SAFETY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES
January 21, 2003

Members of Safety Committee present: Mel Clemens, Ron Stake, Lane Beougher. Councilman Jim Wade was absent.
Other members of Council present: Sarah Cannella, William Hills, Eric Gilbert, Council President Bradley L. McCloud.

Temporary Chairman Clemens: I'll call the Safety Committee meeting to order at 7:52. The first item on the agenda is the approval of the minutes of the December 16, 2002 Safety Committee meeting. Do I have any corrections? If not they'll stand approved. The next item is the approval of the agenda. I'd like to make a motion that we remove from Other Legislation, item number 1, item number 2, and item number 3. Do I have a second on that? Second by Mr. Stake. All in favor say aye. (All voted "Aye"). Motion approved.

The next item on the agenda is the Election of Chairman. Do I have a nomination?

Mr. Beougher: I nominate Mr. Clemens.

Mr. Clemens: There's been a nomination for Mr. Clemens as Chairman from Mr. Beougher. Do I have a second?

Mr. Stake: Second.

Mr. Clemens: Second by Mr. Stake. All in favor say aye. (All voted "Aye"). And I want to thank you gentlemen for reappointing me as Chairman. I'd like to appoint Jim Wade as Vice Chairman and he's already spoke to me about it. That's fine. Thank you.

The next item on the agenda, discussion, Liquor Permit transfer from JOMSZ Inc. to Brent & Nick Llp, 1371 Hentz Drive, Reynoldsburg, Ohio. I've discussed this with the Chief and they are in the process of investigating it and it has to be back by the 6th and she'll have it to us at the next Council meeting. Mr. Wade's not here this evening but I will contact him. The next Council meeting, and inform him of what has to be done as far as Safety Committee meeting because I will not be here. I have to have an operation next Monday afternoon so I will not be able to make the Council meeting unless I come and I'm in a bad mood and I might come and be in a bad mood so you never know. So I'll discuss this with Jim.

The next item on the agenda is discussion, Project agreement, upgrade signalization, 2 locations (Brice Road and US 40 and Rosehill Road and US 40). Mr. Mussi.

Mr. Mussi: Thank you Mr. Clemens. What you have in front of you is the request for legislation to have the Mayor enter into agreement with ODOT, District 6 for the resurfacing of Main Street after all the streetscape projects are done so we can put an overlay on it. It's their, I don't want to say their standard agreement but it's an agreement that we've done in the past from before on other agreements. I did write you a memo to go in more depth to give you a better idea of where the funding and stuff is coming from. They need it. They like to have it and why it's an emergency so they can get a schedule for our current year of '04 when hopefully our project will be done at the end of October and resurface in '04 also.

Mr. Clemens: At this time, we don't need any financial passage as far as _______________ is concerned?

Mr. Mussi: I talked to Mr. Whitney, the Auditor, not at this time because he feels by the time we do the project the funding will be there out of the bond issue.

Mr. Clemens: Are there any questions for Mr. Mussi? If not I'd like to make a motion that we forward this to Council to authorize the Mayor to enter into the project with the Director of Transportation of the State of Ohio for the purpose of resurfacing the existing pavement and upgrading the signalization at two locations (intersection of Brice Road and US 40 and Rosehill Road and US 40). Can I have a second? Second by Mr. Stake. All in favor say aye. (All voted "Aye").

Mrs. Cannella: Wait a minute. I have some questions. Mr. Mussi, is this all part of the entire streetscape that we're working on?

Mr. Mussi: Yes it is.

Mrs. Cannella: Are we maybe getting the cart before the horse or something here? Because won't they be tearing things up to fix things?

Mr. Mussi: This project, while it's being done in '04 will be when all the other improvements have been made. This is the final phase or the final cake on the icing, the icing on the cake is when everything is done then they can come in and resurface.

Mrs. Cannella: So we're not doing that right away then?

Mr. Mussi: No we're not. No. That's why it's going out to '04. That's why it's been moved out to '04.

Mrs. Cannella: Oh. That's what I didn't understand here. Okay. Because it just seemed to be too fast for what we are planning on doing. Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Clemens: Could I have that vote again? All in favor say aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). The motion passes. I have no other items on the agenda.

I'd like to call the meeting over at 8:58.

Safety Committee
- -Susie Smith, Assistant Clerk of Council
(Transcribed - Susie Smith)
January 21, 2003

SERVICE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES
January 21, 2003

Members of Service Committee present: Eric Gilbert, William Hills, Ron Stake, Lane Beougher.
Other members of Council present: Sarah Cannella, Mel Clemens, Council President Bradley L.

McCloud. Councilman Jim Wade was absent.

