills: For the installation of the radios.

Mrs. Kelly: Yes.

Mr. Hills: Right. It's 3825 for four vehicles.

Mrs. Kelly: Right. I guess I'm looking at the cruiser equipment, radios, and outfitting costs for him. Where it says Kevin Weakley.

Mr. Hills: Some of the support data, they conflict with each other is what you're saying?

Mrs. Kelly: Yes. Yes.

Mr. Hills: I'm not quite as nice.

Chief Suci: I'd have to check on that and get back with you. I'm not sure myself.

Mrs. Kelly: Okay. That would be great...

Chief Suci: I see where it says in-house, and I guess I was assuming that that was part of what Keith Kundtz was going to do down at the garage, but I'm not sure why the numbers are different.

Mrs. Kelly: ..okay, that would be super. Thank you.

Mr. Joseph: Yes, President Hills.

Mr. Hills: A couple of things. We at one time had a four by four vehicle. Is that no longer in the fleet?

Chief Suci: No, it's not.

Mr. Hills: Was it traded in recently?

Chief Suci: No. It's actually in my unmarked fleet. It's not in the marked fleet.

Mr. Hills: So we've had a four by four in the marked fleet which is no longer there?

Chief Suci: It was used as a, like a DARE car for a while.

Mr. Hills: When it originally was used, as the Chief's car.

Chief Suci: I didn't know that.

Mr. Hills: And a car like you're talking about, I guess they got tired of driving that and put it somewhere else.

Chief Suci: Like I say, it's in the unmarked fleet right now.

Mr. Hills: The conversations I've had recently, I thought the Tahoe was pulled out of this. I thought it was pulled out of this package. You were going to do three vehicles.

Chief Suci: Well, my plan would be....

Mr. Hills: Was there another discussion to pull the Tahoe out of this?

Chief Suci: We can do that.

Mr. Hills: I didn't say 'we'. Was there not a discussion earlier, and we were going to pull this out. That's what I was told. If somebody can just tell me that's not right. I mean, I only know what I was told.

Chief Suci: I was, I submitted the legislation. I was.....

Mr. Hills: We can go, Chief, you submitted the legislation. It's my understanding, since we received this on January 10, there was some discussions which was indicated to me, the four wheel drive vehicle's not going to be purchased. It's only going to be the three cruisers. And I was told that. Now maybe it was misunderstood but all of a sudden we start talking about the Tahoe when I was under the impression, in fact, I called Mrs. Frazier this morning and said gee, I didn't see a clean piece of legislation in the packet. Are they going forward? And she didn't know. She only knew what I had indicated to her from the conversation I had.

Chief Suci: I know I had conversations with Chairman Joseph and Mr. Stake, and I was not told to pull the fourth vehicle. No.

Mr. Hills: Well maybe we better, I guess we all better figure out who's telling us what. Because I was not told that. I was told it was coming out.

Chief Suci: Okay. I mean, and if that's the case, I mean, I understand but that's not what I got from _____.

Mr. Hills: I don't know where the misunderstanding is or not. The Mayor told me it was going to be pulled. And I see it's not. So I thought we'd see a clean piece of legislation, which we don't. Chief Suci: And if that's Council's pleasure to pull the vehicle, I'll pull the vehicle.

Mr. Hills: Chief, that's not what I said. It's my understanding from the Mayor that this was going to be pulled out of the legislation. And I don't know whether you all had a discussion on that or not. And obviously, I guess since two other people have been talked into this not coming out, you went ahead and presented it. So I'll sit and watch where it goes. I think it's a very poor idea at the position we're in to even consider doing it. You've had a four by four. You've taken it out of the fleet and have it somewhere else now, now we want another one and we're going to call it a conveying vehicle. I need to see what we're commanding. I'm just bringing up some points that, if we're talking about number one, the interim budget that came forward was not that we approved as Council to go forward, that's been modified. Your numbers are higher this year in the interim budget than what they were in '07. Now part of that is because of this. But I know the Mayor's going to have to deal with that as he does his budget. But it's almost like, I look at this and I think well gee, we'd like to have this and we're going to go ahead, although people understand we pass an interim budget because we do have some cash concerns, and use concerns, and we have a new administration that certainly will have the opportunity to look at this, what is the best way to adjust it? And I apologize to the Chair, and I apologize to Mr. Stake. I think I

had mentioned to Mr. Stake that I understand it was going to be pulled. I tried to talk, couldn't reach Doug today, I missed you; I didn't get an answer. But I'm just a little surprised that it's still there. So I'll just sit back and watch where things are going I guess.