Mr. Gilbert: I will call the Service Committee meeting to order at 7:58 p.m. The first item on the agenda is the approval of the minutes of the January 6, 2003 Service Committee meeting. Are there any additions or deletions to those minutes? None being heard, they will stand as read. Item number 3 is the approval of the agenda. Are there any additions or deletions to the Service Agenda this evening? None being heard, the agenda will stand.

Item number 4 is a discussion for the Resolution of services available to property proposed for annexation. This is 1.4 acres in Truro Township, Franklin County. The petitioner is David Demarchi. We have received back from all the service providers the required information as to police and fire protection and services that could be provided. Under the new guidelines, there is a sense of urgency on this so it is before the commissioners within the next 20 days. That being said, are there any questions or concerns at this time from members of Service on this annexation? None being heard, I'll make a motion that we forward this to Council with recommendation for adoption including a rule suspension. Do I have a second? Mr. Stake with the second. All those in favor please signify by saying aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Motion passes.

Item number 5 is discussion for the Rezoning at 1675 Truro Avenue from R-1 to AR-1c with a limitation zoning text. Applicant, Timothy Rini with Land Development of C. V. Perry & Company. Mr. Rini, come forward to make your presentation. The members should have received in your packets based on the legislation that was passed last week regarding the transfer of, or the adjustment of the property lines new legal descriptions to accompany your original packet that you received with the original zoning request. Mr. Rini, this zoning, rezoning request is adjacent to a rezoning that we had completed last year regarding this same development and when it was indicated on the request that would be with a limitation zoning text, is that the zoning, for the limitation zoning text that we do in the previous zoning will be similar to what you have here with this project and all of the same requirements?

Mr. Rini: Yes sir, it will. It's almost identical. The new zoning text that you have dated January 17 is virtually identical to what we discussed last year on the Windom property. The differences are the numbers. The acreages, the dwelling unit numbers and so forth are adjusted for both properties so it's all inclusive. But it's virtually the same.

Mr. Gilbert: Okay. And in terms of density it's basically the same percentage per acre that it would have just somewhat different due to the size of the land? Correct?

Mr. Rini: Yes sir. Actually it's gone down from approximately 4.9 dwelling units per acre. It's gone down to 4.36 dwelling units per acre.

Mr. Gilbert: Okay. Anything you want to add about the project? They're similar in nature I see.

Mr. Rini: Very similar. The board on the left, and I'm not sure if everyone can see. Mayor, can you see? Mr. Clemens? The board on the left is the Windom property that was zoned last year.

If you'll recall, towards the end when we got the Stapleton property in contract we brought this in just to give you a preview and what is in your zoning packet is actually this where we've dropped off from a total of 87 dwelling units down to 80. So, from what was presented informally last year, we've taken off 7 dwelling units. Other than that the units are the same. We've just spaced it out to get a little bit more green space.

Mr. Gilbert: The ingress, egress, the access is within the first phase?

Mr. Rini: Yes sir, it is. And that's an important point. If you recall, we discussed last year that there would be, when we got the second property there would be one entrance on Lancaster Avenue for the entire project. There would also be a tie-in at the southern limit of Truro Avenue at the request of Fire Chief Sharps. However, that entrance will have ballards across it and will be an emergency entrance only. The residents will use the access onto Lancaster Avenue.

Mr. Gilbert: Okay. Any questions from members of Service? Other members of Council? Mrs. Cannella.

Mrs. Cannella: You've got permission for that emergency exit from the owners of the other property?

Mr. Rini: The property north of us?

Mrs. Cannella: Yes. For that second egress there.

Mr. Rini: Yes ma'am. The folks that live on Truro Avenue and John Street were concerned about when our first proposal came in we did have a curb cut onto John Street and they were concerned about bringing the narrow pavement into the additional traffic would be a problem. And they're supportive of the fact that this will be an emergency entrance only. It gives the fire department a much better way if Lancaster Avenue's blocked to get into the condo development yet it will not add traffic to Truro.

Mrs. Cannella: You're not crossing anybody else's property?

Mr. Rini: No ma'am.

Mrs. Cannella: It's right off John?

Mr. Rini: Yes.

Mrs. Cannella: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Gilbert: Mr. Clemens, anything?

Mr. Clemens: No.

Mr. Gilbert: Okay. Any other comments or concerns from the table? None being heard, our process here is that we will forward this to Council for a first reading and where a request for a Public Hearing will be set by President McCloud. I'll make a motion that we forward this to Council for it's first reading with request for a hearing. Mr. Hills seconds that. All those in favor please signify by saying aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response). Motion passes and I'll adjourn Service at 8:05. Thank you Mr. Rini.

Service Committee
- -Susie Smith, Assistant Clerk of Council
(Transcribed - Susie Smith)
January 21, 2003

FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES
January 21, 2003

Members of Finance Committee meeting present: William Hills, Mel Clemens, Eric Gilbert, Sarah Cannella.
Other members of Council present: Lane Beougher, Ron Stake, Council President Bradley L. McCloud. Councilman Jim Wade was absent.