Chief Suci: I understand what you're saying, President Hills. And like I say, if that's the direction we want to go, I understand that.

Mr. Hills: Don't get me wrong. I just was told that was the direction we were going.

Chief Suci: Okay.

Mr. Hills: And I'm always, when I hear these things, I always like to see a new piece of legislation because it avoids exactly what's going on tonight.

Chief Suci: I was not told to present a new piece of legislation. No.

Mr. Joseph: Again, what is the plan to use the four by four for again?

Chief Suci: It would be the supervisors. Right now, our supervisor drives a Crown Victoria. And the thought is that we would outfit this SUV so that the supervisor, and it would be driven on a daily basis just like they drive their Crown Victoria now, would drive it and all the equipment that we would carry would be in the back of this SUV. I really don't want to get into all the details, all the equipment that we carry in our cars, but it would be readily available out of the SUV rather than possibly having to go back to the police station to retrieve some of this equipment. So it'll be out there on the street. And again, also how they, you know, we live in Ohio, possibly we would need a four wheel drive at some point in time, we had that availability.

Mr. Joseph: What's the plan for the Crown Victoria? Is that going to be used for something else?

Chief Suci: That would be one of the four....

Mr. Joseph: That's one of the four trade-ins?

Chief Suci: Yes, like I say, we're trading out four and getting four vehicles. Yes.

Mr. Joseph: Okay. If we were to put a hold on the SUV, that would pretty much freeze us out this year of getting one if we decided we want to pursue it later in the year?

Chief Suci: That's not a, I really can't say. I know that there's certain deadlines for submitting contracts, and build out dates, and what have you. I won't, I never say never. You know, possibly we could still go back and get something later in the year. But I really can't answer that question.

Mr. Joseph: Okay. How about if we decide we wanted to pull the SUV, would you then not want to trade the Crown Victoria and keep that? Or do you have a backup plan for....

Chief Suci: I really would need to keep, I try to keep, I want to try and keep sixteen vehicles in the fleet.

Mr. Joseph: Okay.

Chief Suci: So I would not trade in....

Mr. Joseph: So under that scenario, you would only trade three?

Chief Suci: That's correct.

Mr. Joseph: Okay. Alright. Other questions? Mrs. Newman.

Mrs. Newman: I'd just like to comment, the fact that the Chief explained the reason they wanted the SUV was to carry the additional equipment and have it portable rather than keeping it in the station, right?

Chief Suci: That's part of the reason. Yes.

Mrs. Newman: The officers wouldn't have to come back to retrieve equipment to take into the field.

Chief Suci: It would be more readily accessible.

Mrs. Newman: So, that makes sense because it would allow for more efficient operation. That's just my thought on it.

Mr. Joseph: Go ahead, Mr. Stake.

Mr. Stake: Chairman Joseph, I did have a conversation with the Chief as he said, in regards to this, and I asked him the question, why the Tahoe? And he explained it to me and I thought it to be very reasonable to get a Tahoe instead of another Crown Victoria given that he'd have the ability to, the supervisor to have the ability to have more equipment in the vehicle out on the road.

Mr. Joseph: Okay. Yes, Mr. Clemens. Go ahead.

Mr. Clemens: As I said, I would go along with it if it comes out in your budget and no additional money out of the General Fund. If you can shift around your money and transfer it, I have no problem with it. That's what your money's there for. Additional money, I don't think you've showed the use for the additional money to be honest with you. You know, this is not the first year of our Police Department. We've had for many years, we've had many cruisers, many years, and all this. But if you feel it's a necessity, I have no problem with you acquiring an extra vehicle as long as you can bring it out of your budget. Transfer some funds around. Like I said, as far as your overtime, you've turned in a lot of it. Come the end of the year and you're out of it, I'd be the first one to grant you more money for overtime. That happens with every department

basically towards the end of the year. I'd rather see it handled that way than pull additional money out of the General Fund.

Chief Suci: I understand.

Mr. Joseph: I agree with Councilman Clemens on this. I think that his proposal's a reasonable one. Would you have a problem going that route of getting the equipment you want and the vehicles you want, but using the existing budget and moving some money around?

Chief Suci: Not at all.

Mr. Joseph: What's the feeling of the committee on that? Is that acceptable. Okay. Any other questions? Yes, Mr. Hills.

Mr. Hills: One other question. Your shift supervisors that carry this equipment now, where is it? In the Crown Vic that they drive?

Chief Suci: Yeah. Part of it's in the Crown Vic and part of it is stored at the station.

Mr. Hills: And so is that the portion that the Tahoe's going to allow to be hauled on each shift? Is what's at the station?

Chief Suci: That would be the plan. Yes. That those pieces of equipment could be more readily accessible in the supervisor's vehicle, rather than _____ of a Crown Victoria.

Mr. Hills: And these pieces of equipment aren't in the supervisor's vehicle now?

Chief Suci: Some are and some aren't.

Mr. Hills: Mr. Chairman, not using new dollars out of the General Fund is one thing. I certainly, and my issue will have to be addressed when I have some discussions with the Mayor and the Chief as to why I was told one thing and another thing is happening.

Mr. Joseph: Okay. Other questions?

Mrs. Kelly: I just have a request, Chief. And if you could just provide us _____, great. A little bit more specifics. And I understand you can't be completely specific as to what you place in the cars. But, because my, a little bit of my confusion is for it being, the equipment being readily available. Kind of how, the specifics on what, how you're going to have it readily available. The supervisor's car, do they drive around when they have, much like you see your policemen patrolling, does the supervisor patrol much in the same way? And I guess my question for readily available, is if I'm on one side of Reynoldsburg and they're on the other side of Reynoldsburg, if the supervisor's over here and another policeman's over here, and the equipment's all in the supervisor's car and not at the police station.

Chief Suci: I understand.

Mrs. Kelly: Do you understand what I'm saying?

Chief Suci: And actually, we actually have two supervisors' vehicles that are out and they do patrol when they're not on station doing work. But the Sergeants work the street with the officers and they take as much of that equipment with them out into the street as they can. Yes. So they're out there.

Mr. Hills: I guess that would raise a question if I could. Since you have two supervisors, is next year the second Tahoe?

Chief Suci: That would be correct.

Mr. Joseph: And it would be equipped exactly the same way?

Chief Suci: Yes, sir.

Mr. Hills: We build on a lot of things in the Police Department, don't we? We get something one year and then we double it, and we triple it, we get parts, and then we can't get it all without spending more. Again, I'll address my issues with you and the Mayor at another time.

Chief Suci: I understand.

Mr. Joseph: Any other questions, comments? (No response). It says here that we can go to second reading on this, correct?

Chief Suci: Yes, sir. As long as I have Council's approval prior to, I believe it's the end of February, so I could get my order in. The build out time's in March.

Mr. Hills: Mr. Joseph. I suggest that you bring in, that he bring in a clean piece of legislation that's going to be saying what he's doing versus what we have here.

Mr. Joseph: Yes. If you could do that Chief, saying that we're simply transferring funds rather than a new appropriation.

Chief Suci: Sure.

Mr. Hills: And possibly, he could answer Mrs. Kelly's questions as to the confusion on double billing on parts of it.

Mr. Joseph: Yes. If you could do that, that would be great. Alright. Unless there's additional questions, I'll go ahead and make a motion to move this on to Council for a first reading. Is there a second? Second by Mr. Stake. All in favor, please say aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Motion carries. Thank you.

And that was the last item for Safety Committee tonight. So I will close the committee at 7:58.

Safety Committee

January 22, 2008

- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council

(Transcribed - Susie Lyday)

SERVICE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

January 22, 2008

Tuesday

Members of Service Committee present: Mel Clemens, Leslie Kelly. Councilwoman Donna Shirey and Councilman Fred Deskins were absent.

Other members of Council present: Doug Joseph, Antoinette Newman, Ron Stake, Council President William L. Hills.

Mr. Clemens: I'd like to call the Service Committee meeting to order at 7:59, and tonight we'll meet as a Committee of the Whole.

The first item on the agenda is approval of the agenda. Are there any additions or deletions to the agenda? (No response). If not, it'll stand approved.

The next item is approval of minutes of Service Committee Meeting held January 8, 2008. Do I have any additions or deletions to the minutes? (No response). If not, they'll stand approved.