Mr. Hills: I'll call Finance to order at 8:05 and ask for approval of the January 6, 2003 Finance Committee minutes. Are there any additions or deletions to these? Seeing and hearing none, they will stand as submitted. Approval of tonight's agenda. After discussions with the Mayor I will be holding items 4 and 5. I was about to move them to Other Legislation but I understand there will be some information exchanged in Executive Session next week regarding that so I will hold 4 and 5 at the Mayor's request for two weeks. And with that, any other additions or deletions from tonight's agenda from anyone on Finance? If not, we'll hold 4 and 5 and I'll move on to item number 6.

Ordinance returning funds to Storm Water Utility Fund (funds originally appropriated for engineering fees for detention basin improvements project (Taylor Ridge East Sections 1 and 3; Leighton Village Section 4 and Godfrey Circle). I did hold this the last time because there were some questions. Mayor, did you have something you wanted to address?

Mayor McPherson: Well I think we've all read the opinion from the City Attorney. I did want to just point out that it was not our intent to break any Ohio Revised Code laws. We are going to go ahead and we will contract with the engineering company for the $13,000. You know, it's just one of those things that is a paragraph within the Ohio Revised Code right smack dab in the middle of other things, but it's there. I do want Council to know that I plan to contact and I think even Mr. Stake indicated he'd be willing to work with this to try to get that law changed. It is very detrimental to anyone in government as well as the private industry to have to hire an architect or an engineer for any project over $5,000. There's a lot of projects here that we can do on our own that exceed that $5,000 mark. So we're going to have a few look see's at the Ohio Revised Code itself and see if we can't get some common sense to prevail. We now have a project that we were going to do at the cost of our own labor now costing us $13,000. Again, I apologize to Council and the citizens for, you know, proposing that we violate the Ohio Revised Code. That was not our intent. It was just to save money and so we will move forward with the engineer. As a matter of fact, we already moved forward and let them know that they need to start the project. So, I guess at this point it's just a matter of Mr. Hills, of removing it?

Mr. Hills: I would, I appreciate your comments and I do want to clarify, I don't think anyone ever felt that you would be intentionally violating the Revised Code but I do appreciate the fact that you can recognize that there are laws, if we didn't have people violate the code though, we wouldn't have any speeders either. So I guess those things do happen. But I do appreciate Council's candidness in bringing up concerns. The purpose of this ordinance was to return those funds to the, those dollars to the fund from which it originated if it wasn't going to be used for it's intended purpose. It is now going to be used for it's intended purpose. So without any objection I'd just move that we table this item indefinitely. Is there a second on that? Second by Mr. Gilbert.

Mr. Gilbert: Mr. Hills.

Mr. Hills: Oh, yes.

Mr. Gilbert: Real quick. Mayor, is there a start date now do you know, like when the project will be...

Mayor McPherson: No we haven't put a start date on it yet. We've got the pre-construction done and now that we've resolved all of this it'll probably be in the next couple, three weeks. As soon as the weather breaks. They're not going to do it with the freezing weather right now. So, it'll be as soon as the weather starts to break.

Mr. Hills: Okay. Mr. Gilbert, does that answer your question? And if you run into, and this is another question, as it came up and I think Eric, maybe that's where part of this is before we vote on that motion to table, certainly if you run into other problems within those detention basins they will be addressed as you come upon them. When you're in there reconstructing or doing what needs to be done. Is that correct?

Mayor McPherson: Well, yeah, it would be done at the time. There shouldn't really be any though. These are pretty simple drainage troughs is all they are.

Mr. Hills: Okay. Mr. Gilbert.

Mr. Gilbert: And I was, that was my next point, to let the Mayor know I'll be meeting with some residents on Ormiston on Thursday and wanted to pass the invitation to him if he would like to go out there with me. There seems to be a significant amount of erosion and impact from some of the newer lots where we have a difference in the level of grading. You have one company coming in and building at a different level. Another company coming in and buying maybe two or three lots and they're at different levels and so some of the flow that we have, the storm water flow is, which will be assisted by this project, they just wanted to see if there was something else that needed to be addressed. And I just wanted to make the Administration aware of that.

Mayor McPherson: Thank you.

Mr. Gilbert: Thank you Mr. Hills.

Mr. Hills: Okay. Any other questions? So there is a motion and a second on to table indefinitely. All in favor state aye. (All voted "Aye"). Opposed? (No response).

We will adjourn Finance at 8:10. Thank you.

Finance Committee
- -Susie Smith, Assistant Clerk of Council
(Transcribed - Susie Smith)
January 21, 2003