Item number 4 is the ORDINANCE ACCEPTING UNRECORDED DEED OF EASEMENT (sanitary sewer easement) (.0142 acre) (ABEBA GOLLA). Second reading 1-14-08. Are there any questions pertaining to this easement? (No response). If not, I'd like to make a motion to send it to Council for its third reading for adoption. Do I have a second? Second by Mrs. Kelly. All in favor, say aye. (All voted "Aye", except for Mr. Stake, who voted "Abstain"). Opposed? (No response). Thank you.

The next item on the agenda is ORDINANCE ACCEPTING UNRECORDED DEED OF EASEMENT (sanitary sewer easement) (.2344 acre) DARIN BOSTER. Second reading 1-14-08. Are there any questions pertaining to this item? (No response). If not, I'd like to send this to Council for its third reading. Do I have a second? Second by Mr. Joseph. All in favor,

say aye. (All voted “Aye”, except Mr. Stake, who voted “Abstain”).

Mr. Stake: I’m abstaining from these two.

Mr. Clemens: Okay. Thank you. You threw me off there.

Mr. Stake: Sorry about that. I didn’t know I was going to be on your committee tonight.

Mr. Clemens: Okay. Did I hear you vote no on the last one?

Mr. Stake: No. I abstained on both of them.

Mr. Clemens: I heard something come in from the background and I didn’t know what it was.

Mr. Stake: My property’s affected on one and it’s adjacent property on the other.

Mr. Clemens: I should have recognized it. I wasn’t sure I heard something.

Mr. Hills: Mel, can I, because some people may be a little confused. When you hear us say Committee of the Whole, there are four members that make up the Service Committee, two of which are not here tonight. Therefore, you don’t have the majority of the committee here. When that happens, the Chair of the committee will call, or someone on the committee, will call it to order and all members of Council have the ability to discuss it. And that’s why you’re hearing different people and why their comments were made. Sorry Mel. I just wanted to kind of clarify it.

Mr. Clemens: That’s fine. I have to apologize to Mr. Stake because I didn’t hear it the last time. I thought I heard something.

The next item, ORDINANCE APPROVING AMENDED FINAL PLAT OF OAK VALLEY SUBDIVISION SECTION 1 entitled “Replat Of Easements Of Oak Valley Subdivision Section One” AND REPEALING ORDINANCE 67-98. It had its second reading 1-14-08. And we have the approved plat with its stamp on it for Monday night. Am I correct on that, Nancy?

Mrs. Frazier: We’ll have it by Friday.

Mr. Clemens: We’ll have it by Friday. Alright. So if it doesn’t come in, we’ll pull it Monday night. But with that said, are there any questions pertaining to this from members of the committee, members of Council? (No response). If not, I’d like to make a motion we send this to Council for approval. Do I have a second? Second by Mrs. Newman. All in favor, say aye. (All voted “Aye”). Opposed? (No response).

Thank you. And that ends the Service Committee meeting at 8:00.

Service Committee
January 22, 2008
- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council
(Transcribed - Susie Lyday)

FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES
January 22, 2008 Tuesday

Members of Finance Committee present: Ron Stake, Mel Clemens, Doug Joseph, Antoinette Newman.

Other members of Council present: Leslie Kelly, Council President William L. Hills.
Councilwoman Donna Shirey and Councilman Fred Deskins were absent.

Mr. Stake: I will call the Finance Committee to order at 8:01.

The second item on the agenda is approval of agenda. Are there any changes to the agenda? (No response). None being heard, the agenda will stand as submitted here.

Item number 3 is approval of minutes of Finance Committee meeting held January 8, 2008. Any additions or deletions to those minutes? (No response). None being heard, those minutes will stand as submitted.

Item number 4 is Discussion: Legislation enacting and adopting a supplement to the Code of Ordinances for the City of Reynoldsburg. What this does is, it updates our code book with all the latest ordinances. And basically, it's a housekeeping item. Are there any questions or comments from members of Finance Committee? (No response). Members of Council? (No response). Okay. Mrs. Frazier, this will go forward as an ordinance, correct?

Mrs. Frazier: Yes, and just one reading.

Mr. Stake: Just one reading. Okay. Then we don't need an emergency or anything like that?

Mrs. Frazier: No.

Mr. Stake: Okay. Then I'll make a motion that we send this forward to Council with recommendation for adoption. Second by Mr. Clemens. Any further discussion? (No response). All in favor, please state aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Motion passes.

And I'll adjourn the Finance Committee at 8:03.

Finance Committee

January 22, 2008

- - -Nancy C. Frazier, Clerk of Council
(Transcribed - Susie Lyday)